

# An Analysis of the Economic/Legal Literature on the Effects of IP Rights as a Barrier to Entry

Prepared for WIPO under the Special Services Agreement, dated October 19, 2010

APRIL 15, 2011

#### **AUTHORSHIP**

This report was prepared for the World Intellectual Property Organization by the Center on Law and Information Policy (CLIP) at the Fordham University School of Law, New York, NY (USA). The team responsible for the research and drafting of this report was led by Professor Joel R. Reidenberg, the Stanley D. & Nikki Waxberg Chair and Founding Director of CLIP, and by Jamela Debelak, Executive Director of CLIP. The team was comprised of the following current and former students of Fordham Law School: Isaac Chao, Maarten Goudsmit, Marc Melzer and Mary Pennisi.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The research team would like to give a special thanks to Fordham Law School Professors Mark Patterson and Barry Hawk, as well as Fordham Research Librarian, Todd Melnick for their assistance in the research and review process.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| l.   | Research Mission  |  |    |  |  |
|------|-------------------|--|----|--|--|
|      | A.                | Research Methodology                                     | 1  |  |  |
|      | B.                | Search Approach  | 1  |  |  |
|      | C.                | Selection of Search Terms                                | 2  |  |  |
|      | D.                | Data Bases   | 3  |  |  |
|      | E.                | Review of Search Results                                 | 4  |  |  |
| II.  | Finding           | S  | 6  |  |  |
|      | A. IP Generally   |  |    |  |  |
|      |                   | 1. IP Rights Scope and Market Entry Barriers             | 7  |  |  |
|      |                   | 2. Corporate IP Strategies and Markets                   | 11 |  |  |
|      |                   | 3. Licensing and Markets                                 | 13 |  |  |
|      |                   | 4. IP Litigation and Effects on Market Entry             | 15 |  |  |
|      |                   | 5. ICT Industries  | 16 |  |  |
|      |                   | 6. Geographic Case Studies                               | 18 |  |  |
|      |                   | 7. IP Protection as a Barrier to International Trade     | 26 |  |  |
|      |                   | 8. Linkage between International IP Protection Standards |    |  |  |
|      |                   | and Economic Development                                 | 29 |  |  |
|      | B.                | Patents  | 34 |  |  |
|      |                   | 1. Patent Right Scope and Market Entry Barriers          | 35 |  |  |
|      |                   | 2. Corporate IP Strategies and Markets                   | 39 |  |  |
|      |                   | 3. Licensing and Markets                                 | 41 |  |  |
|      |                   | 4. IP Litigation and Effects on Market Entry             | 44 |  |  |
|      |                   | 5. ICT Industries  | 45 |  |  |
|      |                   | 6. Pharmaceutical Industry                               | 47 |  |  |
|      |                   | 7. Biotechnology Industry                                | 54 |  |  |
|      |                   | 8. Geographic Case Studies                               | 57 |  |  |
|      |                   | 9. Linkage between International Patent Standards and    |    |  |  |
|      |                   | Economic Development                                     | 62 |  |  |
|      |                   | 10. Patent Rights and Economic Development               | 63 |  |  |
|      | C.                | Copyright  | 67 |  |  |
|      |                   | 1. Copyright Scope and Market Entry Barriers             | 67 |  |  |
|      |                   | 2. IP Litigation and Effects on Market Entry             | 68 |  |  |
|      |                   | 3. ICT Industries  | 68 |  |  |
|      |                   | 4. Geographic Case Studies                               | 70 |  |  |
|      |                   | 5. IP Protection as a Barrier to International Trade     | 73 |  |  |
|      | D.                | Trademark  | 74 |  |  |
|      |                   | 1. Trademark Rights Scope and Market Entry Barriers      | 74 |  |  |
|      |                   | 2. Geographic Case Studies                               | 77 |  |  |
|      |                   | 3. IP Protection as a Barrier to International Trade     | 77 |  |  |
|      | E.                | Trade Secret   | 79 |  |  |
| III. | . Recommendations |  |    |  |  |
|      | A.                | A. Empirical Research Generally                          |    |  |  |
|      | B.                |  | 82 |  |  |
|      | C.                | Trademark Law and the Impact of Branding on Market Entry | 82 |  |  |
|      | D                 | Trade Secret Law and Market Entry                        | 82 |  |  |

#### I. RESEARCH MISSION

In connection with the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) project on Intellectual Property and Competition Policy, WIPO commissioned the Center on Law and Information Policy at Fordham University School of Law (CLIP) to undertake a review of relevant literature in order to analyze the role of intellectual property (IP) rights as a barrier to entry. In particular, WIPO sought information on literature that addressed developing countries and that was empirical in nature. WIPO further hoped that the study would reveal literature that identified factors in the use of IP rights as exclusionary measures. And, lastly, WIPO sought information on whether additional empirical studies will be feasible and/or necessary to better understand how and how much IP rights can be used to bar or delay the entry of competitors.

# A. Research Methodology

To conduct the literature review, CLIP developed a methodology to research the relevant literature as comprehensively as possible. CLIP used a search approach and search terms, described below, to provide consistent and thorough identification of relevant literature in the major academic reference databases. Once publications were identified, CLIP then reviewed these publications to determine if they were, in fact, appropriate for inclusion in the bibliography. From the bibliography constructed through this approach, CLIP then categorized the publications to identify trends in the literature.

## B. Search Approach

CLIP began by selecting a number of broad search terms to use across the major academic reference databases. CLIP's research team was assisted in this selection process by Fordham competition law professors Mark Patterson and Barry Hawk, as well as Fordham Research Librarian, Todd Melnick. The search strategy was based on the idea that key words would be combined with types of IP (e.g. barriers to entry and copyrights, barriers to entry and patents, barriers to entry and trademarks) and then systematically used in searches in each of the major academic reference databases.

This approach was favored for a number of reasons. First, it is comprehensive. The strategy ensures that as long as the two search terms are mentioned in the publication, the publication will show up in the search results. While the search results would have many false positives, the approach assured that all possibly relevant publications would most likely be identified. Similarly, the omission of a relevant publication would be inadvertent and less likely to occur. Second, the searches are reproducible. The systematic nature of this approach ensures that any person can replicate the completeness of CLIP's work and can update the research as needed in the future.

In addition, a date filter was selected for two reasons. First, searches were generally limited to literature published subsequent to January 1, 2000 in order to have meaningful results capturing the current trends. Some of the research databases used by the CLIP team catalog a large number of journals and therefore would return an unmanageably large set of search results – e.g. some searches with the date limitation still yielded in excess of 5,000 results. For these large databases, the date filter

helped to target meaningful results for the actual trends. Second, seminal literature published prior to 2000 would emerge from the CLIP review of search results. CLIP determined that following the completion of the initial searches, the research team would thoroughly review a selection of the articles deemed most relevant. During this review, the team would pay close attention to the articles' references in order to identify any sources that might have been missed in the comprehensive searches. To the extent the more current literature relied on works published prior to 2000, such works would be referenced in the articles reviewed by the research team.

#### C. Selection of Search Terms

CLIP constructed two layer composite searches. As a first layer, each major field of IP was used as a search term: "patent", "copyright" and "trademark." In addition, CLIP used three other search terms for types of IP: "trade secret", "database right" and "TRIPS" (the common acronym for the WTO's Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights). The search query terms for the major fields of IP were meant to assure a wide-ranging result. The addition of the terms "trade secret" and "database right" were intended to yield publications that might involve industries where the other types of IP did not play a role. Finally, the addition of the term TRIPS was intended to uncover results that describe the international IP regime as a whole, rather than individual types of property rights in particular.

As a second layer, each of the first layer terms was then combined with a second term. The second layer terms were broken down into four groups. The first group addressed market entry (or the lack thereof) and consisted of the search terms: "entry barriers", "market entry", "entry cost." These search terms tracked the precise focus of this study. The second group targeted those articles relating to the use of IP as a means to divide a common market (such as the European Community) into separate areas. The third group consisted of the term "economic development." This term was included to assure that articles addressing the role of IP as a barrier to entry for (enterprises in) developing countries would be captured by the searches. The fourth group was intended to target the use of IP as a part of entrepreneurial activity and consisted of the search terms: "merger" and "start-up." These terms sought to identify literature that addressed the cost or benefit of IP in starting up and selling a business.

The research approach and the use of the search terms are best visualized as a matrix. See Table 1 below. Searches were conducted using the combination of terms reflected by each of the boxes in the matrix (e.g. "patent" was searched in combination with each of the second layer terms on the vertical axis of the matrix.)

Table 1

|    |                      | Patent | Copyright | Trade secret | Trademark | Database rights | TRIPS |
|----|----------------------|--------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-----------------|-------|
|    | Barrier(s) to entry  |        |           |              |           |                 |       |
|    | Entry barrier(s)     |        |           |              |           |                 |       |
|    | Market entry         |        |           |              |           |                 |       |
|    | Entry cost           |        |           |              |           |                 |       |
| II | Market integration   |        |           |              |           |                 |       |
|    | Market segmentation  |        |           |              |           |                 |       |
| Ш  | Economic development |        |           |              |           |                 |       |
|    | Merger               |        | _         |              |           | F               |       |
| IV | Joint venture        |        |           |              |           | r               |       |
|    | Start-up             |        |           |              |           |                 |       |

During the course of the research, some of the searches resulted in overwhelming volumes of false positives within possibly relevant literature—e.g. total yields exceeding 5,000 hits in some cases. For these cases, additional search query criteria were introduced to more accurately pinpoint the number of truly relevant publications. In some cases, the search queries included a condition that certain terms should appear more than 10 times in the publication for it to be considered relevant. In other cases, the search queries included a condition that the first and second layer terms should be found within a certain proximity to each other – e.g. "patent" had to be within 25 words of "merger" in order for the publication to be considered relevant.

#### D. Databases

CLIP selected a set of major reference databases of academic literature to assure a comprehensive set of sources for economic, legal and law-related periodicals.<sup>1</sup> The research covered the following reference databases:

- ABI/Inform (ProQuest) This is a database that offers full-text search in over 3,000 journals, including periodicals on business, economics and finance.
- EconLit This is an index that covers a wide range of world-wide economics literature, some of which is available for full-text search.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> CLIP limited its research to English language publications.

- Index of Foreign Legal Periodicals This is an index of foreign (i.e. non-US) legal periodicals that only includes publication titles and does not offer full-text search.
- Index of Legal Periodicals This is an index that covers the 500 most significant legal periodicals. Like the Index of Foreign Legal Periodicals, however, it only includes titles and does not allow full-text search.
- JSTOR This is a database that offers full-text search into more than a 1,000 journals across several disciplines, emphasizing law, economics and political science.
- Legal Resources Index (LegalTrac) This is a database that provides full-text search to over 875 legal periodicals and contains an additional 1,000 law-related articles from other fields.
- LexisNexis & WestLaw Together, these two databases offer full-text search for virtually every American legal periodical, as well as numerous foreign and international journals.
- Social Sciences Research Network (SSRN) This is a database containing final versions and drafts
  of articles that are often published in major academic law and economic journals. The
  database covers most of the social science disciplines and typically offers access to articles
  before they are published in print.
- WorldCat This index provides an overview of most publications available in the world's libraries. It's limited by the lack of a full-text search, although most entries have subject and keyword headings.

#### E. Review of Search Results

Each CLIP team member was assigned one or more specific databases to research according to the search protocol. The initial searches produced approximately 28,000 potentially relevant results.<sup>2</sup> These initial results, however, included a substantial number of false positives and duplicates, so an additional level of filtering was necessary. In the next round of filtering, the CLIP team members reviewed the citations and abstracts, when available, to filter out the false positives and duplicates. False positives were identified using the following methodology. First, publications that were clearly outside the scope of this literature review were marked as "not relevant." When a search result included certain search terms, but did not focus on IP, competition, market entry or economic development as its subject matter, the publication was marked as "not relevant." Second, publications were marked as "not relevant" when they contained only a casual or limited examination of IP as a barrier to market entry. For example, if a search term was found in the text of the article only once or twice, this indicated that the article was not focused on IP as a barrier to entry and was of low relevance. Similarly, if the search term was not found throughout the article, but was concentrated within a few paragraphs of the article, the article as a whole would typically be focusing on an unrelated matter and not be relevant. Lastly, an article in which the search term only appeared in the footnotes, rather than its body, was assumed to be of lower relevance. Third, towards the beginning of this review phase, a meeting was held to find common ground among the team members regarding which articles were relevant and which were not. Finally, the researchers were instructed to mark a publication "possibly relevant" when in doubt of the relevance. These possibly relevant articles were then reviewed by another team member and, if necessary, reviewed again in a research team meeting. Whenever an article was identified as relevant, its citation was stored for later review and categorization.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These results were primarily journal articles, though they did include books and book chapters. Throughout this report, we refer to these results as "articles" and delineate in the footnotes and bibliography those that are books or book chapters.

After this phase of filtering, the CLIP research team identified approximately 460 relevant publications for analysis. Once all the relevant citations were identified, the CLIP team members categorized them by type of IP and then by sub-category according to issues addressed by the literature.

#### II. FINDINGS

The literature review produced approximately 460 relevant publications that examine IP as a barrier to market entry. From this sample several large trends were noted.

First, the majority of the literature in this field is not truly empirical. Most of the literature is descriptive or theoretical. Empirical studies of IP as a barrier to market entry are rare, emerging mostly in the context of patent rights.

Second, the CLIP team noted that certain substantive rights were examined in the literature more frequently than others. A large number of articles focus exclusively on patent rights<sup>3</sup> while a substantially smaller number examine copyright, trademark and trade secret. <sup>4</sup> Another large group of articles examine IP rights generally rather than focus on a single specific substantive right. <sup>5</sup>

Third, a large number of articles discuss IP and competition issues generally without focusing on specific problems or issues. Notably, the CLIP team identified a number of publications that comment generally on how competition law and IP law overlap and impact each other without more specific focus.

Fourth, although much of the literature appears to be broad in scope and focus, some subject matter trends did appear.

All of these trends are identified below with respect to (A) literature generally discussing IP rights; (B) literature examining patent law; (C) literature focused on copyright law; (D) literature focused on trademark law; and (E) literature addressing trade secret doctrine.

#### A. IP Generally

The CLIP research team identified 192 publications that examine IP rights and market entry generally. These sources addressed broad IP issues associated with market access and development. These articles can be grouped and are analyzed below according to a set of trends: (1) IP rights scope and market entry barriers; (2) corporate IP strategies and markets; (3) licensing and markets; (4) IP litigation and its effects on market entry; (5) information, communications and technology sector (ICT); (6) geographic case studies; (7) IP protection as a barrier to international trade; and (8) linkage between international IP protection standards and economic development.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 206 articles focus exclusively on patent rights.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 34 articles examine copyright, 23 examine trademark and 8 examine trade secret.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 192 articles appear to focus on intellectual property rights generally.

#### 1. IP Rights Scope and Market Entry Barriers

A group of articles examine how a broad scope of IP rights may act as a barrier to market entry. This trend in the publications largely focuses on how the scope of IP rights can create antitrust problems in the contexts of market entry, innovation, and competition. Some authors specifically focus on high profile domestic cases such as the Microsoft antitrust litigation.

Most publications note the general tension between antitrust law and IP law. Both exist as separate regulatory regimes in most countries, but the articles emphasize that they share the same goal of fostering an efficient market for goods and services. Many observe, however, that the expanding scope of IP rights has the potential to create market barriers that are at odds with the antitrust laws. Many studies explore the appropriate scope of IP rights to balance the two legal regimes. They examine industry markets, structures, and processes from both legal and economic perspectives to determine how to strike the appropriate balance between facilitating competitive markets and developing IP rights regimes. Most publications agree that strong IP protection has the potential to be anticompetitive and hinder market entry. Some conclude that broad IP rights can create and maintain monopolies. 6 Others suggest that IP and antitrust law are not fundamentally antagonistic, but that IP rights may be used to extend monopolies beyond the scope of IP protection. These authors emphasize error costs and note the anticompetitive harm that could result from a false ruling for a plaintiff in an IP dispute. These publications also examine patent thickets, anticompetitive agreements, joint ventures, and mergers to illustrate how IP rights holders can exploit monopolies obtained through IP rights. Despite this anticompetitive potential, most authors agree that IP rights remain critical for the efficient functioning of markets, although some authors argue that IP might promote competition in ways inconsistent with antitrust laws.

Many authors also examine the general appropriateness of applying antitrust principles to IP. A number of authors highlight the economic and political dimensions of this trend. Some remain critical of the presumption that IP rights automatically confer market power to their owner. Scholars remain divided on solutions to resolving the conflict between the two bodies of law. A few authors suggest removing IP commercialization from antitrust scrutiny altogether. They emphasize that innovation depends upon collaborative research and development and collaboration among competing entities produces

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> See, e.g., Rubin, Jonathan L., "The IP Grab: The Struggle between Intellectual Property Rights and Antitrust: Patents, Antitrust, And Rivalry In Standard-Setting," Rutgers Law Journal 38 (2007): 509; Ghosh, Shubha, "Decoding and Recoding Natural Monopoly, Deregulation, and Intellectual Property," University of Illinois Law Review (2008): 1125.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See, e.g., Cotter, Thomas F., "The Procompetitive Interest in Intellectual Property Law," William & Mary Law Review 48(2) (2006): 483; Williamson, Dean V., "Antitrust, Innovation, and Uncertain Property Rights: Some Practical Considerations," Duke Law & Technology Review (2010): 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> See, e.g., Ravicher, Daniel B. and Shani C. Dilloff., "Antitrust Scrutiny of Intellectual Property Exploitation: It Just Don't Make No Kind Of Sense," Southwestern Journal of Law & Trade in the Americas 8 (2001-2002): 83.

innovation. Some note empirical research that has suggested parties with shared know-how and capabilities significantly contribute to such productive collaboration but may run afoul of antitrust laws. In contrast, other authors propose ways to reconcile both overlapping regimes. For instance, prominent antitrust scholar Herbert Hovenkamp, contends that courts can determine the legality of IP settlement agreements in most cases without inquiring into the merits of the dispute. He argues, however, that in the narrow set of cases where the merits of the IP dispute are relevant, antitrust's rule of reason is unhelpful and courts should instead inquire into the validity, enforceability, and infringement issues in the underlying case, with particular sensitivity to both the type of IP right at issue and the industrial context of the dispute. In

#### Literature:

- ABA Section of Antitrust Law. *Intellectual Property Misuse: Licensing and Litigation*. Chicago: American Bar Association, 2000.
- Abramowicz, Michael and John F. Duffy. "Intellectual Property for Market Experimentation." *New York University Law Review* 83 (2008): 337.
- Alikhan, Shahid and Raghunath Mashelkar. *Intellectual Property and Competitive Strategies in the 21st Century*. Frederick: Kluwer Law International, 2009.
- Anderman, S. "EC Competition Law and Intellectual Property Rights in the New Economy." *Antitrust Bulletin* 285 (2002): 224.
- Anderson, Robert D. and Nancy T. Gallini, eds. *Competition Policy and Intellectual Property Rights In The Knowledge-Based Economy*. Toronto: University of Calgary Press, 1998.
- Anthony, Sheila F. "Antitrust and Intellectual Property Law: From Adversaries to Partners." *AIPLA Quarterly Journal* 28 (2000): 1.
- Balto, David A. and Andrew M. Wolman. "Intellectual Property and Antitrust: General Principles." *IDEA* 43 (2003): 395.
- Biggers, Sara M., Richard A. Mann, and Barry S. Roberts. "Intellectual Property and Antitrust: A Comparison of Evolution in the European Union and United States." *Hastings International & Comparative Law Review* 22 (1999): 209.

<sup>9</sup> See, e.g., Williamson, Dean V., "Antitrust, Innovation, and Uncertain Property Rights: Some Practical Considerations," Duke Law & Technology Review (2010): 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Hovenkamp, Herbert, Mark Janis, and Mark A. Lemley, "Anticompetitive Settlement of Intellectual Property Disputes," *Minnesota Law Review* 87 (2003): 1719.

- Brand, Oliver, et al. "Standards Concerning the Availability, Scope and Use of Intellectual Property Rights." In WTO Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights, edited by Peter-Tobias Stoll, et al., 205. Leiden: Marinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2009.
- Briggs, J. D. "Intellectual Property and Antitrust: Two Scorpions in a Bottle." *Sedona Conference Journal* 10 (2009): 65.
- Brunell, Richard M. "Appropriability in Antitrust: How Much is Enough?" *Antitrust Law Journal* 69 (2001): 1.
- Cotter, Thomas F. "The Procompetitive Interest in Intellectual Property Law." William & Mary Law Review 48(2) (2006): 483.
- Czapracka, K. A. "Where Antitrust Ends and IP Begins On the Roots of the Transatlantic Clashes." *Yale Journal of Law & Technology* 9 (2006): 44.
- Drexl, J. "Real Knowledge is to know the Extent of One's Own Ignorance: On the Consumer Harm Approach in Innovation-Related Competition Cases." *Antitrust Law Journal* 76(3) (2010): 677.
- Fink, Carsten. "Entering the Jungle of Intellectual Property Rights Exhaustion and Parallel Importation." In *Intellectual Property and Development: Lessons from Recent Economic Research*, edited by Carsten Fink and Keith E. Maskus, 171. New York: World Bank and Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
- Gans, Joshua S., Philip S. Williams and David Briggs. "Intellectual Property Rights: A Grant of Monopoly or an Aid to Competition?" *Australian Economic Review* 37(4) (2004): 436.
- Garon, Jon M. "Media & Monopoly in the Information Age: Slowing the Convergence at the Marketplace of Ideas." *Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal* 17 (1999): 491.
- Ghosh, Shubha. "Decoding and Recoding Natural Monopoly, Deregulation, and Intellectual Property." *University of Illinois Law Review* (2008): 1125.
- Gilbert, Richard and Carl Shapiro. "Antitrust Issues in the Licensing of Intellectual Property: The Nine No-No's Meet the Nineties." *Brookings Papers: Microeconomics* (1997): 283.
- Grunzweig, Paul S. "Prohibiting the Presumption of Market Power for Intellectual Property Rights: The Intellectual Property Antitrust Protection Act of 1989." *Journal of Corporate Law* 16 (1990): 103.
- Hoerner, Robert J. "Innovation Markets: New Wine in Old Bottles?" Antitrust Law Journal 64 (1995): 49.
- Holman, C. M. "Do Reverse Payment Settlements Violate the Antitrust Laws?" *Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal* 23(2) (2007): 489.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert, Mark Janis, and Mark A. Lemley. "Anticompetitive Settlement of Intellectual Property Disputes." *Minnesota Law Review* 87 (2003): 1719.

- Javorcik, Beata Smarzynska. "The Composition of Foreign Direct Investment and Protection of Intellectual Property Rights: Evidence from Transition Economies." In *Intellectual Property and Development: Lessons from Recent Economic Research*, edited by Carsten Fink and Keith E. Maskus, 133. New York: World Bank and Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
- Karakaya, Fahri. "Barriers to Entry in Industrial Markets." *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing* 17(5) (2002): 379.
- Korah, Valentine. "The Interface between Intellectual Property and Antitrust: The European Experience." Antitrust Law Journal 69 (2002): 801.
- Landman, Lawrence B. "Competitiveness, Innovation Policy, and the Innovation Market Myth." St. John's Journal of Legal Commentary 13 (1998): 223.
- Lipsky, Abbott B., Jr. "To the Edge: Maintaining Incentives for Innovation After the Global Antitrust Explosions." *Georgetown Journal of International Law* 35(3) (2004): 521.
- McGowan, David. "Networks and Intention in Antitrust and Intellectual Property." *Journal of Corporate Law* 24 (1999): 485.
- Oman, Ralph. "Intellectual Property Our Once and Future Strength." *George Washington Journal of International Law & Economics* 27 (1993-94): 301.
- Picker, Randal C. "Unbundling Scope-of-Permission Goods: When Should We Invest in Reducing Entry Barriers?" *University of Chicago Law Review* 72 (2005): 189.
- Ravicher, Daniel B. and Shani C. Dilloff. "Antitrust Scrutiny of Intellectual Property Exploitation: It Just Don't Make No Kind Of Sense." *Southwestern Journal of Law & Trade in the Americas* 8 (2001-2002): 83.
- Rubin, Jonathan L. "The IP Grab: The Struggle between Intellectual Property Rights and Antitrust: Patents, Antitrust, and Rivalry in Standard-Setting." *Rutgers Law Journal* 38 (2007): 509.
- Samuelson, P. and S. Scotchmer. "The Law and Economics of Reverse Engineering." *Yale Law Journal* 111(7) (2002): 1575.
- Silverman, Alexander E. "Myth, Empiricism, and America's Competitive Edge: The Intellectual Property Antitrust Protection Act." *Stanford Law Review* 43 (1991): 1417.
- Sommer, Evelyn. "Antitrust Aspects of Intellectual Property Licensing Arrangements." *Licensing Journal* 30 (2010): 4.
- Tussey, Deborah. "Owning the Law: Intellectual Property Rights in Primary Law." Fordham Intellectual Property, Media & Entertainment Law Journal 9 (1998): 173.

Ullrich, Hanns. "IP-Antitrust in Context: Approaches to International Rules on Restrictive Uses of Intellectual Property Rights." *Antitrust Bulletin* 48(4) (2003): 837.

Williamson, Dean V. "Antitrust, Innovation, and Uncertain Property Rights: Some Practical Considerations." *Duke Law & Technology Review* 2010: 1.

### 2. Corporate IP Strategies and Markets

A trend exists in the literature with a group of articles that focus on how IP rights impact corporate business strategies in order to permit or restrict entry into new markets.

Some of these articles examine the impact of IP law regimes on the corporate activities of small innovative firms trying to enter markets. These authors note that small firms have traditionally been important sources of research and development in technological industries, while larger firms gain advantages from economies of scale and knowledge accumulated from previous research and development. They note, however, that few IP law doctrines currently consider their needs or activities. Focusing on market structure and efficiency, some authors suggest that IP regimes should make greater efforts to provide small firms with some type of preferential treatment to encourage innovation. Scholars focus on both technology markets and capital markets. Overall, however, as one author notes, this area lacks empirical research. 12

These articles also consider the role that IP rights have in corporate mergers and joint ventures.<sup>13</sup> They examine how corporate decisions regarding IP may open or limit markets. In these discussions, the authors typically consider how antitrust regimes applicable to mergers and joint ventures impact corporate strategies involving IP. Authors note the recent expansion of merger enforcement worldwide.

Some scholars also explore the importance of IP rights in coordinating research joint ventures. <sup>14</sup> These arrangements involve agreements among companies to collaborate in conducting research and development. These authors also consider the antitrust implications of sharing IP rights, particularly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Dilley, James, "The Effect of EC Competition Law on Intellectual Property Valuations: Implications for Corporate Strategies," *Oregon Review of International Law* (2002): 104; Klapper, L. L. L. R. R., "Business Environment and Firm Entry Evidence from International Data," NBER Working Paper Series, No.\_10380, (2004) *available at* http://www.nber.org/papers/w10380; Meurer, Michael J., "Inventors, Entrepreneurs, and Intellectual Property Law," *Houston Law Review* 45 (2008): 1201.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> Page, William H., "Antitrust Review of Mergers In Transition Economies; A Comment, With Some Lessons From Brazil," *University of Cincinnati Law Review* 66 (1998): 1113; Dilley, James, "The Effect of EC Competition Law on Intellectual Property Valuations: Implications for Corporate Strategies," *Oregon Review of International Law* (2002): 104; Eklund, William A., "Intellectual Property Rights in Joint Research Ventures with the National Laboratories," *Hastings Communications & Entertainment Law Journal* 17 (1995): 841.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> See supra note 10.

how joint ventures among competitors can risk antitrust violations. Some authors explore the notion of innovation markets. Most authors overall conclude that research fuels the engine of innovation and is integral in producing competitive industries.

#### Literature:

- Alikhan, Shahid and Raghunath Mashelkar. *Intellectual Property and Competitive Strategies in the 21st Century*. Frederick: Kluwer Law International, 2009.
- Arya, A. and B. Mittendorf. "Enhancing Vertical Efficiency through Horizontal Licensing." *Journal of Regulatory Economics* 29(3) (2006): 333.
- Baldia, S. "Intellectual Property in Global Sourcing: The Art of the Transfer." *Georgetown Journal of International Law* 38(3) (2007): 499.
- Dilley, James. "The Effect of EC Competition Law on Intellectual Property Valuations: Implications for Corporate Strategies." *Oregon Review of International Law* (2002): 104.
- Durell, Karen and E. Richard Gold. "Looking Beyond the Firm: Intellectual Asset Management and Biotechnology." In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 133. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.
- Eklund, William A." Intellectual Property Rights in Joint Research Ventures with the National Laboratories." *Hastings Communications & Entertainment Law Journal* 17 (1995): 841.
- Fahmi, Tarek N. "Aligning Intellectual Property Portfolios with Business Objectives." *Computer and Internet Lawyer* 24(8) (2007): 1.
- Ingham, Marc et al. "Increasing Internal Value from Patents: The Role of Organizational Arrangements."

  In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle,
  37. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.
- Klapper, L. L. R. R. "Business Environment and Firm Entry Evidence from International Data." NBER Working Paper Series, No. 10380, (2004) *available at* http://www.nber.org/papers/w10380.
- Kossovsky, Nir and Bear Brandegee. "What to do with Technology Rights that are Financial Assets and Instruments." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 253. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Meurer, Michael J. "Inventors, Entrepreneurs, and Intellectual Property Law." *Houston Law Review* 45 (2008): 1201.
- Monk, Ashby H. B. "The Emerging Market for Intellectual Property: Drivers, Restrainers, and Implications." *Journal of Economic Geography* 9 (2009): 469.

- Namvar, Morteza, Mohammad Fathian, et. al. "Exploring the Impacts of Intellectual Property on Intellectual Capital and Company Performance: The Case of Iranian Computer and Electronic Organizations." *Management Decision* 48(5) (2010): 676.
- Page, William H. "Antitrust Review of Mergers in Transition Economies; A Comment, with Some Lessons from Brazil." *University of Cincinnati Law Review* 66 (1998): 1113.
- Saunders, Kurt M. "The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Negotiating and Planning a Research Joint Venture." *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 7 (2003): 75.
- Sullivan, Patrick. "Fundamentals of Intellectual Property Management." In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 105. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.

#### 3. Licensing and Markets

This group of articles examines how exclusive rights and licensing can affect economic development and market entry. The articles in this subset explore licensing as a catalyst for economic development<sup>15</sup>, the antitrust implications associated with refusal to license IP,<sup>16</sup> and international licensing as an enabler for strengthening IP rights in developing countries.<sup>17</sup>

Some articles in this subset use empirical data to explore the impact of licensing on market entry. For example, one paper studies technology transfer by analyzing data related to international licensing. The study uses four quantitative indices to analyze the strength of patent rights, copyrights, trademark rights, and the effectiveness of enforcement measures. It then uses firm-level data on licensing to explore the relationship between licensing and IP rights. Like other articles in this subset, this study provides support for the proposition that there is a positive correlation between the strength of IP rights and international licensing of technologies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Hovenkamp, Herbert, Mark Janis, and Mark A. Lemley, "Anticompetitive Settlement of Intellectual Property Disputes," *Minnesota Law Review* 87 (2003): 1719.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Coco, R., "Antitrust Liability for Refusal to License Intellectual Property: A Comparative Analysis and the International Setting," *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 12(1) (2008): 1; Ong, Burton, "Building Brick Barricades and Other Barriers to Entry: Abusing a Dominant Position By Refusing to License Intellectual Property Rights," *European Competition Law Review* 26 (2005): 215.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Park, Walter G. and Douglas Lippoldt, "International Licensing and the Strengthening of Intellectual Property Rights in Developing Countries During the 1990s," *OECD Economic Studies* 40 (2005): 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> See, e.g., id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> Id.

Many authors generally agree, therefore, that licensing has a positive effect on the economy of developing countries.<sup>20</sup> They argue that the technology transfer that accompanies licensing activity can serve as a valuable catalyst to economic development. As a result, licensing is generally encouraged in the literature and entities that refuse to license their IP rights are accused of behaving in an anti-competitive manner. Several articles specifically explore the imposition of antitrust liability, both in the United States and internationally, for a failure to license, but a consensus on the benefits of such proposals has not emerged.<sup>21</sup>

#### Literature:

- "Intellectual Property Rights and Licensing: An Econometric Investigation." In *Intellectual Property and Development: Lessons from Recent Economic Research*, edited by Carsten Fink and Keith E. Maskus, 111. New York: World Bank and Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
- ABA Section of Antitrust Law. *Intellectual Property Misuse: Licensing and Litigation*. Chicago: American Bar Association, 2000.
- Abu-Ghazaleh, Talal. "Challenges to Arab Industries in Acquiring and Selling Appropriate Technologies." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 445. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Basalamah, Salah. "Compulsory Licensing for Translation: An Instrument of Development?" *IDEA* 40 (2000): 503.
- Coco, R. "Antitrust Liability for Refusal to License Intellectual Property: A Comparative Analysis and the International Setting." *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 12(1) (2008): 1.
- DeBoos, Rodney. "Australia: Licensing Opportunities in the Medical and Biotechnology Industry." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 429. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Evans, Larry W. "Challenges of Licensing to and from China and Hong Kong." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 359. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Goldscheider, Roger, ed. *Licensing Best Practices: The LESI Guide to Strategic Issues and Contemporary Realities.* New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2002.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> See, e.g., Basalamah, Salah, "Compulsory Licensing for Translation: An Instrument of Development?" *IDEA* 40 (2000): 503; Coco, R., "Antitrust Liability for Refusal to License Intellectual Property: A Comparative Analysis and the International Setting," *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 12(1) (2008): 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> Ong, Burton, "Building Brick Barricades and Other Barriers to Entry: Abusing a Dominant Position By Refusing to License Intellectual Property Rights," *European Competition Law Review* 26 (2005): 215; Coco, R., "Antitrust Liability for Refusal to License Intellectual Property: A Comparative Analysis and the International Setting," *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 12(1) (2008): 1.

- Hovenkamp, Herbert, Mark Janis, and Mark A. Lemley "Anticompetitive Settlement of Intellectual Property Disputes." *Minnesota Law Review* 87 (2003): 1719.
- Husakova, Vladimira. "Industrial Property Protection and Technology Licensing in the Czech Republic in 1998." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 121. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.
- Karpova, Natalia. "Licensing in Russia: Opportunities and Pitfalls." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 395. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Lewis, Alan and Don MacRobert. "The South African Experience in Economic Development." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 453. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Noetinger, Fernando and Gabriel F. Leonardos. "Prospects for Increased Licensing in Latin America." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 461. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Ong, Burton. "Building Brick Barricades and Other Barriers to Entry: Abusing a Dominant Position by Refusing to License Intellectual Property Rights." *European Competition Law Review* 26 (2005): 215.
- Park, Walter G. and Douglas Lippoldt. "International Licensing and the Strengthening of Intellectual Property Rights in Developing Countries During the 1990s." *OECD Economic Studies* 40 (2005): 8.
- Unkovic, Dennis. "Is There a Future for Japan." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 391. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.

#### 4. IP Litigation and its Effects on Market Entry

A number of articles explore how the threat of IP litigation can act as a barrier to market entry and how the negative effects on market entry can have antitrust implications.

Particularly, the literature in this subset explores the potential for abuse of IP rights to limit competitors from entering the market.<sup>22</sup> Articles in this group explore how IP owners use threats of litigation to extract higher fees than otherwise negotiable and that consequently impose higher barriers to entry. Authors argue that the ease of acquiring IP rights, the broadness of many IP assets and the unpredictability of IP litigation can cause IP owners to abuse the legal system. Abuse will typically involve a rent-seeking party who attempts to stretch the scope of his or her rights.

15

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Hovenkamp, Herbert, Mark Janis, and Mark A. Lemley, "Anticompetitive Settlement of Intellectual Property Disputes," *Minnesota Law Review* 87 (2003): 1719; Meurer, Michael J., "Controlling Opportunistic and Anti-competitive Intellectual Property Litigation," *Boston College Law Review* 44 (2003): 509.

The literature in this section also suggests that while IP rights essentially grant their owners a limited monopoly, courts can control abusive cases through the strategic administration of judicial proceedings.<sup>23</sup> Proposed methods of combating anti-competitive behavior include imposition of clearer demarcations of the boundaries of IP rights, and using procedural methods to mitigate the harm of frivolous IP litigation.

Other articles consider how many IP disputes are settled out of court in an anticompetitive matter.<sup>24</sup> One article suggests that while there are often competitive consequences linked to the settlement of IP disputes, many of these competitive consequences would exist regardless of the merit of the IP rights based arguments in the case.<sup>25</sup>

#### Literature:

- ABA Section of Antitrust Law. *Intellectual Property Misuse: Licensing and Litigation*. Chicago: American Bar Association, 2000.
- Adams, Wendy A. "Intellectual Property Infringement in Global Networks: The Implications of Protection Ahead of the Curve." *International Journal of Law & Information Technology* 10 (2002): 71.
- Feldman, Leonard J., Rima J. Alaily and Chad D. Farrell. "Independent Ink at the Crossroads of Antitrust and Intellectual Property Law: The Court's Holding Regarding Market Power in Cases Involving Patents and Implications in Cases Involving Copyrights." *Seattle University Law Review* 30 (2007): 407.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert, Mark Janis and Mark A. Lemley. "Anticompetitive Settlement of Intellectual Property Disputes." *Minnesota Law Review* 87 (2003): 1719.
- Meurer, Michael J. "Controlling Opportunistic and Anti-Competitive Intellectual Property Litigation." Boston College Law Review 44 (2003): 509.
- Romary, John M. "Protecting Intellectual Property Rights in the United States through Litigation,
  Arbitration and Mediation." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 1. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.

#### 5. Information, Communications and Technology Industries

A small subset of articles focuses on how IP rights act as a barrier to market entry within the ICT industries. This subset of articles is largely focused on software and information technology.

16

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> See supra note 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> Hovenkamp, Herbert, Mark Janis, and Mark A. Lemley, "Anticompetitive Settlement of Intellectual Property Disputes," *Minnesota Law Review* 87 (2003): 1719.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> Id.

These authors discuss the challenges of research and development financing and investment in the high tech industries. Some argue that effective enforcement is critical to facilitating financing and note that firms in areas with better systems of enforcement of IP rights invest more in research and development.<sup>26</sup> They conclude that IP rights enforcement in high tech industries fosters growth through financing and investments in research and development.

Other authors note how strong IP protection of technology can be used in an anticompetitive way to lock in consumers and exclude competitors.<sup>27</sup> They focus on how companies use such IP rights to prevent copying and interoperability with other products. These authors suggest that such practices exclude competition and foster market power and they examine how competition law can address anticompetitive conduct that results from the exercise of IP rights in the context of technology.

#### Literature:

- Ang, James S., Yingmei Chen and Chaopeng Wu. "Does Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights Matter? Evidence from Financing and Investment Choices in the High Tech Industry." FSU College of Law, Law, Business & Economics Paper No. 09-12. (2009) available at http://ssrn.com/abstract=1357045.
- Blevins, J. "Death of the Revolution: The Legal War on Competitive Broadband Technologies." *Yale Journal of Law & Technology* 12 (2009): 85.
- Bond, H. S. "What's So Great About Nothing? The GNU General Public License and the Zero-Price-Fixing Problem." *Michigan Law Review* 104(3) (2005): 547.
- Clapperton, D. and S. G. Corones. "Locking in Customers, Locking Out Competitors: Anti-Circumvention Laws in Australia and Their Potential Effect on Competition in High Technology Markets."

  Melbourne University Law Review 30(3) (2006): 657.
- Hall, B., A. Link, et al. "Barriers Inhibiting Industry from Partnering with Universities: Evidence from the Advanced Technology Program." Department of Economics, Institute for Business and Economic Research, UC Berkeley, Department of Economics, Working Paper Series: 1023 (2000).
- Nuss, Sabine and Lydia Heller. "Intellectual Property Rights and Intellectual Property Left The Case of the Operating System Linux." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 64. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.

<sup>26</sup> See, e.g., Blevins, J., "Death of the Revolution: The Legal War on Competitive Broadband Technologies," Yale Journal of Law & Technology 12 (2009): 85.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> See, e.g., Clapperton, D. and S. G. Corones, "Locking in Customers, Locking Out Competitors: Anti-Circumvention Laws in Australia and Their Potential Effect on Competition in High Technology Markets," *Melbourne University Law Review* 30(3) (2006): 657.

- Waterson, Michael and Normal Ireland. "An Auction Model of Intellectual property Protection: Patent Versus Copyright." In *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation* edited by David Encaoua et al. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- World Intellectual Property Organization. "Symposium on Industrial Property and Economic and Technological Development." WIPO Publication No. 665(E). Geneva: WIPO, 1988.
- Xu, Bin amd Eric P. Chiang. "Trade, Patents and International Technology Diffusion." *Journal of International Trade & Economic Development* 14(1) (2005): 115.

#### 6. Geographic Case Studies

A number of articles examine how IP rights impact market entry in specific countries or geographical regions. Many of these studies focus on broad geographic regions, while others are more narrowly focused on individual countries. Regions with more robust coverage are Asia, Europe generally, and Central and Eastern Europe Many of the regional studies compared IP rights between high-income and developing regions. Country-specific studies explored IP rights in Egypt, Brazil, Australia, Argentina, Ipagentina, Ipagentina

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> World Intellectual Property Organization, *Intellectual Property in Asian Countries: Studies on Infrastructure and Economic Impact* (Geneva, Switzerland: World Intellectual Property Organization, 2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Heimler, Alberto and Robert D. Anderson, "What Has Competition Done for Europe? An Inter-Disciplinary Answer," *Aussenwirtschaft* 4 (2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> See, generally, Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 57 (Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Rizk, Nagla and Lea Shaver, *Access to Knowledge in Egypt, New Research on Intellectual Property, Innovation and Development* (London: Bloombury, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Shaver, Lea Bishop, *Access to Knowledge in Brazil: New Research on Intellectual Property, Innovation and Development* (New York: A & C Black Publishers Limited. 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> DeBoos, Rodney, "Australia: Licensing Opportunities in the Medical and Biotechnology Industry," in *Licensing Best Practices*, ed. Robert Goldscheider (New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002), 429; Sanderson, J. and K. Adams, "Are Plant Breeder's Rights Outdated? A Descriptive and Empirical Assessment of Plant Breeder's Rights in Australia, 1987-200," *Melbourne University Law Review* 32(3) (2008): 980.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Czub, Kimberly A., "Argentina's Emerging Standard of Intellectual Property Protection: A Case Study of the Underlying Conflicts between Developing Countries, TRIPS Standards, and the United States," *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law* 33 (2001): 191.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Schlicher, John W. "Antitrust and Competition Law Limits on Licensing Practices in Japan." *Computer & Internet Lawyer* (2006).

These articles focus on specific geographic regions to test theories or demonstrate certain themes. Themes explored in this group include the TRIPS regime and its effects on developing countries, the impact of TRIPS on foreign direct investment (FDI), the role of IP rights in the transition of a country's economy to a service-based economy, and technological advancement as a catalyst for changes in IP regimes.

The articles related to TRIPS tend to focus on developing countries.<sup>39</sup> These articles point out that while the requirements set out under TRIPS may be optimal for Western countries, they may not be appropriate for developing countries for both economic and cultural reasons. Through specific country case studies, these articles suggest that in order for the promises of TRIPs to be realized in developing countries, IP laws should be narrowly tailored to each country based on its unique culture and characteristics. It is also noted in many of these articles that Western conceptions of IP rights may not be in line with the cultural and political circumstances of developing countries.<sup>40</sup>

Other articles use a geographic focus to explore the impact of TRIPS on foreign direct investment (FDI). Some of these articles study the interplay between TRIPS and FDI in the pharmaceutical industry within a specific geographic area. These articles explore how strong IP rights create a market-friendly enabling environment that will encourage FDI. For example, one article finds that strengthening IP

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Adeyinka, A. G., "Intellectual Property Rights in Developing Countries: Nigeria's Copyright Decree, 1988," in *The Law and Economic Development in the Third World*, ed. P. E. Bondzi-Simpson, (Westport, Conn. and London: Greenwood, Praeger, 1992) 43-81.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> Schlicher, John W., "Antitrust and Competition Law Limits on Licensing Practices in Japan," *Computer & Internet Lawyer* (2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> Chen, Tain-Jy and Cheng Li, *The New Knowledge Economy of Taiwan* (Northampton, MA, 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Schlicher, John W., "Antitrust and Competition Law Limits on Licensing Practices in Japan," *Computer & Internet Lawyer* (2006).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Czub, Kimberly A., "Argentina's Emerging Standard of Intellectual Property Protection: A Case Study of the Underlying Conflicts between Developing Countries, TRIPS Standards, and the United States," *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law* 33 (2001): 191; Kogan, Lawrence A. "Rediscovering the Value of Intellectual Property Rights: How Brazil's Recognition and Protection of Foreign IPRs Can Stimulate Domestic Innovation and Generate Economic Growth," *International Journal of Economic Development* 8(1-2) (2006): 15; Adams, S., "Intellectual Property Rights, Investment Climate and FDI in Developing Countries," *International Business Research* 3(3) (2010): 201-209.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> Czub, Kimberly A. "Argentina's Emerging Standard of Intellectual Property Protection: A Case Study of the Underlying Conflicts between Developing Countries, TRIPS Standards, and the United States." *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law* 33 (2001): 191.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Kogan, Lawrence A., "Rediscovering the Value of Intellectual Property Rights: How Brazil's Recognition and Protection of Foreign IPRs Can Stimulate Domestic Innovation and Generate Economic Growth," *International Journal of Economic Development* 8(1-2) (2006): 15.

rights has a positive effect on FDI and that TRIPS increases the correlation between IP rights and FDI.<sup>44</sup> The article also points out, however, that while IP rights are a critical component of maximizing the appeal of FDI in developing countries, it is only one of many drivers.<sup>45</sup> It is notable that all of these articles focus their analysis on a specific country or geographic region in order to draw conclusions about the overall correlation between IP protection and FDI.

Another group of articles focus on the role of IP in the transformation of a country's economy from a labor-based to a service-based economy. Specifically, these articles focus on how IP rights affect access to knowledge. Authors discuss what role government regulation should play in ensuring access to knowledge. Many of these articles focus on how to promote access to biotechnology, copyrightable works, and medicines. They discuss what actions can be taken by the governments of developing countries to utilize IP as a tool for development. Some articles also explore how government policies can serve as a counterweight to the anti-competitive practices of firms.

Another subset of articles explores how technological advancement acts as a catalyst for changes in IP law within specific geographic regions. These articles note that IP laws tend to evolve as new technologies are introduced and create new legal challenges. While one author argues that IP laws in the U.S. have historically been very malleable, and have generally followed the needs of institutions, other articles suggest that allowing the legal structure of a country to evolve with the economic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Adams, S., "Intellectual Property Rights, Investment Climate and FDI in Developing Countries," *International Business Research* 3(3) (2010): 201-209.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> Chen, Tain-Jy and Cheng Li, *The New Knowledge Economy of Taiwan* (Northampton, 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> Shaver, Lea Bishop, Access to Knowledge in Brazil: New Research on Intellectual Property, Innovation and Development (New York: A & C Black Publishers Limited, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Nurton, James, "Africa Turns to IP for Development," *Managing Intellectual Property* (2008).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Heimler, Alberto and Robert D. Anderson, "What Has Competition Done for Europe? An Inter-Disciplinary Answer," *Aussenwirtschaft* 4 (2007).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> Khan, B. Z., "Technological Innovations and Endogenous Changes in U.S. Legal Institutions, 1790-1920," NBER working paper series no. 10346 (2004) *available at* http://www.nber.org/papers/w10346; Lapp, John S. C. C., C. M. Craig M. Newmark, et al. "A Study of Intellectual Property Rights Protection in Developing Countries," (2004) *available at* http://www.lib.ncsu.edu/resolver/1840.16/201; Sell, S. K., "Intellectual Property Protection and Antitrust in the Developing World: Crisis, Coercion, and Choice," *International Organization* 49(2) (1995): 315-349.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Khan, B. Z., "Technological Innovations and Endogenous Changes in U.S. Legal Institutions, 1790-1920," NBER working paper series no. 10346 (2004) *available at* http://www.nber.org/papers/w10346.

structure may not be appropriate for developing countries.<sup>52</sup> This group of authors suggests that, before a "critical turning point," strengthening IP laws can actually have negative effects on the economic development of a country.<sup>53</sup> They note that developing countries struggle to balance their obligations under TRIPS with their need to maintain IP laws that are liberal and flexible enough to stimulate economic development. One author argues that, as a result, developing countries may enact Western style IP laws but fail to enforce them.<sup>54</sup>

#### <u>Literature:</u>

- Abu-Ghazaleh, Talal. "Challenges to Arab Industries in Acquiring and Selling Appropriate Technologies." In Licensing Best Practices, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 445. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Adams, S., "Intellectual Property Rights, Investment Climate and FDI in Developing Countries," International Business Research 3(3) (2010): 201-209.
- Adeyinka, A. G. "Intellectual Property Rights in Developing Countries: Nigeria's Copyright Decree, 1988." In The Law and Economic Development in the Third World, edited by P. E. Bondzi-Simpson, 43-81. Westport, Conn. and London: Greenwood Praeger, 1992.
- Biggers, Sara M. and Richard A. Mann, & Barry S. Roberts. "Intellectual Property and Antitrust: A Comparison of Evolution in the European Union and United States." Hastings International & Comparative Law Review 22 (1999): 209.
- Burrell, Robert and Kimberlee Weatherall. "Exporting Controversy? Reactions to the Copyright Provisions of the U.S.-Australia Free Trade Agreement: Lessons for U.S. Trade Policy." University of Illinois Journal of Law, Technology & Policy 2008 (2008): 259.
- Chen, Tain-Jy and Cheng Li. The New Knowledge Economy of Taiwan. Northampton, MA, 2004.
- Cinquegrani, Maria Saveria and Riccardo Carelli. "The Experience in Technology Transfer of the Largest Research Organisation in Italy (National Research Council - CNR)." In Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 57. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup> Lapp, John S., C. C., C. M. Craig M. Newmark, et al., "A Study of Intellectual Property Rights Protection in Developing Countries," (2004) available at http://www.lib.ncsu.edu/resolver/1840.16/201.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>53</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> Sell, S. K., "Intellectual Property Protection and Antitrust in the Developing World: Crisis, Coercion, and Choice," *International* Organization 49(2) (1995): 315-349.

- Cohen, Mark A., Elizabeth A. Bang and Stephanie J. Mitchell. *Chinese Intellectual Property Law and Practice*. Boston: The Hague, 1999.
- Czub, Kimberly A. "Argentina's Emerging Standard of Intellectual Property Protection: A Case Study of the Underlying Conflicts between Developing Countries, TRIPS Standards, and the United States." Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law 33 (2001): 191.
- DeBoos, Rodney. "Australia: Licensing Opportunities in the Medical and Biotechnology Industry." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 429. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Dilley, James. "The Effect of EC Competition Law on Intellectual Property Valuations: Implications for Corporate Strategies." *Oregon Review of International Law* 4 (2002): 104.
- Economic Commission for Europe. Report of the Consultative Visit to Latvia, 13-14 November 2000, Riga, Latvia: Economic Commission for Europe, Committee for Trade, Industry and Enterprise Development, Working Party on International Legal and Commercial Practice, Advisory Group on the Protection and Implementation of Intellectual Property Rights for Investment. Geneva: UN, 2001.
- Economic Commission for Europe. Report of the Consultative Visit to Lithuania, 16-17 November 2000, Vilnius, Lithuania: Economic Commission for Europe, Committee for Trade, Industry and Enterprise Development, Working Party on International Legal and Commercial Practice, Advisory Group on the Protection and Implementation of Intellectual Property Rights for Investment. Geneva: UN, 2001.
- Erstling, Jay A. and Ryan E. Strom. "Korea's Patent Policy and its Impact on Economic Development: A Model for Emerging Countries?" *San Diego International Law Journal* 11 (2010): 441.
- Evans, Larry W. "Challenges of Licensing to and from China and Hong Kong." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 359. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Garcia, Gabriel. "Economic Development and the Course of Intellectual Property Protection in Mexico." Texas International Law Journal 27 (1992): 701.
- Harris, H. S., Jr. and R. J. Ganske. "The Monopolization and IP Abuse Provisions of China's Anti-Monopoly Law: Concerns and a Proposal." *Antitrust Law Journal* 75(1) (2008): 213.
- Heimler, Alberto and Robert D. Anderson. "What Has Competition Done for Europe? An Inter-Disciplinary Answer." *Aussenwirtschaft* 4 (2007).
- Helfer, Laurence R., Karen J. Alter, and M. Florencia Guerzovich. "Islands of Effective International Adjudication: Constructing an Intellectual Property Rule of Law in the Andean Community." American Journal of International Law 103 (2009): 1.

- Hicks, Matthew R. "Japanese-Auto Products V. BBS--A Threat to Exacerbate U.S.-Japanese Trade Relations?" Loyola International & Comparative Law Review 19 (1997): 479.
- Husakova, Vladimira. "Industrial Property Protection and Technology Licensing in the Czech Republic in 1998." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 121. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.
- Jayagovind, A. "Regional Trade Agreements: Building Blocks or Stumbling Blocks?" In *Economic Analysis* of Law in India: Theory and Application, edited by P.G. Babu, et al., 115. New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2010.
- Jaynes, Andrew. "Why Intellectual Property Rights Infringement Remains Entrenched in the Philippines." Pace International Law Review 21 (2009): 55.
- Karpova, Natalia. "Licensing In Russia: Opportunities and Pitfalls." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 395. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Khan, B. Z. "Technological Innovations and Endogenous Changes in U.S. Legal Institutions, 1790-1920." NBER working paper series no. 10346 (2004) *available at* http://www.nber.org/papers/w10346.
- Khoury, Amir H. "Dubai's New Intellectual Property-Based Economy: Prospects for Development Without Dependency." *John Marshall Review of Intellectual Property Law* 9 (2009): 84.
- Kogan, Lawrence A. "Rediscovering the Value of Intellectual Property Rights: How Brazil's Recognition and Protection of Foreign IPRs Can Stimulate Domestic Innovation and Generate Economic Growth." *International Journal of Economic Development* 8(1-2) (2006): 15.
- Korah, Valentine. "The Interface between Intellectual Property and Antitrust: The European Experience." Antitrust Law Journal 60 (2002): 801.
- Landman, Lawrence B. "Innovation and the Structure of Competition: Future Markets in European and American Law." *Journal of the Patent & Trademark Office Society* 81 (1999): 728.
- Lang LL.D, John Temple. "European Community Antitrust Law: Innovation Markets and High Technology Industries." Fordham International Law Journal 20 (1997): 717.
- Lapp, John S. C. C., C. M. Craig M. Newmark, et al. "A Study of Intellectual Property Rights Protection in Developing Countries," (2004) *available at* http://www.lib.ncsu.edu/resolver/1840.16/201.
- Latif, Ahmed Abdel. "Balancing TRIPS Obligations and TRIPS-Plus Demands." In *Access to Knowledge in Egypt*, edited by Nagla Rizk and Lee Shaver, 38. London: Bloomsbury, 2010.
- Lewis, Alan and Don MacRobert. "The South African Experience in Economic Development." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 453. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.

- Maskus, Keith E., et al. "Intellectual Property Rights and Economic Development in China." In *Intellectual Property and Development: Lessons from Recent Economic Research*, edited by Carsten Fink and Keith E. Maskus, 295. New York: World Bank and Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
- Maskus, Keith E. "Strengthening Intellectual Property Rights in Lebanon." In *Intellectual Property and Development: Lessons from Recent Economic Research*, edited by Carsten Fink and Keith E. Maskus, 259. New York: World Bank and Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
- McFetridge, Donald G. "Intellectual Property, Technology Diffusion, and Growth in the Canadian Economy." In *Competition Policy and Intellectual Property Rights in the Knowledge-Based Economy*, edited by Robert D. Anderson and Nancy T. Gallini, 65. Toronto: University of Calgary Press, 1998.
- Naujokas, Rimvydas. "Aspects of Industrial Property Protection in Lithuania in Entering the World Trade Organisation." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 82. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.
- Noetinger, Fernando and Gabriel F. Leonardos. "Prospects for Increased Licensing in Latin America." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 461. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Nurton, James. "Africa Turns to IP for Development." Managing Intellectual Property (2008).
- Page, William H. "Antitrust Review of Mergers in Transition Economies." *University of Cincinnati Law Review* 66 (1998): 1113.
- Qingjiang, Kong. "The Political Economy of the Intellectual Property Regime-Building in China: Evidence from the Evolution of the Chinese Patent Regime." *Pacific McGeorge Global Business & Development Law Journal* 21 (2008): 111.
- Reindl, Andreas. "Intellectual Property and Intra-Community Trade." Fordham International Law Journal 20 (1997): 819.
- Rizk, Nagla and Lea Shaver. Access to Knowledge in Egypt, New Research on Intellectual Property, Innovation and Development. London: Bloombury, 2010.
- Roeger, Werner, Janos Varga and Jan In't Veld. "Modeling the Lisbon Strategy: Analyzing Policies to Promote Knowledge Investment with an Endogenous Growth Model." *Comparative Economic Studies* 51(4): 520.
- Saglam, Mehmet. "Industrial Property Protection in Turkey: New Legislation, International Agreements, Application and Enforcement." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 33. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.

- Sanderson, J. and K. Adams. "Are Plant Breeder's Rights Outdated? A Descriptive and Empirical Assessment of Plant Breeder's Rights in Australia, 1987-2007." *Melbourne University Law Review* 32(3) (2008): 980.
- Schiappacasse, Mikhaelle. "Intellectual Property Rights in China: Technology Transfers and Economic Development." *Buffalo Intellectual Property Law Journal* 2 (2004): 164.
- Sell, S. K. "Intellectual Property Protection and Antitrust in the Developing World: Crisis, Coercion, and Choice." *International Organization* 49(2) (1995): 315-349.
- Schlicher, John W. "Antitrust and Competition Law Limits on Licensing Practices in Japan." *Computer & Internet Lawyer* (2006).
- Shaver, Lea Bishop. Access to Knowledge in Brazil: New Research on Intellectual Property, Innovation and Development. New York: A & C Black Publishers Limited, 2010.
- Shpak, A.P. and Y.M. Kapitsa. "Intellectual Property in the Ukraine: Problems of Commercialisation and Legal Protection." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 167. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.
- Smith, Michael W. "Bringing Developing Countries' Intellectual Property Laws to TRIPS Standards:

  Hurdles and Pitfalls Facing Vietnam's Efforts to Normalize an Intellectual Property Regime." Case

  Western Reserve Journal of International Law 31 (1999): 211.
- Unkovic, Dennis. "Is There a Future for Japan." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 391. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Valdes-Abascal, Rafael. "Concentrations: An Analysis of the Mexican Economic Competition Legal Framework: Relevant Cases." U.S. Mexico Law Journal 9 (2001): 57.
- Westkamp, Guido (2007). "Intellectual Property, Competition Rules, and the Emerging Internal Market: Some Thoughts on the European Exhaustion Doctrine." *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 11 (2007): 291.
- World Intellectual Property Organization. *Intellectual Property in Asian Countries: Studies on Infrastructure and Economic Impact.* Geneva, Switzerland: World Intellectual Property Organization, 2009.
- Zaiko, Leonid. "Intellectual Property in Belarus: Legal and Economic Aspects." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 154.
  Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.

Zolotyskh, Natalia. "The Use and Regulation of Intellectual Property Rights in Russia." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 138. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.

#### 7. IP Protection as a Barrier to International Trade

There is a trend within the literature examining how IP rights generally impact access to markets through trade between two or more countries. These publications consider the impact of international treaties and agreements on international trade and generally examine IP rights in the context of robust international trade systems. They focus on multilateral and bilateral negotiations on IP rights.

A group of articles explores how IP rights have influenced bilateral trade flows and foreign direct investment.<sup>55</sup> They note that nations have tried to further regulate IP rights through bilateral regimes. Some conclude that these regimes will have significant long-term economic impacts globally. These studies are typically econometric. Overall, there seems to be general consensus among scholars that bilateral treaties, particularly between the United States and various individual countries, fail to take into account developing countries' needs because these countries do not offer sufficiently sizeable markets to warrant the time and effort of bilateral negotiations.

Another trend in this group of articles focuses on regional or intra-community trade.<sup>56</sup> Many use the European Union as a case study. For instance, Andreas Reindl examines IP cases and other developments in European competition law to examine the region's pro-free trade approach in IP cases in contrast to the traditional territorial nature of IP rights.<sup>57</sup>

#### Literature:

Adams, Wendy A. "Intellectual Property Infringement in Global Networks: The Implications of Protection Ahead of the Curve." *International Journal of Law & Technology* 10 (2002): 71.

Barbosa, Denis Borges. "Slouching Towards Development in International Intellectual Property." Michigan State Law Review (2007): 71.

26

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> Burrell, Robert and Kimberlee Weatherall, "Exporting Controversy? Reactions to the Copyright Provisions of the U.S.-Australia Free Trade Agreement: Lesson for U.S. Trade Policy," *University of Illinois Journal of Law, Technology & Policy* (2008): 259; Hicks, Matthew R., "Japanese-Auto Products V. BBS--A Threat to Exacerbate U.S.-Japanese Trade Relations?" *Loyola International & Comparative Law Review* 19 (1997): 479; Davis, Michael H. and Dana Neacsu, "Legitimacy, Globally: The Incoherence of Free Trade Practice, Global Economics and their Governing Principles of Political Economy," *University of Missouri Kansas City Law Review* 69 (2001): 733; Leaffer, Marshall A., "Protecting United States Intellectual Property Abroad: Toward a New Multilateralism," *Iowa Law Review* 76 (1991): 273.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> See, e.g., Reindl, Andreas, "Intellectual Property and Intra-Community Trade," Fordham International Law Journal 20 (1997): 819.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Id.

- Burrell, Robert and Kimberlee Weatherall. "Exporting Controversy? Reactions to the Copyright Provisions of the U.S.-Australia Free Trade Agreement: Lesson for U.S. Trade Policy." *University of Illinois Journal of Law, Technology & Policy* (2008): 259.
- Coco, Rita. "Antitrust Liability for Refusal to License Intellectual Property: A Comparative Analysis and the International Setting." *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 12 (2008): 1.
- Coviat, Benjamin, Fabienne Orsi, et. al. "TRIPS and the International Public Health Controversies: Issues and Challenges." *Industrial & Corporate Change* 15(6) (2006): 1033.
- Davis, Michael H. and Dana Neacsu. "Legitimacy, Globally: The Incoherence of Free Trade Practice, Global Economics and their Governing Principles of Political Economy." *University of Missouri Kansas City Law Review* 69 (2001): 733.
- Dinwoodie, Graeme B. and Rochelle C. Dreyfuss, Rochelle (2004). "TRIPS and the Dynamics of Intellectual Property Lawmaking." *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law* 36 (2004): 95.
- Fink, C. "Intellectual Property Rights and U.S. and German International Transactions in Manufacturing Industries." In *Intellectual Property and Development: Lessons from Recent Economic Research*, edited by Carsten Fink and Keith E. Maskus, 75. New York: World Bank and Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
- Fink, Carsten and Carlos A. Primo Braga. "How Stronger Protection of Intellectual Property Rights Affects International Trade Flows." In *Intellectual Property and Development: Lessons from Recent Economic Research*, edited by Carsten Fink and Keith E. Maskus, 19. New York: World Bank and Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
- Gana, Ruth L. "Prospects for Developing Countries Under the TRIPS Agreement." *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law* 29 (1996): 735
- Geller, Paul Edward. "Intellectual Property in the Global Marketplace: Impact of TRIPS Dispute Settlements?" *International Law* 29 (1995): 99.
- Gervais, Daniel J. "Intellectual Property, Trade & Development: The State of Play." *Fordham Law Review* 74 (2005): 505.
- Gutterman, Alan S. "The North-South Debate Regarding the Protection of Intellectual Property Rights." Wake Forest Law Review 28 (1993): 89.
- Hicks, Matthew R. "Japanese-Auto Products V. BBS--A Threat to Exacerbate U.S.-Japanese Trade Relations?" Loyola International & Comparative Law Review 19 (1997): 479.

- Jayagovind, A. "Regional Trade Agreements: Building Blocks or Stumbling Blocks?" In *Economic Analysis* of Law in India; Theory and Application, edited by P.G. Babu, et al., 115. New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2010.
- Leaffer, Marshall A. "Protecting United States Intellectual Property Abroad: Toward a New Multilateralism." *Iowa Law Review* 76 (1991): 273.
- Lehman, Bruce A. "Intellectual Property Rights as a Trade, Health and Economic Development Issue." *St. John's Journal of Legal Commentary* 17 (2003): 417.
- Naujokas, Rimvydas. "Aspects of Industrial Property Protection in Lithuania in Entering the World Trade Organisation." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 82. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.
- Maskus, Keith E. "The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Encouraging Foreign Direct Investment and Technology Transfer." In *Intellectual Property and Development: Lessons from Recent Economic Research*, edited by Carsten Fink and Keith E. Maskus, 41. New York: World Bank and Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
- Maskus, Keith E. and Jerome H. Reichman. "The Globalization of Private Knowledge Goods and the Privatization of Global Public Goods." *Journal of International Economic Law* 7 (2004): 279.
- Michaels, A. "International Technology Transfer and TRIPS Article 66.2: Can Global Administrative Law Help Least-Developed Countries Get What they Bargained for?" *Georgetown Journal of International Law* 41(1) (2009): 223.
- Morgan, Maxwell R. "Medicines for the Developing World: Promoting Access and Innovation in the Post-TRIPS Environment." *University of Toronto Faculty of Law Review* 64 (2006): 45.
- Okediji, Ruth L. "WIPO-WTO Relations and the Future of Global Intellectual Property Norms." Netherlands Yearbook of International Law 39 (2001): 69.
- Reichman, J.H. "Taking the Medicine, with Angst: An Economist's View of the TRIPS Agreement." *Journal of International Economic Law* 4 (2001): 795.
- Reichman, J.H. "Charting the Collapse of the Patent-Copyright Dichotomy: Premises for a Restructured Intellectual Property System." Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal 13 (1993): 475.
- Reichman, J.H. "The TRIPS Component of the GATT's Uruguay Round: Competitive Prospects for Intellectual Property Owners in an Integrated World Market." Fordham Intellectual Property Media & Entertainment Law Journal 4 (1993): 171.
- Reindl, Andreas. "Intellectual Property and Intra-Community Trade." Fordham International Law Journal 20 (1997): 819.

- Ryan, Michael P. "Knowledge-Economy Elites, the International Law of Intellectual Property and Trade, and Economic Development." *Cardozo Journal of International & Comparative Law* 10 (2002): 271.
- Sherwood, Robert M. "The TRIPS Agreement: Implications for Developing Countries." *IDEA* 37 (1997): 491.
- Smid, Siemon. "Intellectual Property Law Uniformity in the CEECs and the EU: Conformity Issues and an Overview." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 72. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.
- Stewart, George, et al. International Trade and Intellectual Property. Boulder: Westview Press, 1994.
- Straus, Joseph. "Bargaining Around the TRIPS Agreement: The Case for Ongoing Public-Private Initiatives to Facilitate Worldwide Intellectual Property Transactions." *Duke Journal of Comparative & International Law* 9 (1998): 91.
- Weng, Y and C. Yang. "Intellectual Property Rights and U.S. Information Goods Exports: The Role of Imitation." *Journal of Cultural Economics* 33(2) (2009): 109.

# 8. The Linkage between International IP Protection Standards and Economic Development

There is a trend among the articles to focus generally on whether strong uniform international standards for IP protection will encourage innovation and economic development. While this trend does not directly address market barriers, the articles explored closely related issues and reflect that economic development is a pre-condition for market access. These articles discuss the extent to which conventions and treaties, such as the TRIPS Agreement, have facilitated the growth of IP rights and promoted economic development in the international arena. This subset of articles is largely focused on the impact of these treaties on developing nations and regions, particularly Asia and Latin America. The articles demonstrate that scholars remain divided about whether strong international standards will promote economic growth in the developing world.

Some scholars maintain that strong IP protection, particularly as structured under the TRIPS Agreement, significantly benefits developing countries and promotes their economic growth. These authors contend that implementing IP rights systems helps increase foreign direct investment, domestic innovation, and technology acquisition and transfer. They conclude that expanded IP protection has the potential for long-term economic development and technological innovation in developing countries, despite its high initial cost. They therefore encourage developing countries to develop and/or strengthen their IP rights systems.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> See, e.g., Homere, Jean Raymond, "Intellectual Property Rights Can Help Stimulate the Economic Development of Least Developed Countries," *Columbia Journal of Law & Arts* 27 (2004): 277.

The articles in support of strong uniform standards often present case studies to demonstrate how IP rights have already stimulated growth in particular countries. For instance, one article reviews empirical data to show how IP rights have promoted economic growth, education, quality of life, and research and development in developed countries. Another prominent author in the area reviews empirical evidence on the costs and benefits of IP rights systems in facilitating economic development. He concludes that product innovation depends upon the strength of IP rights in developing countries. He found a positive impact on growth, but also acknowledged that this effect depended on the competitiveness of countries' economies.

In contrast, other authors contend that TRIPS-level protections may actually impede economic growth in developing countries.<sup>61</sup> These authors argue that it is costly for developing countries to fully implement TRIPS requirements because they remain poor, have small, undercapitalized corporations, inadequate education systems, and weak political institutions prone to corruption. These articles suggest that the benefits of strong IP rights systems are contingent upon the implementation of the legal, economic and political structures associated with market liberalization and free market systems. These articles observe that developing countries remain skeptical of developed countries' motives in promoting their Western-based versions of IP protections. Some argue that developing countries' struggle to implement international IP standards may harm their economic development pursuits by facilitating IP rights holders' monopolistic behaviors thereby raising the costs of imitation. These authors remain critical of the correlation between level of IP rights protection and economic development.

A consensus does emerge, however, amongst some authors who recognize that IP regimes represent just one variable that might enhance economic growth in developing countries. These authors argue that strong IP rights may be growth-enhancing when such rights are combined with other complementary institutional reforms. They conclude that developing economies will most profit from implementing IP rights in the global marketplace if they establish minimum IP standards, build necessary infrastructure, and adopt regulatory safeguards to prevent abuses and promote free entry. For instance, some authors recommend that developing countries should establish strong competition regimes to combat potentially anti-competitive practices, such as abusive licensing, monopoly pricing, unnecessary market segmentation, refusals to deal, excessive pricing, and territorial restraints on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> Maskus, Keith E., "Intellectual Property Rights and Economic Development," *Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law* 32(3) (2000): 471.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> See, e.g., Su, Evelyn, "The Winners and the Losers: The Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights and its Effects on Developing Countries," *Houston Journal of International Law* 23 (2000): 169.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>62</sup> See, e.g., Sherwood, Robert M, "The TRIPS Agreement: Implications for Developing Countries," *IDEA* 37 (1997): 491; Reichman, Jerome H., "Intellectual Property in The Twenty-First Century: Will the Developing Countries Lead or Follow?" *Houston Law Review* 46 (2009): 1115.

outputs, which might thwart potential gains.<sup>63</sup> Other authors also emphasize the need for more robust complementary legal regimes such as corporate law and bankruptcy law.<sup>64</sup> Most authors also emphasize the need for effective judicial enforcement of IP rights, including widely available judicial remedies, adequately resourced public administrations, and proper training for judges.

#### Literature:

457.

- Acemoglu, D. A. U. "State-Dependent Intellectual Property Rights Policy." Working paper series / Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Dept. of Economics; working paper 06-34\_(2006) available at http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract%5Fid=951788.
- Alikhan, Shahid and Raghunath Mashelkar. *Intellectual Property and Competitive Strategies in the 21st Century*. Frederick: Kluwer Law International, 2009.
- Asheim, Bjorn et al. "Intellectual Property Rights Policy for Gene-Related Inventions Issues for Governance in a Global Context." In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 37. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.
- Barbosa, Denis Borges. "Slouching Towards Development in International Property." *Michigan State Law Review* (2007): 71.
- Brown, R. N. "The Little Recognized Connection between Intellectual Property and Economic Development in Latin America." *International Review of Industrial Property and Copyright Law* 22(3) (1991): 348.
- Cann, Jr., Wesley A. "On the Relationship between Intellectual Property Rights and the Need of Less-Developed Countries for Access to Pharmaceuticals: Creating a Legal Duty to Supply Under a Theory of Progressive Global Constitutionalism." *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law* 25 (2004): 755.
- Cellini, R. "The Economics of Innovation." *Contributions to Economic Analysis* 286, (2008) *available at* http://public.eblib.com/EBLPublic/PublicView.do?ptiID=453244
- Cinquegrani, Maria Saveria and Riccardo Carelli. "The Experience in Technology Transfer of the Largest Resear Organisation in Italy (National Research Council CNR)." In *Intellectual Property Rights in*

<sup>63</sup> See, e.g., Sherwood, Robert M., "The TRIPS Agreement: Implications for Developing Countries," *IDEA* 37 (1997): 491; Reichman, Jerome H., "Intellectual Property in The Twenty-First Century: Will the Developing Countries Lead or Follow?" *Houston Law Review* 46 (2009): 1115; Morgan, Maxwell R., "Medicines for the Developing World: Promoting Access and Innovation in the Post-TRIPS Environment," *University of Toronto Faculty of Law Review* 64 (2006): 45; Maskus, Keith E., "Intellectual Property Challenges for Developing Countries: An Economic Perspective," *University of Illinois Law Review* (2001):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Reichman, Jerome H., "Intellectual Property in The Twenty-First Century: Will the Developing Countries Lead or Follow?" *Houston Law Review* 46 (2009): 1115.

- *Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 57. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.
- Dolfsma, Wilfred. "IPRs, Technological Development and Economic Development." *Journal of Economic Issues* 40(2) (2006): 333.
- Evenson, Robert E. "Comment: Intellectual Property Rights and Economic Development, by Keith *E.* Maskus." Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law 33 (2001): 187.
- Fan, P. "Innovation Capacity and Economic Development: China and India." World Institute for Development Economic Research (UNU-WIDER), Working Papers: RP2008/31.
- Fink, C. M. K. E. "Intellectual Property and Development Lessons from Recent Economic Research." Trade and Development Series, (2005) available at http://catalog.hathitrust.org/api/volumes/oclc/56613881.html
- Gana, Ruth L. "Prospects for Developing Countries Under the TRIPS Agreement." *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law* 29 (1996): 735.
- Gervais, Daniel J., ed. *Intellectual Property, Trade and Development: Strategies To Optimize Economic Development In A TRIPS-Plus Era*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2007.
- Goto, Akira. "Innovation and Competition Policy." Japanese Economic Review 60(1) (2009): 55.
- Greenhalgh, Christine A. and Mark L. Rogers. "The Value of Intellectual Property Rights to Firms and Society." Oxford Review of Economic Policy 23(4) (2007): 541.
- Gurry, Francis and Debora Halbert. "Globalization, Development, and Intellectual Property: New Challenges and New Opportunities." *Proceedings of the 101st Annual Meeting (American Society of International Law)* 99 (2005): 291.
- Gutterman, Alan S. "The North-South Debate Regarding the Protection of Intellectual Property Rights." Wake Forest Law Review 28 (1993): 89.
- Hays, Rita. "Promoting Intellectual Property for Economic Growth." *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law* 36(3) (2003): 793.
- Heath, Christopher and Anselm Kamperman Sanders, eds. *New Frontiers of Intellectual Property Law*. Oxford and Portland, OR: Hart Publishing, (2005).
- Hering, Ingrid. "Report Claims IP Harms Development." Managing Intellectual Property, (2002).
- Holbrook, J. Adam. "Are Intellectual Property Rights Quanta of Innovation?" In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 24. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.

- Homere, Jean Raymond. "Intellectual Property Rights Can Help Stimulate the Economic Development of Least Developed Countries." *Columbia Journal of Law & Arts* 27 (2004): 277.
- Inkster, Ian. "Intellectual Property, Information and Divergences in Economic Development –
  Institutional Patterns and Outcomes Circa 1421-2000." In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 413. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.
- Isaac, Alan G. and Walter G. Park. "Open Development: Is the 'Open Source' Analogy Relevant to Biotechnology?" In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 225. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.
- Jamar, Steven D. "A Lawyering Approach to Law and Development." North Carolina Journal of International Law & Communications Regulation 27 (2001): 31.
- Khan, B. Z. "Intellectual Property and Economic Development Lessons from American and European History." Study Paper / Commission on Intellectual Property Rights, no. 1a (2002) available at http://www.iprcommission.org/papers/pdfs/study%5Fpapers/sp1a%5Fkhan%5Fstudy.pdf.
- Kongolo, Tshimanga and Folarin Shyllon. "Panorama of the Most Controversial IP Issues in Developing Countries." *European Intellectual Property Review* 26 (2004): 258.
- Lehman, Bruce A. "Intellectual Property Rights as a Trade, Health and Economic Development Issue." *St. John's Journal of Legal Commentary* 17 (2003): 417.
- Lionetti, S. "Different Approaches to the Protection of Individual and Collective Intellectual Property Rights: The Case of Traditional Knowledge." *Rivista di Politica Economica* 95(9-10) (2005): 267.
- Longacre, Elizabeth. "Advancing Science While Protecting Developing Countries from Exploitation of their Resources and Knowledge." Fordham Intellectual Property Media & Entertainment Law Journal 13 (2003): 963.
- Maskus, Keith E. "Differentiated Intellectual Property Regimes for Environmental and Climate Technologies." *OECD Environment Working Papers*, No. 17, OECD Publishing (2010).
- Maskus, Keith E. "Intellectual Property Rights and Economic Development." Case Western Reserve Journal of International Law 32(3) (2000): 471.
- Maskus, Keith E. "Intellectual Property Challenges for Developing Countries: An Economic Perspective." University of Illinois Law Review (2001): 457.
- Morgan, Maxwell R. "Medicines for the Developing World: Promoting Access and Innovation in the Post-TRIPS Environment." *University of Toronto Faculty of Law Review* 64 (2006): 45.

- Okediji, Ruth L. "Balancing Acts: Antitrust for Economic Development." Antitrust Bulletin 48 (2003): 921.
- Ong, Burton. "Building Brick Barricades and Other Barriers to Entry: Abusing a Dominant Position by Refusing to License Intellectual Property Rights." *European Competition Law Review* 26 (2005): 215.
- Pugatch, M.P. ed. *The Intellectual Property Debate: Perspectives from Law, Economics and Political Economy*. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2006.
- Reichman, Jerome H. "Intellectual Property in the Twenty-First Century: Will the Developing Countries Lead or Follow?" *Houston Law Review* 46 (2009): 1115.
- Ryan, Michael P. "Knowledge-Economy Elites, the International Law of Intellectual Property and Trade, and Economic Development." *Cardozo Journal of International and Comparative Law* 10 (2002): 271.
- Sanders, Anselm Kamperman. "Intellectual Property, Free Trade Agreements and Economic Development." *Georgia State University Law Review* 23 (2007): 893.
- Sherwood, Robert M. *Intellectual Property and Economic Development*. Boulder: Westview Press Inc., 1990.
- Sherwood, Robert M. "The TRIPS Agreement: Implications for Developing Countries." *IDEA* 37 (1997): 491.
- Stiglitz, J. E. "Economic Foundations of Intellectual Property Rights." *Duke Law Journal 57*(6) (2008): 1693.
- Stoll, Peter-Tobias, et al. "Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights." In WTO

   Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights, edited by Peter-Tobias Stoll, et al., 1.

  Leiden: Marinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2009.
- Su, Evelyn. "The Winners and the Losers: The Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights and its Effects on Developing Countries." *Houston Journal of International Law* 23 (2000): 169.
- Yu, Peter K. "A Tale of Two Development Agendas." *Ohio Northern University Law Review* 35 (2009): 465.

## B. Patent

The CLIP research team identified 206 publications that specifically examined patent rights as barriers to market entry. Among these publications several specific trends appear in clusters of articles: (1) patent rights scope and market entry barriers; (2) corporate IP strategies and markets; (3) licensing and markets; (4) IP litigation and its effects on market entry; (5) information, communications and

technology industries; (6) pharmaceutical industry; (7) biotechnology industry; (8) geographic case studies; (9) patent rights and economic development; (10) the linkage between international patent protection standards and economic development.

## 1. Patent Right Scope and Market Entry Barriers

A number of articles examine how the scope and breadth of patent rights act as barriers to market entry and affect innovation and competition in domestic industries.

Most of these articles discuss the friction between patent protection and antitrust law. Patents confer rights to exclude others from making, using, or selling the patented invention for a period of time. These rights seek to provide inventors with an incentive to promote innovations. Scholars observe that patents thereby grant patent owners with a limited temporary monopoly. Antitrust law, alternatively, seeks to prevent monopolies. Scholars propose solutions to the conflict between the two legal regimes, which they refer to as the "patent-antitrust paradox." Most solutions revolve around concepts of "innovation" as a common denominator that may reconcile the two bodies of law. 66

Some articles address how strong patent protection has stymied market entry and start-up entrepreneurs.<sup>67</sup> These authors find that commercial entities seek patents for the sole purpose of disadvantaging competitors, rather than to develop technology or innovations.<sup>68</sup> Others note that patents may be obtained to make the patent holder seem innovative.<sup>69</sup> Authors observe that companies are often conflicted because patent disclosure rules dissuade companies from patenting their innovations while at the same time companies want to patent their innovations in order to strengthen their market positions.<sup>70</sup> A few scholars also find that some monopolists knowingly possess

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> See, e.g., Carrier, M. A., "Unraveling the Patent-Antitrust Paradox," *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* 150(3) (2002): 761; Carrier, Michael A., "Resolving the Patent-Antitrust Paradox through Tripartite Innovation," *Vanderbilt aw Review* 56 (2003): 1047.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>66</sup> Bartow, Ann, "Separating Marking Innovation from Actual Invention: A Proposal for a New, Improved, Lighter, and Better-Tasting Form of Patent Protection," *Journal of Small & Emerging Business Law* 4 (2000): 1; Landman, Lawrence B., "Competitiveness, Innovation Policy, and the Innovation Market Myth," *St. John's Journal of Legal Commentary* 13 (1998): 223; Leslie, Christopher R., "Antitrust and Patent Law as Component Parts of Innovation Policy," *Journal of Corporate Law* 34 (2009): 1370

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> See, e.g., Beard, T. R. and D. L. Kaserman, "Patent Thickets, Cross-Licensing, and Antitrust," *Antitrust Bulletin* (2002): 345(324); Leslie, Christopher R., "The Anticompetitive Effects of Unenforced Invalid Patents," *Minnesota Law Review* 91 (2006): 101

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> See supra note 66.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> See, e.g., Richards, John, "Do Overly Broad Patents Lead to Restrictions on Innovation and Competition?" Fordham Intellectual Property, Media & Entertainment Law Journal 15 (2005): 947.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Duguet, Emmanuel and Isabelle Kabla. "Appropriation Strategy and the Motivations to Use the Patent System: an Econometric Analysis at the Firm Level in French Manufacturing." In *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation*, edited by David Encaoua et al., 267. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.

invalid patents and represent them as valid.<sup>71</sup> They conclude that antitrust law's treatment of fraudulent invalid patents remains inadequate. Scholars have generally reached a consensus that these are inefficient uses of patents and detrimental to market entry.

In addition, some scholars explore how broad patent rights can suppress industry competition by providing certain IP rights holders with a monopoly.<sup>72</sup> These scholars primarily focus on the economics of various patent and licensing arrangements and determine how they facilitate monopolistic behaviors. Other articles conclude that patent thickets may hinder innovation and propose alternative regulations such as the adoption of a system of increasing renewal fees.<sup>73</sup>

A number of articles examine how the expansion of patent rights has impacted innovation.<sup>74</sup> Some authors note that the United States has undergone a pro-patent movement. Many authors conclude that unlimited expansion of the scope of patent rights can impede innovation, raise barriers to entry, cause a surge in patent litigation, and elevate the costs of innovation associated with defense of patenting. Others argue that patent protection restricts entry by forcing entrants to sufficiently differentiate their production technology.

## Literature:

Arora, N. "Disabling Patentability for Skill-Based Inventions: Aligning Patent Law with Competition Policy." Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal 22(1) (2005): 1.

Ayres, I. and G. Parchomovsky. "Tradable Patent Rights." Stanford Law Review 60(3) (2007): 863.

Bartow, Ann. "Separating Marking Innovation from Actual Invention: A Proposal for a New, Improved, Lighter, and Better-Tasting Form of Patent Protection." *Journal of Small & Emerging Business Law* 4 (2000): 1.

Beard, T. R. and D. L. Kaserman. "Patent Thickets, Cross-Licensing, and Antitrust." *Antitrust Bulletin* (2002): 345(324).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> See, e.g., Leslie, Christopher R. "The Anticompetitive Effects of Unenforced Invalid Patents." *Minnesota Law Review* 91 (2006): 101.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> See, e.g., Zahralddin, Rafael X. "The Effect of Broad Patent Scope on the Competitiveness of United States Industry." Delaware Journal of Corporate Law 17 (1992): 949; Wirz, Melody. "Are Patents Really Limited to 20 Years?--A Closer Look at Pharmaceuticals." Oklahoma Journal of Law & Technology 1 (2003): 5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> See supra note 71.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> See, e.g., Richards, John, "Do Overly Broad Patents Lead to Restrictions on Innovation and Competition?" Fordham Intellectual Property, Media & Entertainment Law Journal 15 (2005): 947.

- Carrier, M. A. "Unraveling the Patent-Antitrust Paradox." *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* 150(3) (2002): 761.
- Carrier, Michael A. "Resolving the Patent-Antitrust Paradox through Tripartite Innovation." *Vanderbilt Law Review* 56 (2003): 1047.
- Cohen, W. M. "Patents and Appropriation: Concerns and Evidence." *Journal of Technology Transfer* 30(1-2) (2005): 57.
- Cotter, Thomas F. "Patent Holdup, Patent Remedies, and Antitrust Responses." *Journal of Corporation Law* 34(4) (2009): 1151.
- Crampes, Claude and Corinne Langinier. "Information Disclosure in the Renewal of Patents." In *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation*, edited by David Encaoua et al., 243. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- Duguet, Emmanuel and Isabelle Kabla. "Appropriation Strategy and the Motivations to Use the Patent System: An Econometric Analysis at the Firm Level in French Manufacturing." In *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation*, edited by David Encaoua et al., 267. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- Gans, J. H. D. H. S. S. "The Impact of Uncertain Intellectual Property Rights on the Market for Ideas Evidence from Patent Grant Delays." NBER working paper series, no. 13234, (2007) *available at* http://papers.nber.org/papers/13234.
- Hoerner, Robert J. "Selected Articles from the Cutting Edge of Antitrust: Market Power: The Antitrust Significance of a Patent's Exclusionary Power." *Antitrust Law Journal* 60 (1991-92): 867.
- Hovenkamp, Herbert. "Patents, Property, and Competition Policy." *Journal of Corporation Law* 34 (2008-2009): 1243.
- Landman, Lawrence B. "Competitiveness, Innovation Policy, and the Innovation Market Myth." *St. John's Journal of Legal Commentary* 13 (1998): 223.
- Langinier, Corinne. "Are Patents Strategic Barriers to Entry?" *Journal of Economics and Business* 56(5) (2004): 349.
- Leibovitz, J. S. "Inventing a Nonexclusive Patent System." Yale Law Journal 111(8) (2002): 2251.
- Leslie, Christopher R. "The Anticompetitive Effects of Unenforced Invalid Patents." *Minnesota Law Review* 91 (2006): 101.
- Leslie, Christopher R. "Patents of Damocles." Indiana Law Journal 83 (2008): 133.

- Leslie, Christopher R. "Antitrust and Patent Law as Component Parts of Innovation Policy." *Journal of Corporate Law* 34 (2009): 1259.
- Liivak, Oskar. "Rethinking the Concept of Exclusion in Patent Law." *Georgetown Law Journal* 98 (2010): 1643.
- Lunney Jr., Glynn S. "Patents and Growth: Empirical Evidence from the States." *North Carolina Law Review* 87 (2009): 1467.
- Marinucci, M. and W. Vergote. "Endogenous Network Formation in Patent Contests and its Role as a Barrier to Entry." Universite catholique de Louvain, Center for Operations Research and Econometrics (CORE), CORE Discussion Papers: 2009068 (2009).
- Marschall, Richard H. "Patents, Antitrust, and the WTO/GATT: Using TRIPS as a Vehicle for Antitrust Harmonization." Law & Policy International Business 28 (1996-1997):1165.
- McJohn, Stephen M. "Patents: Hiding from History." Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal 24 (2008): 961.
- Merges, Robert P. and Richard R. Nelson. "On the Complex Economics of Patent Scope." *Columbia Law Review* 90 (1990): 839.
- O'Rourke, M. A. "Toward a Doctrine of Fair Use in Patent Law." *Columbia Law Review* 100(5) (2000): 1177.
- Rahman, Mohammad S. "Patent Valuation: Impacts on Damages." *University of Baltimore Intellectual Property Law Journal* 6 (1998): 145.
- Rai, Arti K. "Fostering Cumulative Innovation in the Biopharmaceutical Industry: The Role of Patents and Antitrust." *Berkeley Technology Law Journal* 16 (2001): 813.
- Richards, John. "Do Overly Broad Patents Lead to Restrictions on Innovation and Competition?" Fordham Intellectual Property, Media & Entertainment Law Journal 15 (2005): 947.
- Roy, Upendra, Robert D. Tuch, and Joseph E. Clark. "Global Assessment of Patents, R&D Investment and Economic Output: Macro Comparisons at the Country Level." *Journal of the Patent & Trademark Office Society* 79 (1997): 110.
- Schaafsma, Paul E. "An Economic Overview of Patents." *Journal of the Patent & Trademark Office Society* 79 (1997): 241.
- Schacht, Wendy H. and John R. Thomas. "Patent Reform: Innovation Issues." In *Patent Technology:*Transfer and Industrial Competition, edited by Juanita M. Branes, 1. New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2007.

- Schlam, Lawrence. "Compulsory Royalty-Free Licensing as an Antitrust Remedy for Patent Fraud: Law, Policy and the Patent-Antitrust Interface Revisited." *Cornell Journal of Law & Public Policy* 7 (1998): 467.
- Simmons, Ian, Patrick Lynch, and Theodore H. Frank. "'I Know It When I See It:' Defining and Demonstrating 'Blocking Patents.'" Antitrust ABA 16 (2002): 48.
- Tiller, Don. "Devaluing Invention: The Push for Patent Reform." *Texas Wesleyan Law Review* 14 (2007): 119.
- Wirz, Melody. "Are Patents Really Limited to 20 Years?--A Closer Look at Pharmaceuticals." *Oklahoma Journal of Law & Technology* 1 (2003): 5
- Yu, Allen K. "Why it Might be Time to Eliminate Genomic Patents, Together with the Natural Extracts Doctrine Supporting Such Patents." *IDEA* 47 (2007): 659.
- Zahralddin, Rafael X. "The Effect of Broad Patent Scope on the Competitiveness of United States Industry." *Delaware Journal of Corporate Law* 17 (1992): 949.

## 2. Corporate IP Strategies and Markets

A few articles focus on how patent rights impact corporate business strategies in order to permit or restrict entry into new markets. This subset of articles considers the role that patent law has in corporate mergers and joint ventures and how corporate decisions regarding patent rights may open or limit markets. They also explore the value of patents to companies and the role of patents in shaping markets and in shaping corporate development strategies. In addition, these authors consider the antitrust implications of joint research endeavors among competitors. They explore how competitors can use patents to block new entry or stifle competition within an existing market.

Many authors focus on the role of patent rights in the corporate strategies of start-up companies in their early stages.<sup>75</sup> For instance, one study surveys 1,332 early-stage technology companies and explored entrepreneurs' views of the patent system, i.e., whether they believe it helps or hinders their start-up endeavors, in a variety of industries.<sup>76</sup> They note high patenting motives in certain industries where companies seek a patent to gain competitive advantages, prevent technology copying, securing

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> Graham, Stuart J.H., "High Technology Entrepreneurs and the Patent System: Results of the 2008 Berkley Patent Survey," *Berkeley Technology Law Journal* 24 (2009): 1255; Langinier, Corinne, "Are Patents Strategic Barriers to Entry?" *Journal of Economics and Business* 56(5) (2004): 349; Sidak, J. Gregory, "Patent Holdup and Oligopsonistic Collusion in Standard-Setting Organizations," *Journal of Competition Law & Economics* 5(1) (2009): 123; Panagopoulos, Andreas and Park, In-Uck, "Patent Protection, Takeovers, and Startup Innovation: A Dynamic Approach," CMPO Working Paper No. 08/201, May 2008. *available at* http://www.bristol.ac.uk/cmpo/publications/papers/2008/wp201.pdf

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Graham, Stuart J.H., "High Technology Entrepreneurs and the Patent System: Results of the 2008 Berkley Patent Survey," Berkeley Technology Law Journal 24 (2009): 1255.

financing, and improving their reputation. They also note that companies in other industries elect not to apply for patents because of the high application costs.

## <u>Literature:</u>

- Adler, Barry E. "Patent Exploitation and Modern Antitrust Law: A Special Case for Merger Analysis." DePaul Law Review 35 (1986): 825.
- Blazsek, Szabolcs and Alvaro Escribano. "Knowledge Spillovers in US Patents: A Dynamic Patent Intensity Model with Secret Common Innovation Factors," 159(1) (2010): 14.
- Creighton, Susan A. and Scott A. Sher. "Resolving Patent Disputes Through Merger: A Comparison of Three Potential Approaches." *Antitrust Law Journal* 75(3) (2009): 657.
- Dreyfuss, Rochelle Cooper. "State Street or Easy Street: Is Patenting Business Methods Good for Business?" In U.S. Intellectual Property Law and Policy, edited by Hugh Hansen. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, Inc., 2006.
- Duguet, Emmanuel and Isabelle Kabla. "Appropriation Strategy and the Motivations to Use the Patent System: An Econometric Analysis at the Firm Level in French Manufacturing." In *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation*, edited by David Encaoua et al., 267. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- Gideon, P. and R. P. Wagner. "Patent Portfolios." *University of Pennsylvania Law Review* 154(1) (2005): 1.
- Graham, Stuart J.H. "High Technology Entrepreneurs and the Patent System: Results of the 2008 Berkley Patent Survey." *Berkeley Technology Law Journal* 24 (2009): 1255.
- Guellec, D., C. Martinez and M. Pluvia Zuniga. "Pre-Emptive Patenting: Securing Market Exclusion and Freedom of Operation." OECD Science, Technology and Industry Working Papers, 2009/8, OECD Publishing.
- Gutterman, Alan S. Innovation and Competition Policy: A Comparative Study of The Regulation of Patent Licensing and Collaborative Research & Development in the United States and the European Community. London: Kluwer Law International, 1997.
- Hunt, R. M. "Business Method Patents and U.S. Financial Services." *Contemporary Economic Policy* 28(3) (2010): 322-352.
- Langinier, Corinne. "Are Patents Strategic Barriers to Entry?" *Journal of Economics and Business* 56(5) (2004): 349.
- Lewis, Seymour D. "Joint Ventures and Section 7 of the Clayton Act, Including International Patent Licensing." *University of Toledo Law Review* 16 (1985): 919.

- Licht, Georg and Konrad Zoz. "Patents and R&D an Econometric Investigation Using Applications for German, European and US Patents by German Companies." In *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation*, edited by David Encaoua et al., 307. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- Malewicki, Debra and K. Sivakumar. "Patents and Product Development Strategies: A Model of Antecedents and Consequences of Patent Value." *European Journal of Innovation Management* 7(1): 5.
- Marco, A. C. and G. C. Rausser. "Complementarities and Spill-Overs in Mergers: An Empirical Investigation Using Patent Data." Department of Agricultural & Resource Economics, UC Berkeley, Department of Agricultural & Resource Economics, UC Berkeley, Working Paper Series (2002).
- Panagopoulos, Andreas and Park, In-Uck. "Patent Protection, Takeovers, and Startup Innovation: A Dynamic Approach." CMPO Working Paper No. 08/201, May 2008. available at http://www.bristol.ac.uk/cmpo/publications/papers/2008/wp201.pdf
- Sanyal, Prabuddha. "Intellectual Property Rights Protection and Location of R&D by Multinational Enterprises." *Journal of Intellectual Capital* 5(1) (2004): 59.
- Schmidt, K. M. Complementary Patents and Market Structure, C.E.P.R. Discussion Papers, CEPR Discussion Papers: 7005 (2008).
- Sidak, J. Gregory. "Patent Holdup and Oligopsonistic Collusion in Standard-Setting Organizations." Journal of Competition Law & Economics 5(1) (2009): 123.
- Striukova, Ludmila. "Patents and Corporate Value Creation: The Theoretical Approach." *Intellectual Capital Journal* 8(3) (2009): 431.

# 3. Licensing and Markets

A number of articles examine how licensing choices regarding patent rights can act as an entry barrier to a specific market sector and, in particular, how licensing can lead to anticompetitive behavior. These publications consider how exclusive rights and licensing can be used opportunistically to create barriers to market entry. The majority of the literature in this subset focuses on the antitrust implications stemming from refusal to license intellectual property and explores the barriers to market entry that arise from patent holders that refuse to license their IP. The interaction between licensing and antitrust laws in Europe and the United States are compared, as well as proposals intended to address the use of licensing rights to serve anticompetitive motives.

Articles in this subset typically explore the potential for opportunistic abuse that stems from IP rights owners who refuse to license their patents. While patent holders in the U.S. and Europe can typically

refuse to license their rights and not be subject to antitrust consequences, this type of licensing behavior is inherently anticompetitive. Licensing and antitrust doctrines are complementary in that they both "have as their economic focal point the maximization of wealth by enabling the production of consumer goods at the lowest price." However, they conflict in that while antitrust law sets out to prevent monopolies, a company that refuses to license their holdings essentially retains a legal monopoly over their patents.

As an example, one article analyzes the European and U.S. systems, and concludes that while the interaction between licensing and antitrust laws largely vary on a case-by-case basis, the European IP regime tends to take a more regulated approach to anticompetitive licensing behavior, while the licensing system in the United States offers more opportunities for patent owners to use licensing as a tool to create barriers to entry. Similarly, another author notes that in order for licensing regulations to be put in place to remedy anticompetitive practices, there must be "a rational connection between the offense and the remedy." Another author examines licensing and competition through the use of non-exclusive patent licensees. Another author examines licensing and competition through the use of

Another significant article explores "fair, reasonable and non-discriminatory" terms and conditions, known as FRAND, which is a set of guidelines that attempts to address the issue of anticompetitive behavior stemming from the refusal of patent owners to license their holdings. FRAND is essentially a commitment to offer intellectual property rights to licensees on fair, reasonable, and non-discriminatory terms and conditions. The article notes that while many organizations are committed to FRAND licensing, "there is no universally agreed upon operational definition of that commitment." The author notes that absent FRAND agreements, companies with patents that are selected to be an industry standard (therefore making their patents essential to all other competitors in the industry) are put in a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Coco, Rita, "Antitrust Liability for Refusal to License Intellectual Property: A Comparative Analysis and the International Setting," *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 12 (2008): 1; Meyers, Thomas C., "Field-of-Use Restrictions as Procompetitive Elements in Patent and Know-How Licensing Agreements in the United States and the European Communities," *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business* 12 (1991): 364.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Marks, David H., "Patent Licensing and Antitrust in the United States and the European Economic Community," *American University Law Review* 35 (1986): 963.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> Schlam, Lawrence, "Compulsory Royalty-Free Licensing as an Antitrust Remedy for Patent Fraud: Law, Policy and the Patent-Antitrust Interface Revisited," *Cornell Journal of Law & Public Policy* 7 (1998): 467.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Corgill, Dennis S., "Competitive Injury and Non-Exclusive Patent Licensees," *University of Pittsburgh Law Review* 71 (2010): 641

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> Layne-Farrar et al., "Pricing Patents for Licensing in Standard-Setting Organizations: Making Sense of FRAND Commitments," *Antitrust Law Journal* (2007).

position to opportunistically abuse this market power. For example, the company could refuse to license or charge "excessively high royalty rates." The article goes on to explore several different methodologies which courts in the United States and Europe could follow to distinguish between behavior that is compliant and not complaint with FRAND.

As a trend, the literature in this subset generally concludes that as long as licensing rights are not used by IP rights owners in an anticompetitive manner, they are generally acceptable.

## Literature:

- Coco, Rita. "Antitrust Liability for Refusal to License Intellectual Property: A Comparative Analysis and the International Setting." *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 12 (2008): 1.
- Corgill, Dennis S. "Competitive Injury and Non-Exclusive Patent Licensees." *University of Pittsburgh Law Review* 71 (2010): 641.
- Goddar, Heinz and Alan H. Gordon. "Recent Changes in Patenting Procedures and Protection:

  Developments in the European and U.S. Patent Systems." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 117. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Gutterman, Alan S. Innovation and Competition Policy: A Comparative Study of the Regulation of Patent Licensing and Collaborative Research & Development in the United States and the European Community. London: Kluwer Law International, 1997.
- Layne-Farrar et al. "Pricing Patents for Licensing in Standard-Setting Organizations: Making Sense of FRAND Commitments." *Antitrust Law Journal* (2007).
- Marks, David H. "Patent Licensing and Antitrust in the United States and the European Economic Community." *American University Law Review* 35 (1986): 963.
- Meyers, Thomas C. "Field-of-Use Restrictions as Procompetitive Elements in Patent and Know-How Licensing Agreements in the United States and the European Communities." *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business* 12 (1991): 364.
- Phan, Nhat D. "Leveling the Playing Field: Harmonization of Antitrust Guidelines for International Patent Licensing Agreements in the United States, Japan, and the European Union." *American University Journal of International Law & Policy* 10 (1994): 447.
- Schlam, Lawrence. "Compulsory Royalty-Free Licensing as an Antitrust Remedy for Patent Fraud: Law, Policy and the Patent-Antitrust Interface Revisited." *Cornell Journal of Law & Public Policy* 7 (1998): 467.

## 4. IP Litigation and its Effects on Market Entry

Some articles examine how the threat of patent litigation can act as a barrier to market entry. The articles in this subset explore the effects of patent enforcement on economic growth.

One particularly thorough study examines empirical literature about IP enforcement and considers how the propensity to litigate patents varies with expected benefits of litigation. The authors of this study also examine how the cost of litigation affects a firm's willingness to enforce patents and how the cost of enforcement changes the private value of patent rights. In addition, the article generally explores the impact of IP litigation on innovation.

Other articles explore the anti-competitive motives behind many patent enforcement lawsuits, and potential remedies to this problem. One article proposes that by restricting the grant of preliminary injunctions, encouraging declaratory judgment suits, and encouraging summary judgment for defendants, the amount of patent-based anti-competitive litigation can be reduced. Similarly, another article focuses on the pre-trial and post-trial control measures that can be used as tools of the court to weed out lawsuits brought with anti-competitive motives. Another article focuses on the tension between antitrust law and IP rights. The effect of permanent injunctions on the competitive landscape is also explored in this subset.

The articles in this subset generally agree that, while patent litigation on its face can be used for anticompetitive purposes, courts can effectively police the abuse of patent litigation via the use of judicial control measures.

## Literature:

Barton, John H. "Antitrust, Patents, and Developing Nations." In *The Development Agenda: Global Intellectual Property and Developing Countries*, edited by Neil Weinstock Netanel, 401. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.

Hurley, Suzzette Rodriguez. "Failing to Balance Patent Rights and Antitrust Concerns." *The Federal Circuit Bar Journal* 13 (2003-2004): 475.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Lanjouw, Jean O. and Josh Lerner, "The Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights: A Survey of the Empirical Literature," in *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation*, eds. David Encaoua et al. (Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000), 201.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Hurley, Suzzette Rodriguez, "Failing to Balance Patent Rights and Antitrust Concerns," *The Federal Circuit Bar Journal* 13 (2003-2004): 475.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>85</sup> Meurer, Michael J., "Controlling Opportunistic and Anticompetitive Intellectual Property Litigation," *Boston College Law Review* 44 (2003): 509.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>86</sup> Roberts, Clement S., "Permanent Injunctions and Pluralistic Competition," No. 5 Landslide 2 (2010): 40.

Lanjouw, Jean O. and Josh Lerner. "The Enforcement of Intellectual Property Rights: A Survey of the Empirical Literature." In *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation*, David Encaoua et al., 201. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.

Leffler, Cristofer and Keith Leffler. "Settling the Controversy Over Patent Settlements: Payments by the Patent Holder Should Be Per Se Illegal." In *Antitrust Law and Economics*, edited by John B. Kirkwood, 475. Oxford: Elsevier Ltd, 2004.

Meurer, Michael J. "Controlling Opportunistic and Anticompetitive Intellectual Property Litigation." Boston College Law Review 44 (2003): 509.

Roberts, Clement S. "Permanent Injunctions and Pluralistic Competition." No. 5 Landslide 2 (2010): 40.

## 5. Information, Communications and Technology Industries

A few articles focus on how patent law acts as a barrier to market entry within the ICT industries. Some of the articles identify the challenge of adapting patent law to new technologies, particularly software innovations.<sup>87</sup> They explain that ambiguities in some countries' patent regimes could hinder development and innovation, particularly as they debate what types of technology are patentable. These challenges have arisen notably in the context of software development.

Several articles debate the market impact of software patents.<sup>88</sup> These authors explore whether software patents stimulate or stifle entry in the industry. They also examine whether patents facilitate or delay financing. Most authors underline the importance of the software industry in the economy and note how IP laws have impacted the industry's success.

Some articles argue that countries must make greater efforts to protect IP rights in software to ensure global competitiveness, particularly in attracting companies such as Microsoft. <sup>89</sup> They argue that patent protection provides software developers with incentives to invest in developing new programs because patents ensure that they can capture some of their software's market value. These articles regard patent law as critical for fueling software development and argue that it stimulates entry by improving entrants' bargaining position vis a vis incumbents. They maintain that patent systems allow new

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> See, e.g., Cockburn, I. M. M., "Patents, Thickets, and the Financing of Early-Stage Firms Evidence from the Software Industry," NBER working paper series; no. 13644, (2007) available at http://papers.nber.org/papers/13644; Moy, R., "A Case Against Software Patents," Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal 17(1) (2000): 67; Thomas, R. E., "Debugging Software Patents: Increasing Innovation and Reducing Uncertainty in the Judicial Reform of Software Patent Law," Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal 25(1) (2008): 191.

<sup>88</sup> See supra note 86.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> See, e.g., Baer, William J. and David A. Balto, "Antitrust Enforcement and High-Technology Markets," *Michigan Telecommunication Technology Law Review* 5 (1998): 73.

entrants to license their way into the market. For example, one article discusses how US patents have revitalized the Taiwanese semiconductor industry and made it more globally competitive. <sup>90</sup>

Others argue that software patents have been anticompetitive because they thwart creativity and innovation to start-up companies' detriment. They suggest that software patents have acted as barriers to helping firms bring their software to markets in their early stages. They suggest that patents increase entrants' costs and delay their financing. In particular, patent thickets raise problems for the initial acquisition of capital. These articles argue that start-up companies are less likely to make their products go public in patent thicketed areas.

#### Literature:

- Baer, William J. and David A. Balto. "Antitrust Enforcement and High-Technology Markets." *Michigan Telecommunication Technology Law Review* 5 (1998): 73.
- Chung, Chin et al. "US Semiconductor Patents Granted to Taiwan, South Korea and Japan." In *The New Knowledge Economy of Taiwan*, edited by Tain-Jy and Joseph S. Lee, 188. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2004.
- Cockburn, I. M. M. "Patents, Thickets, and the Financing of Early-Stage Firms Evidence from the Software Industry." NBER working paper series; no. 13644, (2007) *available at* http://papers.nber.org/papers/13644.
- Mann, Ronald J. "Do Patents Facilitate Financing in the Software Industry?" *Texas Law Review* 83(4) (2005): 961.
- McJohn, S. M. "Patents: Hiding from History." *Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal* 24(4) (2008): 961.
- Miyamoto, M. et al. "Market Entry Pattern and Timing in an Industry with Technological Evolution: The Liquid Crystal Display Industry." *International Business & Economics Research Journal (IBER)* 9 (2010).
- Moy, R. "A Case Against Software Patents." *Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal* 17(1) (2000): 67.

<sup>90</sup> Chung, Chin et al., "US Semiconductor Patents Granted to Taiwan, South Korea and Japan," in *The New Knowledge Economy of Taiwan*, ed. Tain-Jy and Joseph S. Lee (Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2004) 188.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> See, e.g., Miyamoto, M. et al., "Market Entry Pattern and Timing in an Industry with Technological Evolution: The Liquid Crystal Display Industry," *International Business & Economics Research Journal (IBER)* 9 (2010).

Smith, Bradford L. and Susan O. Mann. "Innovation and Intellectual Property Protection in the Software Industry: An Emerging Role for Patents?" *The University of Chicago Law Review* 71 (2004): 241.

Thomas, R. E. "Debugging Software Patents: Increasing Innovation and Reducing Uncertainty in the Judicial Reform of Software Patent Law." *Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal* 25(1) (2008): 191.

## 6. Pharmaceutical Industry

A number of articles focus on how patent law acts as a barrier to market entry within the pharmaceutical industry. The articles focused on the pharmaceutical industry examine: 1) the impact of generic drugs on competition, 2) the role of patent protection in medical innovation, 3) the problem of access to drugs, or 4) the effect of patent law changes on the pharmaceutical industry of specific geographic regions.

One group of articles discusses how generic drugs affect competition in the pharmaceutical industry. Some articles examine the effect of the U.S. Hatch-Waxman Act and the protection of brand name drugs as a barrier to entry. Other articles focus on "pseudo-generic versions" of brand-name drugs offered by brand-name pharmaceutical producers, <sup>92</sup> the effect of brand advertising prior to expiration of brand-name drugs <sup>93</sup>, the benefits to consumers of authorized generics <sup>94</sup>, and the antitrust implications of non-compete agreements between pharmaceutical patent holders and generic drug manufacturers. <sup>95</sup> Anti-competitive tactics explored include "gaming the drug approval process of the FDA," fraudulently procuring and enforcing patents, and filing frivolous patent infringement suits to take advantage of "stays" granted by the court. <sup>96</sup> Articles also analyze the agreements between pharmaceutical patent holders and generic drug manufacturers and their consequences on drug competition, health care,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>92</sup> Kong, Y. and J. R. Seldon, "Pseudo-Generic Products and Barriers to Entry in Pharmaceutical Markets," *Review of Industrial Organization* 25(1) (2004): 71.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>93</sup> Fiona, M. S. M., "Barriers to Entry, Brand Advertising, and Generic Entry in the US Pharmaceutical Industry," *International Journal Of Industrial Organization* 18 (2000): 1085.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Ernst, R. B., M. Richard, et al., "MARKETWATCH: Authorized Generic Drugs, Price Competition, and Consumers' Welfare," *Health Affairs* 26(3) (2007): 790.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> Sandoval, C. J. K., "Pharmaceutical Reverse Payment Settlements: Presumptions, Procedural Burdens, and Covenants Not to Sue Generic Drug Manufacturers," *Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal* 26(1) (2009): 141.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Cramer, Eric L. & Daniel Berger, "The Superiority of Direct Proof of Monopoly Power and Anticompetitive Effects in Antitrust Cases Involving Delayed Entry of Generic Drugs," *University of San Francisco Law Review* 39 (2004): 81.

costs, and public policy. <sup>97</sup> As a trend, many of the articles conclude that the Hatch-Waxman Act has intensified generic competition.

Another group of articles examines how patent rights impact innovation and investment in the pharmaceutical industry. Authors note that patents play a much more significant role for pharmaceutical firms than other industries due to the high costs of drug innovation and the low costs of imitation drugs. As a trend, these articles note that increased patent protection is positively correlated with increased investment in pharmaceuticals for high income countries. However, it is also noted that in developing countries, an increase in the level of patent protection does not seem to lead to greater investment in the pharmaceutical industry. In contrast, it can actually serve as a barrier to entry. 99

Several articles focus on the effects of patent protection on access to essential medicines in developing countries. The majority of articles in this group focus on both affordability and access. Some articles argue that the guidelines under TRIPS and "TRIPS-plus" regimes are too strict, and as a result, make access to medicines difficult for developing countries. One article focuses exclusively on HIV/AIDS drugs, and draws the conclusion that product patent regimes encourage the introduction of such drugs only in developing countries with relatively equally distributed incomes. Authors also note that medicines for diseases that are specific to third-world countries are traditionally underfunded as

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> Sandoval, C. J. K., "Pharmaceutical Reverse Payment Settlements: Presumptions, Procedural Burdens, and Covenants Not to Sue Generic Drug Manufacturers," *Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal* 26(1) (2009): 141.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup> Grabowski, H., "Patents, Innovation and Access to New Pharmaceuticals," *Journal of International Economic Law* 5(4) (2002): 849.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>100</sup> Skinner, B. J., "Patents and Access to Drugs in Poor Countries: Subsidize the Poor and Encourage Economic Development Instead of Stealing from the Inventors of Essential Medicines," *Fraser Forum* (2005): 4, *available at* www.heartland.org/custom/semod\_policybot/pdf/17606.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> Ilias, S., *Intellectual Property Rights and Access to Medicines: International Trade Issues* (Ft Belvoir: Defense Technical Information Center, 2009); Cann, Jr. Wesley A. "On the Relationship between Intellectual Property Rights and the Need of Less-Developed Countries for Access to Pharmaceuticals: Creating a Legal Duty to Supply Under a Theory of Progressive Global Constitutionalism." *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law* 25 (2004): 755; Morgan, Maxwell R., "Medicines for the Developing World: Promoting Access and Innovation in the Post-TRIPS Environment," *University of Toronto Facility of Law Review* 64 (2006): 45.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>102</sup> Kyle, M. K. M. A., "Investments in Pharmaceuticals Before and After TRIPS," NBER working paper series; no. 15468; Variation: Working paper series (National Bureau of Economic Research); no. 15468, (2009) *available at* http://www.nber.org/papers/w15468.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>103</sup> Borrell, J.R. "Patents and the Faster Introduction of New Drugs in Developing Countries." *Applied Economics Letters* 12(6) (2005): 379.

there is less economic incentive for firms to put R&D into these sectors. They suggest that special programs should be implemented to stimulate more R&D in such sectors. <sup>104</sup>

Some studies take an empirical approach by analyzing "data exclusivity" rights.<sup>105</sup> Others take an empirical approach by measuring royalties under licensing schemes and comparing them to royalties that would be realized under the 'foregone profits' standard of U.S. patent law.<sup>106</sup> As a general trend, articles tend to focus on the challenge of granting patent rights under TRIPS while still keeping medicine available for developing countries.

Finally, some articles focus on geographic regions in order to examine the effect of patent law changes on the pharmaceutical industries. Countries covered include Turkey, <sup>107</sup> Saudi Arabia, <sup>108</sup> Japan, <sup>109</sup> and Korea. <sup>110</sup> These articles use the pharmaceutical industry in order to examine innovation policy generally. <sup>111</sup> As a general trend, they argue that, while IP rights may serve as a catalyst for innovation in high income countries, in developing countries they may impede progress and innovation. To achieve an optimal IP rights regime, the economic, cultural, and social environment of each geographic region and/or country must be considered.

## Literature:

Bahgat, Hossam and Rebecca Wright. "Access to Medicines in Egypt: A Human Rights Approach to IP, Trade and Health." In Access to Knowledge in Egypt: New Research on Intellectual Property,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>104</sup> Grabowski, H., "Patents, Innovation and Access to New Pharmaceuticals," *Journal of International Economic Law* 5(4) (2002): 849.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>105</sup> Cartagena, R. G. and A. Attaran, "A Study of Laws in Latin America: Is Access to Affordable Medicine Threatened?" *Health Law Journal* 17 (2009): 269.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>106</sup> Scherer, F. M. and J. Watal, "Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations," *Journal of International Economic Law* 5(4) (2002): 913.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>107</sup> Kirim, A. S., "Reconsidering Patents and Economic Development: A Case Study of the Turkish Pharmaceutical Industry," *World Development* 13(2) (1985): 219; Vural, Eren et al., "Pharmaceuticals and Intellectual Property Rights: A Political Economy of the Recent Policy Changes Across the Developing World and in Turkey," *ODTÜ Gelişme Dergisi* 34(2) (2007):337.

Mourshed, Mona, "Braving Products Patents Under WTO: Implications and Strategies for Arab Pharmaceutical Industry." In New Economic Developments and their Impact on Arab Economies," ed. (Ahmed Al-Kawaz. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 1999).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>109</sup> Mahlich, J., "Patents and Performance in the Japanese Pharmaceutical Industry: An Institution-Based View," *Asia Pacific Journal of Management* 27 (2010): 99.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>111</sup> Schryver, Tom De and A. Greet, "The Dynamics of Real Options Thinking on Competition through Innovation: The Case of the Pharmaceutical Industry," *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal incorporating Journal of Global Competitiveness* 13: 16; Rai, Arti K., "Fostering Cumulative Innovation in the Biopharmaceutical Industry: The Role of Patents and Antitrust," *Berkley Technology Law Journal* 16 (2001): 813.

- Innovation and Development, edited by Nagla Rizk and Lea Shaver, 56. London: Bloomsbury, 2010.
- Blair, R. D. and T. F. Cotter. "Are Settlements of Patent Disputes Illegal Per Se?" *Antitrust Bulletin* (2002): 491.
- Borrell, J.R. "Patents and the Faster Introduction of New Drugs in Developing Countries." *Applied Economics Letters* 12(6) (2005): 379.
- Brekke, Kurt R. and Odd Rune Straume. "Pharmaceutical Patents: Incentives for Research and Development or Marketing?" *Southern Economic Journal* 76(2) (2009): 351.
- Cann, Jr. Wesley A. "On the Relationship between Intellectual Property Rights and the Need of Less-Developed Countries for Access to Pharmaceuticals: Creating a Legal Duty to Supply Under a Theory of Progressive Global Constitutionalism." *University of Pennsylvania Journal of International Economic Law* 25 (2004): 755.
- Carrier, M. A. "Two Puzzles Resolved: Of the Schumpeter-Arrow Stalemate and Pharmaceutical Innovation Markets." *Iowa Law Review* 93(2) (2008): 393.
- Cartagena, R. G. and A. Attaran. "A Study of Laws in Latin America: Is Access to Affordable Medicine Threatened?" *Health Law Journal* 17 (2009): 269.
- Chaaya, G. and K. Christopher. "The Case for Global Patent Protection." *Pharmaceutical Executive* 5 (2000): 76.
- Chaudhuri, Sudip. "Is Product Patent Protection Necessary to Spur Innovation in Developing Countries? R&D by Indian Pharmaceutical Companies after TRIPS." In *The Development Agenda: Global Intellectual Property and Developing Countries*, edited by Neil Weinstock Netanel, 265. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Chaudhuri, Sudip. *The WTO and India's Pharmaceuticals Industry: Patent Protection, TRIPS, and Developing Countries.* New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2005.
- Cohen-Kohler, J., L. Forman, et al. "Addressing Legal and Political Barriers to Global Pharmaceutical Access: Options for Remedying the Impact of the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) and the Imposition of TRIPS-Plus Standards." Health Economics, Policy and Law 3 (2008):229.
- Condon, Bradly and Tapen Sinha. "Global Diseases, Global Patents and Differential Treatment in WTO Law: Criteria for Suspending Patent Obligations in Developing Countries." Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business 26 (2005): 1.

- Correa, Carlos M.. "Expanding Patent Rights in Pharmaceuticals: The Linkage Between Patents and Drug Registration." In *The Development Agenda: Global Intellectual Property and Developing Countries*, edited by Neil Weinstock Netanel, 247. New York: Oxford University Press 2009, 247.
- Cramer, Eric L. and Daniel Berger. "The Superiority of Direct Proof of Monopoly Power and Anticompetitive Effects in Antitrust Cases Involving Delayed Entry of Generic Drugs." *University of San Francisco Law Review* 39 (2004): 81.
- Dong-Churl, S., G. M. Willard, Jr., et al. "Effect of Multiple-Source Entry on Price Competition After Patent Expiration in the Pharmaceutical Industry." *Health Services Research* 35(2) (2000): 529.
- Eger, Thomas et al. "Incremental Innovation and Patent Protection for Pharmaceutical Products in India: A Law and Economics Analysis of the *Novartis* Case." In *Economic Analysis of Law in India*, P.G. Babu et al., 128. New Delhi: Oxford University Press, 2010.
- Ernst, R. B., M. Richard, et al. "MARKETWATCH: Authorized Generic Drugs, Price Competition, and Consumers' Welfare." *Health Affairs* 26(3) (2007): 790.
- Fink, Carsten. "Patent Protection, Transnational Corporations, and Market Structure: A Simulation Study of the Indian Pharmaceutical Industry." In *Intellectual Property and Development: Lessons from Recent Economic Research*, edited by Carsten Fink and Keith E. Maskus, 227. New York: World Bank and Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
- Fiona, M. S. M. "Barriers to Entry, Brand Advertising, and Generic Entry in the US Pharmaceutical Industry." *International Journal Of Industrial Organization* 18 (2000): 1085.
- Ganslandt, Mattias, et al. "Developing and Distributing Essential Medicines to Poor Countries: The DEFEND Proposal." In *Intellectual Property and Development: Lessons from Recent Economic Research*, edited by Carsten Fink and Keith E. Maskus, 207. New York: World Bank and Oxford Univ. Press, 2005.
- Gifford, Daniel J. "How Do the Social Benefits and Costs of the Patent System Stack Up in Pharmaceuticals?" *Journal of Intellectual Property Law* 12 (2004): 75.
- Grabowski, H. "Patents, Innovation and Access to New Pharmaceuticals." *Journal of International Economic Law* 5(4) (2002): 849.
- Grabowski, H. G. and J. Vernon. "Longer Patents for Increased Generic Competition: The Waxman-Hatch Act After One Decade." Duke University, Department of Economics, Working Papers: 95-11 (1995).
- Gupta, Rishi.. "TRIPS Compliance: Dealing with the Consequences of Drug Patents in India." *Houston Journal of International Law* 26 (2004): 599.

- Hsiao, Jerry I-H. "Nanotechnology Meets Chinese Herbal Medicine: An Introduction to the Patenting of Nano-Based Chinese Herbal Medicine in Taiwan." *Nanotechnology Law & Business* 7 (2010): 80.
- Ilg, Michael. "Market Competition in Aid of Humanitarian Concern: Reconsidering Pharmaceutical Drug Patents." *Chicago-Kent Journal of Intellectual Property* 9 (2010): 149.
- Ilias, S. *Intellectual Property Rights and Access to Medicines: International Trade Issues*. Ft Belvoir: Defense Technical Information Center, 2009.
- Jenneweim, K., T. Durand, et al. "When Brands Complement Patents in Securing the Returns from Technological Innovation: The Case of Bayer Aspirin." *Management International* 14(3) (2010):73.
- Kirchanski, Stefan. "Protection of U.S. Patent Rights in Developing Countries: U.S. Efforts to Enforce Pharmaceutical Patents in Thailand." *Loyola International & Comparative Law Journal* 16 (1994): 569.
- Kirim, A. S. "Reconsidering Patents and Economic Development: A Case Study of the Turkish Pharmaceutical Industry." *World Development* 13(2) (1985): 219.
- Kong, Y. and J. R. Seldon. "Pseudo-Generic Products and Barriers to Entry in Pharmaceutical Markets." Review of Industrial Organization 25(1) (2004): 71.
- Kyle, M. K. M. A. "Investments in Pharmaceuticals Before and After TRIPS." NBER working paper series, no. 15468., (2009)available at http://www.nber.org/papers/w15468.pdf.
- La Croix, S. J. *The Effect of Patent Law Changes on Japan and Korea's Pharmaceutical Industries*.

  Honolulu, Hawaii: Dept. of Economics, Social Science Research Institute, University of Hawaii at Manoa, 1992.
- Laforgia, Francesco, et al. "IPRs and Technological Development in Pharmaceuticals: Who is Patenting What in Brazil After TRIPS?" In *The Development Agenda: Global Intellectual Property and Developing Countries*, edited by Neil Weinstock Netanel, 293. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- MacPherson, A. and V. Boasson. "Patent Activity and Financial Performance of Publicly Traded Companies in the U.S. Pharmaceutical Industry: The Role of Local Economic Conditions." *Economic Development Quarterly* 18(4) (2004): 319.
- Magazzini, L., F. Pammolli, et al. "Dynamic Competition in Pharmaceuticals: Patent Expiry, Generic Penetration, and Industry Structure." *The European Journal of Health Economics* 5(2) (2004): 175.
- Mahlich, J. "Patents and Performance in the Japanese Pharmaceutical Industry: An Institution-Based View." *Asia Pacific Journal of Management* 27 (2010): 99.

- Manning, Amy, Tom Parker and Philippe Bennett. "'Authorized Generics' Can Frustrate Patent Challenges by Generic Manufacturers." *Intellectual Property & Technology Law Journal* (2005).
- Morgan, Maxwell R. "Medicines for the Developing World: Promoting Access and Innovation in the Post-TRIPS Enviornment." *University of Toronto Facility of Law Review* 64 (2006): 45.
- Mourshed, Mona. "Braving Products Patents Under WTO: Implications and Strategies for Arab Pharmaceutical Industry." In *New Economic Developments and their Impact on Arab Economies*, edited by Ahmed Al-Kawaz. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, 1999.
- Nauriyal, D. K. "TRIPS-Compliant New Patents Act and the Indian Pharmaceutical Sector: Directions in Strategy and R&D." In *Economic Development in India and China: New Perspectives on Progress and Change*, edited by P. B. Prime and K. G. Kulkarni, 217. New Delhi: Serials Publications, (2007).
- Noehrenberg, E. "The Realities of TRIPS, Patents and Access to Medicines in Developing Countries." In *The Intellectual Property Debate: Perspectives from Law, Economics and Political Economy*, edited by M. P. Pugatch, 170. Cheltenham, U.K. and Northampton, Mass.: Elgar, 2006.
- Ortun, V. et al. "Patents, Price Regulation and Innovation in the Pharmaceutical Industry." Universitat Pompeu Fabra Economics and Business Working Paper No. 754 (2004) *available at* http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\_id=563842.
- Park, Julie S. "Pharmaceutical Patents in the Global Arena: Thailand's Struggle Between Progress and Protectionism." *Boston College Third World Law Journal* 13 (1993): 121.
- Rai, Arti K. "Fostering Cumulative Innovation in the Biopharmaceutical Industry: The Role of Patents and Antitrust" *Berkley Technology Law Journal* 16 (2001): 813.
- Reichman, J. H. "Comment: Compulsory Licensing of Patented Pharmaceutical Inventions: Evaluating the Options.(Symposium)." *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics* 37(2) (2009): 247.
- Sandoval, C. J. K. "Pharmaceutical Reverse Payment Settlements: Presumptions, Procedural Burdens, and Covenants Not to Sue Generic Drug Manufacturers." *Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal* 26(1) (2009): 141.
- Sands, K. W. "Prescription Drugs: India Values their Compulsory Licensing Provision--Should the United States Follow in India's Footsteps?" *Houston Journal of International Law* 29(1) (2006): 191.
- Schacht, Wendy H. and John R. Thomas. "Patent Law and its Application to the Pharmaceutical Industry: An Examination of the Drug Price Competition and Patent Term Restoration Act of 1984." In *Patent Technology: Transfer and Industrial Competition*, edited by Juanita M. Branes, 39. New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2007.

- Scherer, F. M. and J. Watal. "Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations." *Journal of International Economic Law* 5(4) (2002): 913.
- Schryver, Tom De and A. Greet "The Dynamics of Real Options Thinking on Competition Through Innovation: The Case of the Pharmaceutical Industry." *Competitiveness Review: An International Business Journal incorporating Journal of Global Competitiveness* 13: 16.
- Skinner, B. J. "Patents and Access to Drugs in Poor Countries: Subsidize the Poor and Encourage Economic Development Instead of Stealing from the Inventors of Essential Medicines." *Fraser Forum* (2005): 4, *available at* www.heartland.org/custom/semod\_policybot/pdf/17606.pdf.
- Srivastava, Devesh. "The Food and Drug Administration and Patent law at a Crossroads: The Listing of Polymorph Patents as a Barrier to Generic Drug Entry." Food Drug Law Journal 59 (2004): 339.
- Vural, Eren et al. "Pharmaceuticals and Intellectual Property Rights: A Political Economy of the Recent Policy Changes Across the Developing World and in Turkey." *ODTÜ Gelişme Dergisi* 34(2) (2007):337.
- Wirz, Melody. "Are Patents Really Limited to 20 Years? -- A Closer Look at Pharmaceuticals." Oklahoma Journal of Law & Technology 1 (2003): 5.
- Yeh, Brian T. "Safe Harbor dor Preclinical Use of Patented Inventions in Drug Research and Development: Merck KGAA v. Integra Lifesciences I, Ltd." In Patent Technology: Transfer and Industrial Competition, edited by Juanita M. Branes, 95. New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2007.

## 7. Biotechnology Industry

A group of articles examines the effect of patent law on innovation in the biotechnology industry. These articles are generally empirical, presenting case studies and examining the biotechnology industry on a multinational basis. Some examples of empirical data used include statistical analysis of patents<sup>112</sup> and grant rates for patent applications.<sup>113</sup>

The articles in this subset use studies of the biotechnology industry to test a number of theories about the role patent law plays in stimulating innovation. One article, for example, examines the effect of patent rights on the growth of the agriculture industry in India.<sup>114</sup> The article studies the strengthening

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>112</sup> Love, K.S., R. Munmun, et al., "Intellectual Properties Rights-A Strong Determinant of Economic Growth in Agriculture," *Chronicles of Young Scientists* 1 (2010): 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>113</sup> Chan, H. P., "International Patent Behavior of Nine Major Agricultural Biotechnology Firms," *AgBioForum* 9(1) (2006): 59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>114</sup> Love, K.S., R. Munmun, et al., "Intellectual Properties Rights-A Strong Determinant of Economic Growth in Agriculture," *Chronicles of Young Scientists* 1 (2010): 29.

of patent rights in the plant breeding industry and its effects on the growth of the agriculture industry in India. The article states that patent analysis makes it possible to identify the prevalence of technology and its life cycle. The article therefore concludes that patent analysis is an excellent indicator of research and development output, and can be used to demonstrate the present level of technology as well as forecast future trends.

Similarly, another article explores the effect of IP rights on nine different agricultural biotechnology firms in seven countries (Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, European Patent Office, Japan, and South Africa). This article draws a correlation between the invention type, patent application process, and the corresponding patent grant rates. The article finds that countries with slower approval times in the patenting process tend to have lower approval rates. The study also uses empirical evidence to explore why there is not a significant level of technology transfer from the U.S. to other countries.

Another article explores the role of patent portfolios in the commercialization of biotechnology. The study examines how to build a patent portfolio that will aid in the commercialization of biotechnologies. The author argues that a well-crafted patent portfolio is a cornerstone for a firm's development strategy. The article suggests that an optimized patent portfolio of many distinct (but related) patents can enable a firm to maximize the scale and diversity of IP protection. The article also explores the various routes to commercialization that a biotechnology firm can pursue. The significance of research-based alliances, exclusive licensing schemes, and the role of designing patent portfolios with the goal of attracting venture capital funding are also explored.

While all of the articles in this subset generally explore the effect of patent rights on innovation, they universally conclude that a narrowly tailored IP rights regime is critical to encouraging innovation in the biotechnology sector.

## Literature:

Boadi, Richard Y. "The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation: National and International Comparisons." In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 399. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.

Carroll, Amy E. "Not Always the Best Medicine: Biotechnology and the Global Impact of U.S. Patent Law." *American University Law Review* 44 (1995): 2433.

Chan, H. P. "International Patent Behavior of Nine Major Agricultural Biotechnology Firms." *AgBioForum* 9(1) (2006): 59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>115</sup> Chan, H. P., "International Patent Behavior of Nine Major Agricultural Biotechnology Firms," *AgBioForum* 9(1) (2006): 59.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>116</sup> Mackey, T. M., "Nanobiotechnology, Synthetic Biology, and RNAi: Patent Portfolios for Maximal Near-Term Commercialization and Commons for Maximal Long-Term Medical Gain," *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 13(1) (2009): 123.

- Chaturvedi, Sachin. "Agricultural Biotechnology and Trends in the Intellectual Property Rights Regime: Emerging Challenges for Developing Countries." In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 369. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.
- Evans, G. E. "Strategic Patent Licensing for Public Research Organizations: Deploying Restriction and Reservation Clauses to Promote Medical R&D in Developing Countries." *American Journal of Law & Medicine* 34(2-3) (2008): 175.
- Flynn, S., A. Hollis, et al. "An Economic Justification for Open Access to Essential Medicine Patents in Developing Countries." *Journal of Law, Medicine & Ethics* 37(2) (2009): 184.
- Isaac, Alan G. and Walter G. Park. "Open Development: Is the 'Open Source' Analogy Relevant to Biotechnology?" In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 225. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.
- Kieff, F. Scott. "On the Comparative Institutional Economics of Intellectual Property in Biotechnology." In The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation, edited by David Castle, 274. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.
- Liivak, Oskar. "Maintaining Competition in Copying: Narrowing the Scope of Gene Patents." U.C. Davis Law Review 41 (2007): 177.
- Love, K.S., R. Munmun, et al. "Intellectual Properties Rights-A Strong Determinant of Economic Growth in Agriculture." *Chronicles of Young Scientists* 1 (2010): 29.
- Mackey, T. M. "Nanobiotechnology, Synthetic Biology, and RNAi: Patent Portfolios for Maximal Near-Term Commercialization and Commons for Maximal Long-Term Medical Gain." *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 13(1) (2009): 123.
- May, Christopher. "On the Border: Biotechnology, the Scope of Intellectual Property and the Dissemination of Scientific Benefits." In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 252. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.
- McManis, C. R. and E. S. Seo. "The Interface of Open Source and Proprietary Agricultural Innovation: Facilitated Access and Benefit-Sharing Under the New FAO Treaty. (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations) (Open Source and Proprietary Models of Innovation: Beyond Ideology)." Washington University Journal of Law & Policy 30 (2009): 405.
- Oriel, Sharon. "Making a Return on R&D: A Business Perspective." In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 118. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.

- Outterson, K. "Patent Buy-Outs for Global Disease Innovations for Low-and Middle-Income Countries. (Globalization of Pharmaceuticals: International Regulatory Issues)." *American Journal of Law & Medicine* 32(2-3) (2006): 159.
- Sumikura, Koichi. "Intellectual Property Rights Policy for Gene-Related Inventions Toward Optimum Balance between Public and Private Ownership." In *The Role of Intellectual Property Rights in Biotechnology Innovation*, edited by David Castle, 73. Northampton: Edward Elgar Publishing, 2009.
- Sorscher, S. "A Longer Monopoly for Biologics? Considering the Implications of Data Exclusivity as a Tool for Innovation Policy." *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology* 23(1) (2009): 285(224).
- Taylor, Michael R. and Jerry Cayford. "American Patent Policy, Biotechnology, and African Agriculture: the Case for Policy Change." *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology* 17 (2004): 321.
- Yu, Allen K. "Why it Might Be Time to Eliminate Genomic Patents, Together With the Natural Extracts Doctrine Supporting Such Patents." *IDEA* 47 (2007): 659.
- Zucker, L. G. and M. R. Darby. "Capturing Technological Opportunity via Japan's Star Scientists: Evidence from Japanese Firms' Biotech Patents and Products." National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc, NBER Working Papers: 6360 (1998).

## 8. Geographic Case Studies

A number of articles examine how patent rights impact market entry in specific countries or geographical regions. CLIP identified two types of geographic case studies: 1) empirical analysis of a specific country's economy using patent data, or 2) non-empirical studies of the patent systems in specific countries or regions.

Most notably, many articles in this subset provide an empirical analysis of the economy of specific countries or geographic regions using patent data. These articles use patent and financial data from specific countries (e.g. Taiwan, <sup>117</sup> Germany, <sup>118</sup> U.S. <sup>119</sup>) to draw conclusions about the correlation between patent protection and economic performance. A variety of variables are used to make these determinations. Some examples of patent variables include number of patents, length of patent protection, IPC-classes, family size, backward and forward citations, and data from patent reforms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>117</sup> Park, Kyoo-Ho, and Keun Lee. "Linking the Technological Regime to the Technological Catch-Up: Analyzing Korea and Taiwan Using the US Patent Data." *Journal of Industrial and Corporate Change* 15(4): 715.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>118</sup> Bessler, W. and C. Bittelmeyer, "Patents and the Performance of Technology Firms: Evidence from Initial Public Offerings in Germany," *Financial Markets and Portfolio Management* 22(4) (2008): 323.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>119</sup> Park, Kyoo-Ho, and Keun Lee, "Linking the Technological Regime to the Technological Catch-Up: Analyzing Korea and Taiwan Using the US Patent Data," *Journal of Industrial and Corporate Change* 15(4): 715.

Examples of economic variables used include the Fama-French value and data illustrating the short term and long term profitability of companies.

One study investigated whether companies with "higher quality" patents had more successful initial public offerings (IPOs) than those with "lower quality" patents. <sup>120</sup> Another study explores the correlation between increasing the length of patent protection and the number of patents acquired. <sup>121</sup> Another article uses U.S. patent data to analyze the technology industries of Korea and Taiwan. <sup>122</sup> This study drew a distinction between the larger, more diversified firms of Korea and the more agile and specialized firms of Taiwan. By using U.S. patent data, the study concluded that even between developed countries like Korea and Taiwan, IP rights are not one-size-fits-all. Depending on variables like the organizational structure of large corporations, patent regimes should be customized for each country to ensure the strongest economic performance. Another paper examines the effects of patent protection on technology transfer by analyzing patent licensing contracts of Japanese firms. U.S. Patent data has also been used to create a "portrait of innovation" of the high tech sector of a country. <sup>123</sup> While empirical data relating to patents has been used in various contexts in a variety of articles, it is generally acknowledged that modifying the IP regime of a country has wide ranging economic implications.

Of the non-empirical studies, twelve studies focused on the effects of patent rights in specific countries. Countries covered include Japan, <sup>124</sup> South Korea, <sup>125</sup> India, <sup>126</sup> China, <sup>127</sup> Sweden, <sup>128</sup> and the United

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>120</sup> Bessler, W. and C. Bittelmeyer, "Patents and the Performance of Technology Firms: Evidence from Initial Public Offerings in Germany," *Financial Markets and Portfolio Management* 22(4) (2008): 323.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>121</sup> Yang, C. et al., "Effects of Strengthening Intellectual Property Rights in Newly Industrialized Economies: Evidence from Taiwan's 1994 Patent Reform," *Contemporary Economic Policy* 26 (2008): 259.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>122</sup> Park, Kyoo-Ho, and Keun Lee, "Linking the Technological Regime to the Technological Catch-Up: Analyzing Korea and Taiwan Using the US Patent Data," *Journal of Industrial and Corporate Change* 15(4): 715.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>123</sup> Tratjenberg, M., *Innovation in Israel 1968-97: A Comparative Analysis Using Patent Data* (Tel Aviv, Tel Aviv, 1999).

Motohashi, K., "Japan's Patent System and Business Innovation: Reassessing Pro-Patent Policies," *Patents, Innovation and Economic Performance*. (Paris and Washington, D.C.: C.-o. Organization for Economic and Development, 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>125</sup> Park, Eun-A and Richard Taylor, "Barriers to Entry Analysis of Broadband Multiple Platforms: Comparing the U.S. and South Korea," Presented at the Telecommunications Policy Research Conference, Washington D.C., (2006) *available at* web.si.umich.edu/tprc/papers/2006/.../TPRC2006BarriersToEntry.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>126</sup> Babu, P. G., *Economic Analysis of Law in India: Theory and Application* (New Delhi, New York, 2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>127</sup> Fleisher, B. and M. Zhou, "Are Patent Laws Harmful to Developing Countries? Evidence from China," Ohio State University, Department of Economics, Working Papers: 09-07 (2009).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>128</sup> Granstrand, O., *The Use of Patents for the Protection of Technological Innovation: A Case Study of Selected Swedish firms*. (Geneva: United Nations, 1990).

States.<sup>129</sup> These studies explored themes of technological development, economic and sociological development, and barriers to entry.

Of the non-empirical studies, six studies focused on the effects of patent rights in specific regions. Regions covered include Africa, <sup>130</sup> Indiana (U.S.), <sup>131</sup> Europe, <sup>132</sup> the Caribbean, <sup>133</sup> and the Pacific. <sup>134</sup> The regionally focused articles primarily explored themes of innovation and development and market effects.

Several articles explored the effects of TRIPS on developing countries. A common topic is the trade-off between providing IP protection for medicine and controlling access to medicine. The scope of IP protection afforded to pharmaceuticals also has an effect on the state of competition between pharmaceutical companies based in developing countries, and their multinational counterparts. <sup>135</sup>

Many articles explore the state of patent law in certain geographic regions. Those that focus on developing regions tend to address the conflict between developed countries positions on IP rights and the economic and cultural positions of rural and developing communities. Another phenomenon that is commonly explored is the effect of IP on the competitiveness of developing countries. Articles address issues such as anti-competitive behavior and exclusionary practices. Overall, the articles suggest that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>129</sup> Park, Eun-A and Richard Taylor, "Barriers to Entry Analysis of Broadband Multiple Platforms: Comparing the U.S. and South Korea," Presented at the Telecommunications Policy Research Conference, Washington D.C., (2006) *available at* web.si.umich.edu/tprc/papers/2006/.../TPRC2006BarriersToEntry.pdf.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>130</sup> Marcelin Tonye, M., "Are the African Organization of Intellectual Property Patent Approach and Cameroonian National Biodiversity Regulations at a Crossroads? Suggesting Alternatives Tailored to National and Regional Interests," *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law* 14 (2005): 283.

Nunn, S. and A. Worgan, "Spaces of Innovation: Patent Activity in Indiana Metropolitan Areas, 1990 to 1998," *Economic Development Quarterly* 16(3) (2002): 237.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>132</sup> Gutterman, A. S., Innovation and Competition Policy: A Comparative Study of the Regulation of Patent Licensing and Collaborative Research and Development in the United States and the European Community (The Hague, Kluwer Law International, 1996); Altvater, E. P. K., Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe: The Creation of Favourable Legal and Market Preconditions. (Amsterdam, Washington, DC, 1998).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>133</sup> Kamau, E. C., A Hard Patent System: An Impediment to Technological (Economic) Development in Less Developed Countries: The Role of the European Union in Spurring Development in African, Caribbean and Pacific Countries (Baden-Baden Nomos-Verl.-Ges, 2004).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>134</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>135</sup> Gupta, Rishi, "TRIPs Compliance: Dealing with the Consequences of Drug Patents in India," *Houston Journal of International Law* 26 (2004): 599.

strong IP protection has a net positive effect on the economies of developed countries, while serving as an impediment to the economies of developing countries.

## **Literature:**

- The Use and Economic Value of Patents: The Utilization of the Patent System and the Economic Development in Japan. Tokyo: Japanese Patent Office, 1984.
- Altvater, E. P. K. *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe: The Creation of Favourable Legal and Market Preconditions.* Amsterdam, Washington, DC, (1998).
- Babu, P. G. Economic Analysis of Law in India: Theory and Application. New Delhi, New York, 2010.
- Bessler, W. and C. Bittelmeyer. "Patents and the Performance of Technology Firms: Evidence from Initial Public Offerings in Germany." *Financial Markets and Portfolio Management* 22(4) (2008): 323.
- Briggs, Kristie. "Intellectual Property Rights and Development: The Spatial Relationships." *Journal of Economic Studies* 37(5) (2010): 525.
- Cosovanu, Catalin. "Piracy, Price Discrimination, and Development: The Software Sector in Eastern Europe and Other Emerging Markets." *Columbia Science & Technology Law Review* 5 (2003-04): 3.
- Eaton, J. and S. Kortum. "International Patenting and Technology Diffusion." Boston University Institute for Economic Development (1994).
- Eaton, J. and S. Kortum. "Patenting and Productivity in the OECD." Boston University Institute for Economic Development (1995).
- Erstling, Jay A. "Korea's Patent Policy and its Impact on Economic Development: A Model for Emerging Countries?" San Diego International Law Review 11 (2010): 441.
- Fleisher, B. and M. Zhou. "Are Patent Laws Harmful to Developing Countries? Evidence from China." Ohio State University, Department of Economics, Working Papers: 09-07 (2009).
- Geradin, D. "Pricing Abuses by Essential Patent Holders in a Standard-Setting Context: A View from Europe." *Antitrust Law Journal* 76(1) (2009): 329.
- Granstrand, O. The Use of Patents for the Protection of Technological Innovation: A Case Study of Selected Swedish firms. Geneva: United Nations, 1990.
- Gupta, Rishi. "TRIPS Compliance: Dealing with the Consequences of Drug Patents in India." *Houston Journal of International Law* 26 (2004): 599.

- Gutterman, A. S. Innovation and Competition Policy: A Comparative Study of the Regulation of Patent Licensing and Collaborative Research and Development in the United States and the European Community. The Hague, Kluwer Law International, (1996).
- Hawk, Barry E. "Issues Relating to Foreign Commerce: Patents Under EEC Competition Law." *Antitrust Law Journal* 53 (1984): 737.
- Hsiao, Jerry I-H. "Nanotechnology Meets Chinese Herbal Medicine: An Introduction to the Patenting of Nano-Based Chinese Herbal Medicine in Taiwan." *Nanotechnology Law & Business* 7 (2010): 80.
- Kamau, E. C. A Hard Patent System: An Impediment to Technological (Economic) Development in Less Developed Countries: The Role of the European Union in Spurring Development in African, Caribbean and Pacific Countries. Baden-Baden Nomos-Verl.-Ges, (2004).
- Kirchanski, Stefan. "Protection of U.S. Patent Rights in Developing Countries: U.S. Efforts to Enforce Pharmaceutical Patents in Thailand." *Loyola International & Comparative Law Journal* 16 (1994): 569.
- Latham, W. and H. Yin. "Technological Development in China, 1985-2004: Evidence from Domestic Patents." *Economies et Societes* 43(6) (2009): 993.
- Licht, Georg and Konrad Zoz. "Patents and R&D: An Econometric Investigation Using Applications for German, European and US Patents by German Companies." In *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation*, edited by David Encaoua et al., 307. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- Marcelin Tonye, M. "Are the African Organization of Intellectual Property Patent Approach and Cameroonian National Biodiversity Regulations at a Crossroads? Suggesting Alternatives Tailored to National and Regional Interests." *Review of European Community & International Environmental Law* 14 (2005): 283.
- Marks, David H. "Patent Licensing and Antitrust in the United States and the European Economic Community." *American University Law Review* 35 (1986): 963.
- Meyers, Thomas C. "Field-of-Use Restrictions as Procompetitive Elements in Patent and Know-How Licensing Agreements in the United States and the European Communities." Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business 12 (1991): 364
- Motohashi, K. "Japan's Patent System and Business Innovation: Reassessing Pro-Patent Policies." In *Patents, Innovation and Economic Performance*. Paris and Washington, D.C.: C.-o. Organization for Economic and Development, 2004.
- Nagaoka, S. "Symposium: Innovation and Intellectual Property Values: Does Strong Patent Protection Facilitate International Technology Transfer? Some Evidence from Licensing Contracts of Japanese Firms." *Journal of Technology Transfer* 34(2) (2009): 128.

- Nguyen, Christian H. "A Unitary ASEAN Patent Law in the Aftermath of TRIPS." *Pacific Rim Law & Policy* 8 (1999): 453
- Nunn, S. and A. Worgan. "Spaces of Innovation: Patent Activity in Indiana Metropolitan Areas, 1990 to 1998." *Economic Development Quarterly* 16(3) (2002): 237.
- Ouellette, L. L. "Addressing the Green Patent Global Deadlock through Bayh-Dole Reform." *Yale Law Journal* 119(7) (2010): 1727.
- Park, Eun-A and Richard Taylor. "Barriers to Entry Analysis of Broadband Multiple Platforms: Comparing the U.S. and South Korea." Presented at the Telecommunications Policy Research Conference, Washington D.C., (2006) *available at* web.si.umich.edu/tprc/papers/2006/.../TPRC2006BarriersToEntry.pdf.
- Park, Kyoo-Ho, and Keun Lee. "Linking the Technological Regime to the Technological Catch-Up: Analyzing Korea and Taiwan Using the US Patent Data." *Journal of Industrial and Corporate Change* 15(4): 715.
- Park, Julie S. "Pharmaceutical Patents in the Global Arena: Thailand's Struggle between Progress and Protectionism." *Boston College Third World Law Journal* 13 (1993): 121.
- Sell, A. Patent Protection and the Economic Development of Developing Countries. Working paper for the preparation of the national paper of the Federal Republic of Germany to the UNCSTD, Kiel, (1977).
- Taylor, Michael R. and Jerry Cayford. "American Patent Policy, Biotechnology, and African Agriculture: The Case for Policy Change." *Harvard Journal of Law & Technology* 17 (2004): 321.
- Tratjenberg, M. *Innovation in Israel 1968-97: A Comparative Analysis Using Patent Data*. NBER Working Paper Series, No. 7022, 1999 *available at* www.nber.org/papers/w7022.pdf.
- Yang, C. et al. "Effects of Strengthening Intellectual Property Rights in Newly Industrialized Economies: Evidence from Taiwan's 1994 Patent Reform." *Contemporary Economic Policy* 26 (2008): 259.
- Zahralddin, Rafael X. "The Effect of Broad Patent Scope on the Competitiveness of United States Industry." *Delaware Journal of Corporate Law* 17 (1992): 949.

# 9. The Linkage between International Patent Protection Standards and Economic Development

There is a small trend in the empirical literature analyzing the impact on innovation, research and development, economic growth, and the rate of patent filings from global harmonization of patent standards embodied in treaties and trade agreements. In particular, these articles examine how the global patent system has impacted developing countries and the rate of patent filings.

The articles focus on the effects of international institutions and conventions that have emerged to harmonize international IP rights among nations. A few of these studies particularly focus on the pharmaceutical industry, chiefly drug and medical device patents. Some authors suggest that TRIPS compliance is likely to increase prices of vital medicines that developing countries need. They further suggest that domestic pharmaceutical firms will suffer and jobs will be lost. Some scholars suggest ways to refine the WTO Dispute System to ensure successful resolutions of IP disputes.

## <u>Literature:</u>

- Frankel, Susy. "WTO Application of 'the Customary Rules of Interpretation of Public International Law' to Intellectual Property." Virginia Journal of International Law 46 (2006): 365.
- Gupta, Rishi. "TRIPS Compliance: Dealing with the Consequences of Drug Patents in India." *Houston Journal of International Law* 26 (2004): 599.
- Khan, B. Zorina and Kenneth L. Sokoloff. "Historical Perspectives on Patent Systems in Economic Development." In *The Development Agenda: Global Intellectual Property and Developing Countries*, edited by Neil Weinstock Netanel, 215. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Kirchanski, Stefan. "Protection of U.S. Patent Rights in Developing Countries: U.S. Efforts to Enforce Pharmaceutical Patents in Thailand." *Loyola International & Comparative Law Journal* 16 (1994): 569.
- Lévêque, Francoise and Yann Meniere. "Patents and Innovation: Friends or Foes?" Berkeley Center for Law & Technology, Law and Technology Scholarship Series (March 22, 2007) available at http://www.escholarship.org/uc/item/2w8605xg.
- Nguyen, Christian H. "A Unitary ASEAN Patent Law in the Aftermath of TRIPS." *Pacific Rim Law & Policy* 8 (1999): 453.
- Phan, Nhat D. "Leveling the Playing Field: Harmonization of Antitrust Guidelines for International Patent Licensing Agreements in the United States, Japan, and the European Union." *American University Journal of International Law & Policy* 10 (1994): 447.
- Qian, Yi. "Are National Patent Laws the Blossoming Rains? Evidence from Domestic Innovation,
  Technology Transfers, and International Trade Post Patent Implementations in the Period 19782002." In *The Development Agenda: Global Intellectual Property and Developing Countries*,
  edited by Neil Weinstock Netanel, 191. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.

## 10. Patent Rights and Economic Development

These publications discuss how patent rights aid or impair economic development in developing nations and regions. While this trend does not directly address market barriers, the articles explore closely related issues and reflect that economic development is a pre-condition for market access.

Some publications present empirical studies of the impact of patent protection on innovation, economic growth, and industrial competitiveness. As an illustration, one particularly comprehensive study indicates that as patent strength increases the level of domestic patent filings in developing countries decreases. The study also found that patent strength did not significantly impact research and development in developing countries. In addition, it noted a positive relationship between patent strength and domestic patent filings in developed countries. Another study empirically analyzes the macroeconomic effects of the patent system within an endogenous growth model focused on new product development. The author concludes that patents do protect innovators and optimal patent lifetime should be finite.

Other publications offer comparative or historical analyses of patent protection, tracing the evolution of patent policies in various developed regions and examining their impact on economic development. For instance, one particularly robust study examines shifts in the strength of patent protection across sixty countries over a 150-year period. The article concludes that strengthening patent protection had the most positive effects in areas that are well-developed but had initially weak protections. Other studies similarly verify that in wealthier developed countries, patent protection positively correlates with total factor productivity and economic growth rates.

Some studies use alternative economic analytical models to decipher the relationship between patent protection and economic development. As an illustration, one publication advocates a quality-ladder model to analyze the impact of patent policy on growth and inequality. Using this approach, the article concludes that strengthening patent rights increases economic growth by stimulating spending on research and development and income inequality by raising the return on assets. Likewise, other studies adopt alternative analytical frameworks to determine how WTO and international IP regimes have impacted the economic development of developing countries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>136</sup> Thompson, M. A. and F. W. Rushing, "An Empirical Analysis of the Impact of Patent Protection on Economic Growth: An Extension," *Journal of Economic Development* 24(1) (1999): 67.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>137</sup> Michel, Philip and Jules Nyssen, "On Knowledge Diffusion, Patents Lifetime and Innovation Based Endogenous Growth," In *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation*, David Encaoua et al., 55. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>138</sup> See, e.g., Roy, Upendra, Robert D. Tuch, and Joseph E. Clark, "Global Assessment of Patents, R&D Investment and Economic Output: Macro Comparisons at the Country Level," *Journal of the Patent & Trademark Office Society* 79 (1997): 110.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>139</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>140</sup> Chu, et al., "Effects of Patent Policy on Income and Consumption Inequality in a R&D Growth Model," *Munich Personal RePEc Archive, available at* http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/10168/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>141</sup> See, e.g., Cameron, R. F., Patent Protection in Relation to World Economic Development (Cambridge, Mass.); Condon, Bradly and Tapen Sinha, "Global Diseases, Global Patents and Differential Treatment in WTO Law: Criteria for Suspending Patent Obligations in Developing Countries," Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business 26 (2005): 1; Yi, Q., "Do National Patent Laws Stimulate Domestic Innovation in a Global Patenting Environment? A Cross-Country Analysis of Pharmaceutical Patent Protection, 1978-2002," Review of Economics and Statistics 89(3) (2007): 436.

Some of these articles also focus on pharmaceutical patents and developing countries' access to medicines and other pharmaceutical products to meet their health needs. <sup>142</sup> They explore these concerns in light of major health epidemics, which have further intensified a broader debate over the appropriate balance between the interests of patent holders and the medical needs of developing countries. These articles typically argue that TRIPS should be amended or reinterpreted to provide greater assistance to users of patented pharmaceuticals.

Some empirical articles focus on particular case studies. For instance, one study evaluates the impact of patent protection on pharmaceutical innovation in 26 countries that established pharmaceutical patent laws from 1978 through 2002. 143 It concludes that national patent protection alone does not stimulate domestic growth or innovation. The article finds that there is an optimal level of IP rights regulation above which further strength reduces innovative activities.

A few articles examine how the administration and procedures of patent offices in developing countries impact economic development. <sup>144</sup> They address how patent offices as administrative bodies play an important role in economic development by administering existing patent standards. These patent offices have become integrated into a global system of robust patent lawmaking and administration. Some articles note that patent offices of developing countries are more likely to grant pharmaceutical patents, which further limits availability of vital medicines for their citizens. The articles do not, however, examine what role antitrust agencies should play in intellectual property and competition policy.

## Literature:

Allred, B. B. and W. G. Park. "Patent Rights and Innovative Activity: Evidence from National and Firm-Level Data." *Journal of International Business Studies* 38(6) (2007): 878.

Branes, J. M. *Patent Technology Transfer and Industrial Competition*. Hauppage: Nova Science Publishers, 2006.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>142</sup> Condon, Bradly and Tapen Sinha, "Global Diseases, Global Patents and Differential Treatment in WTO Law: Criteria for Suspending Patent Obligations in Developing Countries," *Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business* 26 (2005): 1; Kim, Douglas W. and John Andrew Demos, "Intellectual Capital: An Economic Approach to Understanding the Societal Effect of Patent Protection," *Intellectual Property & Technology Law Journal* (2002).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>143</sup> Yi, Q., "Do National Patent Laws Stimulate Domestic Innovation in a Global Patenting Environment? A Cross-Country Analysis of Pharmaceutical Patent Protection, 1978-2002," *Review of Economics and Statistics* 89(3) (2007): 436.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>144</sup> See, e.g., Drahos, Peter, "Trust Me: Patent Offices in Developing Countries," American Journal of Law & Medicine 34 (2008): 151

- Burlamaqui, Leonardo. "Innovation, Competition Policies, and Intellectual Property: An Evolutionary Perspective and Its Policy Implications." In *The Development Agenda: Global Intellectual Property and Developing Countries*, edited by Neil Weinstock Netanel, 429. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Cameron, R. F. *Patent Protection in Relation to World Economic Development*. Cambridge, Mass. 34 leaves; 28 cm.
- Chu, et al. "Effects of Patent Policy on Income and Consumption Inequality in a R&D Growth Model."

  Munich Personal RePEc Archive available at http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/10168/.
- Condon, Bradly and Tapen Sinha. "Global Diseases, Global Patents and Differential Treatment in WTO Law: Criteria for Suspending Patent Obligations in Developing Countries." Northwestern Journal of International Law & Business 26 (2005): 1.
- Drahos, Peter. "Trust Me: Patent Offices in Developing Countries." *American Journal of Law & Medicine* 34 (2008): 151.
- Erstling Jay A. and Ryan E. Strom. "Korea's Patent Policy and its Impact on Economic Development: A Model for Emerging Countries?" San Diego International Law Journal 11 (2010): 441.
- Ghosh, Shubha. "Patents, and Traditional Knowledge." Columbia Journal of Asian Law 17 (2003): 73.
- Greif, S. "Patents and Economic Growth." *International Review of Industrial Property and Copyright Law* 18(2) (1987): 191.
- Kim, Douglas W. and John Andrew Demos. "Intellectual Capital: An Economic Approach to Understanding the Societal Effect of Patent Protection." *Intellectual Property & Technology Law Journal* (2002).
- Lerner, J. "Patent Protection and Innovation Over 150 Years." National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc., NBER Working Papers: 8977 (2002).
- Licht, George and Konrad Zoz. "Patents and R&D an Econometric Investigation Using Applications for German, European and US Patents by German Companies." In *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation*, edited by David Encaoua et al., 307. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- Michel, Philip and Jules Nyssen. "On Knowledge Diffusion, Patents Lifetime and Innovation Based Endogenous Growth." In *The Economics and Econometrics of Innovation*, David Encaoua et al., 55. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2000.
- Nancy, T. G. "The Economics of Patents: Lessons from Recent U.S. Patent Reform." *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 16(2) (2002): 131.

- Park, Walter G. "Patent Rights and Economic Freedom: Friend or Foe?" *Journal of Private Enterprise* 18(2) (2002).
- Rensman, M. and Gerard H. Kuper. "The Role of R&D and Patent Activity in Economic Growth: Some Empirical Evidence." Research Report from Univ. of Groningen: No. 99C03, (1999) available at, http://econpapers.repec.org/paper/dgrrugsom/99c03.htm.
- Roy, Upendra, Robert D. Tuch, and Joseph E. Clark. "Global Assessment of Patents, R&D Investment and Economic Output: Macro Comparisons at the Country Level." *Journal of the Patent & Trademark Office Society* 79 (1997): 110.
- Schacht, Wendy H. "Industrial Competitiveness and Technological Advancement: Debate Over Government Policy." In *Patent Technology: Transfer and Industrial Competition*, edited by Juanita M. Branes, 77. New York: Nova Science Publishers, Inc., 2007.
- Scherer, F. M. and W. Jayashree "Post-TRIPS Options for Access to Patented Medicines in Developing Nations." *Journal of International Economic Law* 5(4): 913.
- Sherwood, Robert M. "Human Creativity for Economic Development: Patents Propel Technology." *Akron Law Review* 33 (2000): 351.
- Sinha, U. B. "Patent Enforcement, Innovation and Welfare." *Journal of Economics (Zeitschrift fur Nationalokonomie)* 88(3) (2006): 211.
- Thompson, M. A. and F. W. Rushing. "An Empirical Analysis of the Impact of Patent Protection on Economic Growth: An Extension." *Journal of Economic Development* 24(1) (1999): 67.
- Xu, B. and E. P. Chiang. "Trade, Patents and International Technology Diffusion." *Journal of International Trade and Economic Development* 14(1) (2005): 115.
- Yi, Q. "Do National Patent Laws Stimulate Domestic Innovation in a Global Patenting Environment? A Cross-Country Analysis of Pharmaceutical Patent Protection, 1978-2002." *Review of Economics and Statistics* 89(3) (2007): 436.

# C. Copyright

The CLIP research team identified 34 publications that examined copyright and issues of market entry. These articles revealed clusters that reflected trends in: (1) copyright scope and market entry; (2) IP litigation and its effects on market entry; (3) information, communications and technologies industries; (4) geographic case studies; (5) IP protection as an international trade barrier.

## 1. Copyright Scope and Market Entry Barriers

The CLIP team identified one article which examines how copyright law can act as an entry barrier to specific market sectors. The article notes that the policies behind competition law and copyright are

similar in that they both "promote innovation and competition for the benefit of consumers." The author points out that while copyright confers a limited legal monopoly, the rights granted are "rarely coextensive with economic dominance." It is noted that copyright law has largely been shaped by business needs. Subsequently, copyright law and competition law generally work well as complementary drivers to promote innovation and competition.

## Literature:

Lim, Daryl. "Copyright Under Siege: An Economic Analysis of the Essential Facilities Doctrine and the Compulsory Licensing of Copyrighted Works." *Albany Law Journal of Science & Technology* 17 (2007): 481.

# 2. IP Litigation and its Effects on Market Entry

Several articles examine how the threat of copyright litigation can act as a barrier to market entry. Articles in this subset explore the themes of software piracy and its effect on developing countries, <sup>145</sup> the fair use doctrine as a tool to increase access to knowledge, <sup>146</sup> and the role of the European Court of Justice (ECJ) on the development of copyright law in Europe. <sup>147</sup>

## <u>Literature:</u>

Dreier, Thomas. "The Role of the ECJ for the Development of Copyright in the European Communities." Journal of the Copyright Society of the U.S.A. 54 (2007): 183.

Kopczynski, M. "Robin Hood Versus the Bullies: Software Piracy and Developing Countries." *Rutgers Computer & Technology Law Journal* 33(2) (2007): 299.

Rogers, Douglas L. "Increasing Access to Knowledge Through Fair Use - Analyzing the Google Litigation to Unleash Developing Countries." *Tulane Journal of Technology & Intellectual Property* 10 (2007): 1.

## 3. Information, Communications and Technology Industries

A small subset of articles focus on how copyright acts as a barrier to market entry within the ICT industries. These publications examine issues related to software, system compatibility and digital music.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>145</sup> Kopczynski, M., "Robin Hood Versus the Bullies: Software Piracy and Developing Countries," *Rutgers Computer & Technology Law Journal* 33(2) (2007): 299.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>146</sup> Rogers, Douglas L., "Increasing Access to Knowledge Through Fair Use - Analyzing the Google Litigation To Unleash Developing Countries," *Tulane Journal of Technology & Intellectual Property* 10 (2007): 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>147</sup> Dreier, Thomas, "The Role of the ECJ for the Development Of Copyright in the European Communities," *Journal of Copyright Society U.S.A.* 54 (2007): 183.

These articles explore how strong copyright protection regimes affect the software industry. <sup>148</sup> Some authors contend that strong copyright protections for software impacts start-up companies in developing economies. They suggest that copyright protection can act as a barrier to start-up companies in less developed countries. Other articles explore the impact of IP rights on open source industries and free software. <sup>149</sup> They discuss the costs and benefits for market entry and competitiveness in the software industry.

Some articles discuss the problem of software piracy and its relation to variations in levels of IP protection across countries. They found that developed economies tend to have stronger copyright regimes and lower piracy rates compared to less developed countries. They note the impact of cultural differences, i.e., piracy rates are higher in less developed countries that emphasize collectivist cultures and have lower level IP protections.

## Literature:

- Barroclough, Diana. "The Production of Knowledge, Innovation, and IP in Developing Countries: Creative Industries and the Development Agenda." In *The Development Agenda: Global Intellectual Property and Developing Countries*, edited by Neil Weinstock Netanel, 321. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.
- Boldrin, M. and D. K. Levine. "Market Structure and Property Rights in Open Source Industries. (Open Source and Proprietary Models of Innovation: Beyond Ideology)." *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy* 30 (2009): 325.
- Dam, Kenneth W. "Some Economic Considerations in the Intellectual Property Protection of Software." Journal of Legal Studies 24 (1995): 321.
- Donald, B. M. and G. S. David. "Which Countries Protect Intellectual Property? The Case of Software Piracy." *Economic Inquiry* 38(2) (2000): 159.
- Dreier, Thomas. "The Role of the ECJ for the Development of Copyright in the European Communities." Journal of Copyright Society U.S.A. 54 (2007): 183.

Dam, Kenneth W., "Some Economic Considerations in the Intellectual Property Protection of Software," *Journal of Legal Studies* 24 (1995): 321; Even, Y., "The Right of Integrity in Software: An Economic Analysis," *Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal* 22(2) (2006): 219.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>149</sup> Boldrin, M. and D. K. Levine, "Market Structure and Property Rights in Open Source Industries. (Open Source and Proprietary Models of Innovation: Beyond Ideology)," *Washington University Journal of Law & Policy* 30 (2009): 325.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>150</sup> Donald, B. M. and G. S. David, "Which Countries Protect Intellectual Property? The Case of Software Piracy," *Economic Inquiry* 38(2) (2000): 159.

- Dunne, Brian J. "Compatibility Requirements, Systems Competition, and Copyright." *University of Toledo Law Review* 39 (2008): 813.
- Even, Y. "The Right of Integrity in Software: An Economic Analysis." *Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal* 22(2) (2006): 219.
- Fletcher, James E. "Some Economic Implications of Copyright: Television Syndication." In *Copyright and Consequences: Central European and U.S. Perspectives*, edited by Lee B. Becker and Tudor Vlad, 203. Cresskill: Hampton Press, 2003.
- Lechter, Michael A. "Copyright, Software, and Web Site Issues in the Internet World." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 139. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Look, Hugh and Alicia Wise. "The Economics of Copyright." In *Digital Library Economics: An Academic Perspective*, edited by David Baker and Wendy Evans, 265. Oxford: Chandos, 2009.
- Rogers, Douglas L. "Increasing Access to Knowledge Through Fair Use Analyzing the Google Litigation To Unleash Developing Countries." *Tulane Journal of Technology & Intellectual Property* 10 (2007): 1.
- Scott, Beth and Duncan Brown. "Expanding the Frontiers of our Digital Future: Reducing Software Piracy to Accelerate Economic Benefits." *International Review of Law, Computers & Technology* 20 (2006): 217.

# 4. Geographic Case Studies

A number of articles examine how copyright regimes impact market entry in specific countries or geographical regions. For example, studies in this subset examine the copyright systems in China, <sup>151</sup> Eastern Europe, <sup>152</sup> Thailand, <sup>153</sup> and Vietnam. <sup>154</sup> As a trend, the majority of the articles in this subset explore the topics of economic development and/or ICT. Other topics explored include the cultural implications of enforcing U.S. Copyrights abroad, software piracy, and the music industry in developing countries.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>151</sup> Liu, Jiarui, "The Tough Reality of Copyright Piracy: A Case Study f the Music Industry in China," *Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal* 27 (2010): 621.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>152</sup> Ruping, Karl, "Copyright and an Integrated European Market: Conflicts With Free Movement of Goods, Competition Law, and National Discrimination," *Temple International & Comparative Law Journal* 11 (1997): 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>153</sup> O'Neill III, Thomas N., "Thai Copyright Law, Economic Development and International Trade," *New York University Journal of International Law & Policy* 24 (1992): 1131.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>154</sup> Siefkas, Julie, "Copyright Piracy in Vietnam: The Impediments of Weak Enforcement Policies on the Country's Economic Reform," *Florida Journal of International Law* 14 (2002): 475.

Some articles explore the economic contribution of copyright based industries. For example, one article uses empirical data to show that copyright-based industries accounted for 5.7% GDP and 5.8% of national employment in Singapore. The author notes, however, that while copyright regimes have an arguably positive effect on Singapore's economic development, adopting the identical set of copyright laws to developing countries may not have the same beneficial effects. It is generally agreed that there is a positive correlation between copyright protection and economic development in high income countries, but the correlation is not so clear when examined in the scope of third world and developing countries. Singapore is not so clear when examined in the scope of third world and developing countries.

There are also a number of articles that explore the effects of copyright regimes on developing countries from the context of cultural, economic, and political perspectives. Many of these articles use case studies and empirical data from countries like China, Vietnam, and Thailand. One article, for example, examines the challenges that a country like China faces when enforcing Western notions of property rights which may conflict with its traditions and political history. Some authors argue that while many countries have officially adopted the IP rights from TRIPS, copyright protections are not enforced in practice. The result is that while illegal copies of music CDs and computer software are technically illegal, sales are still rampant in many developing countries.

Other articles use the model of the software industry to examine whether developing countries should adopt a strong or weak IP regime. Particularly, articles analyze the impact of IP protection on the corporate strategy of technology companies when entering a developing country market. One such case study examined the different corporate strategies of Microsoft (a company whose business model depends on revenues derived from copyright protection) and Linux (an open source alternative) when considering the Chinese market. Another article argued for China to redefine copyright infringement rules to create a more friendly legal environment for technology companies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>155</sup> Chow, K. B. and K. M. Leo, "The Economic Contribution of Copyright Based Industries in Singapore," *Review of Economic Research on Copyright Issues* 2(2005): 127.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>156</sup> Basalamah, Salah, "Compulsory Licensing for Translation: An Instrument of Development?" IDEA 40 (2000): 503.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>157</sup> Monlux, Nicholas, "Copyright Piracy on the High Seas of Vietnam: IP Property Piracy in Vietnam Following WTO Accession," American Intellectual Property Law Association Quarterly Journal **37** (2009): 135.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>158</sup> *Id.* (Comparing and contrasting copyright challenges in China with the copyright policy in Vietnam).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>159</sup> Siefkas, Julie, "Copyright Piracy in Vietnam: The Impediments of Weak Enforcement Policies on the Country's Economic Reform," *Florida Journal of International Law* 14 (2002): 475.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>160</sup> Xiaobai, S., "Developing Country Perspectives on Software: Intellectual Property and Open Source - A Case Study of Microsoft and Linux in China," *International Journal of IT Standards Standardization Research*, 3(1) (2005): 21.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>161</sup> Zhang, Y., "Establishing Secondary Liability with a Higher Degree of Culpability: Redefining Chinese Internet Copyright Law to Encourage Technology Development," *Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal* 16(1) (2007): 257.

#### Literature:

- Basalamah, Salah. "Compulsory Licensing for Translation: An Instrument of Development?" *IDEA* 40 (2000): 503.
- Chow, K. B. and K. M. Leo. "The Economic Contribution of Copyright Based Industries in Singapore." Review of Economic Research on Copyright Issues 2(2005): 127.
- Clondescu, Radu. "The Romanian Composers' Union and the Copyright Association." In *Copyright and Consequences: Central European and U.S. Perspectives*, edited by Lee B. Becker and Tudor Vlad, 235. Cresskill: Hampton Press, 2003.
- Harabi, Najib. "Copyright-Based Industries in Arab Countries." In *Creative Industries and Developing Countries: Voice, Choice, and Economic Growth*, edited by Diana Barrowclough and Zeljka Kozul-Wright, 193. New York: Routledge, 2008.
- Haupt, Stefan. "Copyright Issues Related to the Reunification of Germany in the Domain of Film Production." In *Copyright and Consequences: Central European and U.S. Perspectives*, edited by Lee B. Becker and Tudor Vlad, 209. Cresskill: Hampton Press, 2003.
- Hu, Patrick H. "'Mickey Mouse' in China: Legal and Cultural Implications in Protecting U.S. Copyrights." Boston University International Law Journal 14 (1996): 81.
- James, Vanus. "The IPRs and the Music Industry in the Caribbean." In *Creative Industries and Developing Countries: Voice, Choice, and Economic Growth*, edited by Diana Barrowclough and Zeljka Kozul-Wright, 213. New York: Routledge, 2008.
- Liu, Jiarui. "The Tough Reality of Copyright Piracy: A Case Study of the Music Industry in China." *Cardozo Arts & Entertainment Law Journal* 27 (2010): 621.
- Lüder, Dr. Tilman. "The Next Ten Years in E.U. Copyright: Making Markets Work." Fordham Intellectual Property, Media & Entertainment Law Journal 18 (2007): 1.
- Monlux, Nicholas "Copyright Piracy on the High Seas of Vietnam: IP Property Piracy in Vietnam Following WTO Accession." *American Intellectual Property Law Association Quarterly Journal* **37** (2009): 135.
- O'Neill III, Thomas N. "Thai Copyright Law, Economic Development and International Trade." New York University Journal of International Law & Policy 24 (1992): 1131.

- Ruping, Karl. "Copyright and an Integrated European Market: Conflicts With Free Movement of Goods, Competition Law, and National Discrimination." *Temple International & Comparative Law Journal* 11 (1997): 1.
- Siefkas, Julie. "Copyright Piracy in Vietnam: The Impediments of Weak Enforcement Policies on the Country's Economic Reform." Florida Journal of International Law 14 (2002): 475.
- Simpson, Amy E. "Copyright Law and Software Regulations in the People's Republic of China: Have the Chinese Pirates Affected World Trade?" North Carolina Journal of International Law & Com. Regulation 20 (1995): 575.
- Tuan, N. S. "TRIPS Copyright Dispute Settlement After the Transition and Moratorium: Nonviolation and Situation Complaints Against Developing Countries." *Law & Policy International Business* 31 (2000): 1051.
- Xiaobai, S. "Developing Country Perspectives on Software: Intellectual Property and Open Source A Case Study of Microsoft and Linux in China." *International Journal of IT Standards Standardization Research*, 3(1) (2005): 21.
- Zhang, Y. "Establishing Secondary Liability with a Higher Degree of Culpability: Redefining Chinese Internet Copyright Law to Encourage Technology Development." *Pacific Rim Law & Policy Journal* 16(1) (2007): 257.
- Xue, Hong. "What Direction is the Wind Blowing? Protection of DRM in China." In *The Development Agenda: Global Intellectual Property and Developing Countries*, edited by Neil Weinstock Netanel, 177. New York: Oxford University Press, 2009.

## 5. IP Protection as a Barrier to International Trade

A smaller subset of articles examines how copyright can act as a barrier to trade between two or more countries. These publications consider the impact of international treaties, such as TRIPS and the Berne Convention, on international trade. Some authors call for repeal or major reform of the current international treaties. Many of these articles focus on Asia or other particular areas or case studies. Some of these articles discuss the difficulties that countries face in protecting copyrights abroad, particularly in the area of software piracy. Other authors contend that copyright-related capital

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>162</sup> See, e.g., Story, Alan, "Burn Berne: Why the Leading International Copyright Convention Must Be Repealed," Houston Law Review 40 (2003): 763.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>163</sup> Simpson, Amy E., "Copyright Law and Software Regulations in the People's Republic of China: Have the Chinese Pirates Affected World Trade?" North Carolina Journal of International Law & Com Regulation 20 (1995): 575; O'Neill III, Thomas N., "Thai Copyright Law, Economic Development and International Trade," New York University Journal of International Law & Policy 24 (1992): 1131.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>164</sup> See, e.g., Kopczynski, M., "Robin Hood Versus the Bullies: Software Piracy and Developing Countries," Rutgers Computer & Technology Law Journal 33(2) (2007): 299.

positively impacts international trade. They find that the harmonization of copyright policies among countries has positively influenced bilateral trade in core copyright industries.

#### Literature:

- Basalamah, Salah. "Compulsory Licensing for Translation: An Instrument of Development?" *IDEA* 40 (2000): 503.
- Hollifield, C. Ann, Tudor Vlad, Lee B. Becker. "The Effects of International Copyright Laws on National Economic Development." In *Copyright and Consequences: Central European and U.S. Perspectives*, edited by Lee B. Becker and Tudor Vlad, 163. Cresskill: Hampton Press, 2003.
- Kopczynski, M. "Robin Hood Versus the Bullies: Software Piracy and Developing Countries." *Rutgers Computer & Technology Law Journal* 33(2) (2007): 299.
- Netanel, Neil Weinstock. "Market Hierarchy and Copyright in Our System of Free Expression." *Vanderbilt Law Review* 53 (2000): 1879.
- O'Neill III, Thomas N. "Thai Copyright Law, Economic Development and International Trade." New York University Journal of International Law & Policy 24 (1992): 1131.
- Simpson, Amy E. "Copyright Law and Software Regulations in the People's Republic of China: Have the Chinese Pirates Affected World Trade?" *North Carolina Journal of International Law & Com Regulation* 20 (1995): 575.
- Story, Alan. "Burn Berne: Why the Leading International Copyright Convention Must Be Repealed." Houston Law Review 40 (2003): 763.

#### D. Trademark

The CLIP research team identified approximately 23 publications that specifically examine trademark rights as barriers to market entry. While this sample was small, a few trends did appear. These articles revealed three clusters: (1) trademark rights scope and market entry barriers, (2) geographic case studies, and (3) IP protection as a barrier to international trade.

# 1. Trademark Rights Scope and Market Entry Barriers

This small subset of articles examines how the scope of trademark protection acts as a barrier to market entry. <sup>165</sup> Specifically, these articles focus on how trademark protection impacts competition. They also

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>165</sup> See, e.g., Lunney, Jr, Glynn S., "Trademark Monopolies," Emory Law Journal 48 (1999): 367; McClure, Daniel M., "Trademarks and Competition: The Recent History," SPG Law & Contemporary Problems 59 (1996): 13; Rose, Simone A., "Will Atlas Shrug? Dilution Protection for 'Famous' Trademarks: Anti-Competitive 'Monopoly' or Earned 'Property' Right?" Florida Law Review 47 (1995): 653.

address the relationship between trademark and antitrust law and conclude that tensions sometimes arise between the two legal regimes.

Older articles emphasize the anticompetitive power of trademark rights. These authors recognize that trademark law provides owners with the exclusive right to use a name to identify their goods and exclude their competitors. They posited that a trademark could give one producer an unfair advantage because of its attractiveness or memorability and emphasize that extensive promotion could create barriers to entry of new firms. They suggest that trademark rights provide their holders with monopolistic abilities by enabling them to engage in tying arrangements, territorial divisions, and price discrimination. They recognize, however, that Congress attempted to counteract this monopolistic potential by providing trademark infringers with a defense that the trademark holder had used the mark for monopolistic purposes.

More recent articles observe that courts are now willing to protect trademarks on the theory that they promote economic efficiency. Host have retreated from the view that trademarks are inherently anticompetitive. Instead, they embrace the virtues of trademark rights and their role in the economy as property. They argue that trademarks provide consumers with a convenient and essential means for distinguishing between competing goods in the marketplace. These studies underline the informational advantages that trademarks offer consumers. They emphasize that marks convey otherwise unavailable information concerning products that is material to consumers' purchasing decisions. They generally recognize that the goals of antitrust and trademark law are therefore compatible.

However, some authors continue to remain critical of trademark protection, arguing that trademark rights confer inappropriate monopolistic power. They argue that trademark has value independent of informing customers because competitors must expend resources to develop an alternative mark that consumers will recognize as a competitive substitute. They find that imitations have become imperfect substitutes for the original mark as protection increases. For instance, one author suggests that the nature and extent of goodwill associated with the trademark's indication of its holders'

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>166</sup> Smith, Edward C., "Trademarks and Antitrust: The Misuse Defense Under Section 33(B)(7) of the Lanham Act," Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy 4 (1981): 161.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>167</sup> See, e.g., McClure, Daniel M., "Trademarks and Competition: The Recent History," SPG Law & Contemporary Problems 59 (1996): 13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>168</sup> Lunney, Jr, Glynn S., "Trademark Monopolies," *Emory Law Journal* 48 (1999): 367.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>169</sup> Id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>170</sup> Id.

reputation significantly affects the competitiveness of markets.<sup>171</sup> This scholar argues that established reputation represents a formidable barrier to entry and calls others to more closely scrutinize the expansion of trademark rights expansion in order to maintain a productive balance between the legitimate ambitions of individual traders and the public interest in dynamic markets.

#### Literature:

- Austin, Graeme W. "Trademarks and the Burdened Imagination." Brooklyn Law Review 69 (2004): 827.
- Bauer, Joseph P. "A Federal Law of Unfair Competition: What Should Be the Reach of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act?" *UCLA Law Review* 31 (1984): 671.
- Cherniak, Veronica J. "Ornamental Use of Trademarks: The Judicial Development and Economic Implications of an Exclusive Merchandising Right." *Tulane Law Review* 69 (1995): 1311.
- Ghosh, S. "Dilution and Competition Norms: The Case of Federal Trademark Dilution Claims Against Direct Competitors." *Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal* 24(3) (2008): 571.
- Lemley, M. A. and M. P. McKenna. "Owning Mark(et)s.(Free-Riding Trademark Claims)." *Michigan Law Review* 109(2) (2010): 137.
- Lopes, T. Trade Marks, Brands and Competitiveness. London: Routledge, 2010.
- Lunney, Jr, Glynn S. "Trademark Monopolies." Emory Law Journal 48 (1999): 367.
- McClure, Daniel M. "Trademarks and Competition: The Recent History." SPG Law & Contemporary Problems 59 (1996): 13.
- McKenna, M. P. "An Alternate Approach to Channeling?" *William and Mary Law Review* 51(2) (2009): 873.
- Rose, Simone A. "Will Atlas Shrug? Dilution Protection for 'Famous' Trademarks: Anti-Competitive 'Monopoly' or Earned 'Property' Right?" Florida Law Review 47 (1995): 653.
- Small, Thomas M. and Kenneth D. McKay. "Trademarks, Trade Names, and Trade Dress." In *Licensing Best Practices*, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 161. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.
- Smith, Edward C. "Trademarks and Antitrust: The Misuse Defense Under Section 33(B)(7) of the Lanham Act." Harvard Journal of Law & Public Policy 4 (1981): 161.

<sup>171</sup> Van Caenegem, W., "Striking a Balance between Protecting Commercial Reputation and Promoting Competition," *Australian Law Journal* 77 (2003): 598.

Van Caenegem, W. "Striking a Balance between Protecting Commercial Reputation and Promoting Competition." *Australian Law Journal* 77 (2003): 598.

Weinberg, Harold R. "Is the Monopoly Theory of Trademarks Robust or a Bust?" *Journal of Intellectual Property Law* 13 (2005): 137.

### 2. Geographic Case Studies

A few articles examine how trademark law impacts market entry in specific countries or geographical regions. For example, studies in this subset examine the trademark systems in Chile<sup>172</sup> and the European Union.<sup>173</sup>

#### Literature:

Cunningham, M.A. "A Complementary Existence: An Economic Assessment of the Trademark and Competition Law Interface in the European Community." *Tulane European & Civil Law* 10 (1995): 141.

Szwaja, Janusz. "The Co-ordination of Polish Product Symbol Rights with EU Norms." In *Intellectual Property Rights in Central and Eastern Europe*, edited by Elmar Altvater and Kazimiera Prunskiene, 126. Amsterdam: IOS Press, 1998.

Velasco, Rodrigo. "Piracy and Protection: International Trademarks Under the New Chilean Industrial Property Law." *Trademark Reporter* 82 (1992): 593.

Zhou, Jessica Jiong. "Trademark Law & Enforcement in China: A Transnational Perspective." Wisconsin International Law Journal 20 (2002): 415.

#### 3. IP Protection as a Barrier to International Trade

This small subset of articles examines how trademark can act as a barrier to trade between two or more countries. These publications consider the impact of international treaties, such as TRIPS, on international trade.

Some argue that international legal regimes fail to adequately protect trademark rights. For instance, one author suggests that international regimes fail to effectively prevent theft of unregistered foreign marks.<sup>174</sup> Specifically, if a domestic producer misappropriates a foreigner's unregistered trademark,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>172</sup> Velasco, Rodrigo, "Piracy and Protection: International Trademarks Under the New Chilean Industrial Property Law," Trademark Reporter 82 (1992): 593.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>173</sup> Cunningham, M.A., "A Complementary Existence: An Economic Assessment of the Trademark and Competition Law Interface in the European Community," *Tulane European & Civil Law* 10 (1995): 141.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>174</sup> Fulkerson, Beth, "Theft by Territorialism: A Case for Revising TRIPS to Protect Trademarks from National Market Foreclosure," *Michigan Journal of International Law* 17 (1996): 801.

then the theft will have anticompetitive effects by preventing the foreign producer from entering or using its mark in the domestic market. This author examines how the Paris Convention regime facilitated the emergence of barriers to entry for trademarks originating abroad and then explores how the WTO, NAFTA, and EU have improved protections but nevertheless left foreign trademarks exposed to misappropriation. The author eventually concludes that the requirement that an unregistered foreign mark must be well known in the domestic market for it to be protected should be abandoned in favor of an "awareness of foreign use" rule. Other articles similarly called for greater international coordination to harmonize trademark rights. 175

Some authors also explore the globalization of trademark law and focus on multilateral developments including the evolution of major treaties such as the Madrid Protocol and the Trademark Law Treaty. They discuss how regional developments have expanded trademark protection globally. Generally, they viewed the progressive harmonization of trademark law favorably and argued that it would ultimately benefit both trademark owners and consumers.

However, there seems to be few articles exploring whether trademark law leads to unfair competition internationally, particularly in less developed countries. One recent article dealt with how legal protection favors famous marks of global monopolists and describes the negative impact on competition and local business as "coca-colanization." This author suggests that developing countries must envision new strategies and a conscious policy that helps create and value local identities. She suggested that such a goal would require developing countries to re-imagine the purposes of trademark law and strike a better balance between enforcement and local market necessities.

#### Literature:

Fulkerson, Beth. "Theft by Territorialism: A Case for Revising TRIPS to Protect Trademarks from National Market Foreclosure." *Michigan Journal of International Law* 17 (1996): 801.

Leaffer, Marshall A. "The New World of International Trademark Law." *Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review* 2 (1998): 1.

Long, Doris Estelle. "Is Fame All There Is? Beating Global Monopolists at their Own Marketing Game." George Washington International Law Review 40 (2008): 123.

Tan, Ashley. "Google Adwords: Trademark Infringer or Trade Liberalizer?" *Michigan Telecommunications Technology Law Review* 16 (2010): 473.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>175</sup> Tan, Ashley, "Google Adwords: Trademark Infringer or Trade Liberalizer?" *Michigan Telecommunications Technology Law Review* 16 (2010): 473.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>176</sup> See, e.g., Leaffer, Marshall A., "The New World of International Trademark Law," Marquette Intellectual Property Law Review 2 (1998): 1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>177</sup> Long, Doris Estelle, "Is Fame All There Is? Beating Global Monopolists at their Own Marketing Game," *George Washington International Law Review* 40 (2008): 123.

Zenobia, I. and F. Tashil. "Trademarks or Trade Barriers? Indigenous Knowledge and the Flaws in the Global IPR System." *International Journal of Social Economics* 31(1) (2004): 173.

#### E. Trade Secret

The CLIP research team identified only 8 publications that specifically examine trade secret law as a barrier to market entry. While this sample was small, one trend did appear.

These articles focus on how trade secret impacts competition and innovation. Some articles suggest that broad trade secret protections can hinder innovation and the growth of industries. They are primarily concerned with non-compete agreements. They also find tension in the relationship between trade secret and antitrust law.

The existing scholarship is very recent, i.e. undertaken within the last decade, and scant. Scholars' discussion of trade secret law has generally been part of the wider debate on overbroad IP rules in general. Although many of these studies are comprehensive and illuminating, there is a general lack of research specifically focusing on trade secret, particularly empirical studies.

## Literature:

- Brenner, Jules S. "The Doctrine of Inevitable Disclosure and its Inevitable Effect on Companies and People." *The Law & Business Review of the Americas* 7 (2001): 647.
- Carey, Kristina L. "Beyond The Route 128 Paradigm: Emerging Legal Alternatives to the Non-Compete Agreement and their Potential Effect on Developing High-Technology Markets." *Journal of Small & Emerging Business Law* 5 (2001): 135.
- Czapracka, K. A. "Antitrust and Trade Secrets: The U.S. and the EU Approach." *Santa Clara Computer & High Technology Law Journal* 24(2) (2008): 207.
- Graves, Charles Tait. "Do Strict Trade Secret and Non-Competition Laws Obstruct Innovation?" Entrepreneurial Business Law Journal 1 (2007): 323.
- Jager, Melvin F. "The Critical Role of Trade Secret Law in Protecting Intellectual Property Assets." In Licensing Best Practices, edited by Robert Goldscheider, 127. New York: John Wiley & Sons, 2002.

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>178</sup> See, e.g., Carey, Kristina L., "Beyond the Route 128 Paradigm: Emerging Legal Alternatives to the Non-Compete Agreement and their Potential Effect on Developing High-Technology Markets," *Journal of Small & Emerging Business Law* 5 (2001): 135; Brenner, Jules S., "The Doctrine of Inevitable Disclosure and its Inevitable Effect on Companies and People," *The Law & Business Review of the Americas* 7 (2001): 647.

- Lemley, M. A. "The Surprising Virtues of Treating Trade Secrets as IP Rights." *Stanford Law Review* 61(2) (2008): 311.
- Miller, Elizabeth A. "Antitrust Restrictions on Trade Secret Licensing: A Legal Review and Economic Analysis." WTR Law & Contemporary Problems 52 (1989): 183.
- Png, Ivan P.L. "Law and Innovation: Evidence from the Uniform Trade Secrets Act." (2011) *available at* http://ssrn.com/abstract=1755284.
- Sherwood, Robert M. "Trade Secret Protection: Help for a Treacherous Journey." Washburn Law Journal 48 (2008): 67.

# III. RECOMMENDATIONS

Because there is very limited truly empirical research on the effects of IP on market entry, a research program designed to address this gap in the literature would generate valuable resources for policy makers. Set forth below are several recommendations for areas where empirical research would be beneficial as well as recommendations for specific studies that would make important contributions to the literature and the policy debate.

# A. Empirical Research Generally

The research trends that CLIP identified drew largely on non-empirical or semi-empirical studies. Yet, policy debates in these areas would be informed by deeper empirical study. CLIP also found that the literature did not explicitly refer to IP "as a barrier to entry," but rather addressed issues that did indeed affect market entry.

Research that seeks to more clearly articulate rights and practices as "barriers to entry" in the context of particular conflicts would be useful. Specifically, a set of six empirical studies to analyze and demonstrate the following would be extremely valuable:

- (1) the effects of IP on international trade;
- (2) the effects of IP licensing on market entry in relation to specific market sectors.
- (3) the effects of broadly defined IP rights on market entry in relation to specific market sectors
- (4) the effects of corporate IP strategies on market entry;
- (5) the impact of IP rights on start-up entrepreneurs;
- (6) the administration of IP rights in specific industries, notably the pharmaceutical industry and patent office administration.

Each of these proposed studies would benefit from primary research through questionnaires addressed to industry that would seek to elicit data on actual business practices and perceptions. The studies might also be focused on ICT industries because of their critical importance and connection to the various types of IP rights.

CLIP also noted that research on the relationship between IP and economic development was essentially doctrinal and that the literature seemed to assume that a minimum level of development was a precondition to market entry concerns. A study to explore the minimum levels of development before IP rights implicate barriers to entry would be informative. This study might focus on a particular geographic region such as Asia Pacific.

# B. Copyright Research Focused on the Information, Communications and Technology Industries

The research on copyright protection and market entry was very limited. As the information economy continues to grow, copyright law will become more important for economic development. Therefore, additional empirical research about copyright law generally and, as previously noted, the ICT industries specifically could assist developing countries create IP protection strategies that would help them strengthen their positions in this new global market.

# C. Trademark Law and the Impact of Branding on Market Entry

Some compelling research addresses how trademark law and branding can function as a barrier to market entry. Additional work in this area could be beneficial for developing countries. Specifically, research that identifies the types of brands that relate to economic development (e.g. industrial goods brands, fashion brands, etc.) and how these brands affect the development of markets in emerging economies.

Since the literature on trademark also lacked important attention to licensing and competition, empirical examinations of the relationships between trademark protection, licensing practices and unfair competition would be of great value.

# D. Trade secret law and Market Entry

Very little attention has been paid to the effects of trade secret law on market entry. Empirical research examining market sectors and geographical areas would make an important contribution to the literature.