

Considerations for NIDA/NIH Funding in HIV/AIDS Ethics Research

Richard A. Jenkins PhD
Prevention Research Branch, NIDA
July 16, 2018
Fordham University

So, what's the next step

- An efficacy-type trial (R01)?
- A pilot intervention trial (R34)?
- A larger exploratory study (R21)?
- A very large explanatory study (R01)?
- Something else?

Considerations for Intervention R01s

- Efficacy trials of interventions (R01) need:
 - Strong empirical and theoretical base for the intervention
 - A well integrated conceptual framework
 - Aims, hypotheses measures should align
 - Premise should be strong
 - Mediators and moderators should be addressed, and integrated into the evaluation of outcomes
 - Clear clinical or public health implications
 - Pilot data
 - The more the pilot work resembles the R01 the better
 - Substantial changes or adaptations to a new setting, population or mode of delivery often are more appropriate for R34 than an R01
 - Pilot data should provide:
 - Bases for estimating power & sample size
 - Evidence of acceptability, feasibility, & indications of behavior change
 - New clinical stewardship guidelines apply: premise, timeline, rigor/replicability, sample size justification, dissemination plan

Considerations for Non-intervention R01s

- Large explanatory studies (R01) need:
 - Strong empirical and conceptual bases for the aims & hypotheses
 - Research questions that are novel in terms of content or methods
 - Aims should be aligned with important clinical or public health questions
 - Premise should be strong
 - A well-integrated conceptual framework
 - Aims, hypotheses, and measure should align; premise (justification from past research) should be strong
 - Mediators and moderators should be addressed and integrated into the principal analyses
 - Pilot data should have similar methods and populations
 - Preliminary research should provide:
 - Bases for estimating power & sample size
 - Evidence supporting the importance of the question and the associated variables of interest

Considerations for R34s

- Pilot trials of interventions (R34) need:
 - Feasibility/acceptability as primary objectives
 - Strong empirical and theoretical base for the intervention
 - Preliminary/pilot data not required, but formative findings or a small pilot can help
 - Premise should be strong
 - A well integrated conceptual framework
 - You won't have power to test the complete model, but should be able to look at gross, univariate outcomes & changes in possible mediators/moderators
 - Any preliminary research should:
 - Provide a rationale for moving to an intervention
 - Be relevant to the population, setting, or modality of interest unless the R34 is used for adaptation/implementation
 - New clinical stewardship guidelines apply: timeline, rigor/replicability, sample size justification, dissemination plan

Considerations for R21s

- Further exploratory research (R21). Needs:
 - Strong empirical and theoretical base for aims & hypotheses
 - Address novel research questions in terms of content or methods
 - Aims aligned with important clinical or public health questions
 - Strong premise
 - Well integrated conceptual framework
 - Aims, hypotheses & measures should align
 - Potential mediators and moderators should be addressed at least at the univariate level
 - Preliminary data not required; any preliminary research should provide:
 - Evidence supporting the importance of the question and related variables of interest
 - A rationale for continuing exploratory research
 - Going from analogue to real world;
 - Going from 2ndary analysis, meta-analysis or thematic review to empirical study

R15 AREA/REAP

- Institution must meet criteria
 - Less than \$6M in NIH grant support during the previous 4 years
 - Institution must document; NIH no longer keeps a roster
- AREA: Focus on undergraduate research training
 - NIGMS PAR 19-133 (Clinical Trial), PAR18-714 (Not Clinical Trial)
 - Up to 300K over up to 3 years
- REAP: Focus on health profession and graduate training
 - NIDCR PAR 19-134 (Clinical Trial), PAR19-135 (Not Clinical Trial)
 - Up to \$300K over up to 3 years

NIDA Mechanisms/Opportunities for Young Investigators

- A-START (R03) PA-18-916 (Clinical Trial Optional)
 - Large R03 (up to 100K/yr), up to 2 years; new investigators including new to HIV or new to drug Use
- B-START (R03) PAR-18-082 (Clinical Trial Optional)
 - Large R03 (up to 75K) but short (1 yr) award; new investigators, not HIV-specific
- Avenir Award RFA-DA-18-004
 - Innovative projects (300K/yr for up to 5 years)

Other Recent NIDA Current Funding Announcements

- PrEP for HIV Prevention among Substance Using Populations - RFA-DA-20-013 (R01 Clinical Trial Optional)
- Development and Testing of Novel Interventions to Improve HIV Prevention, Care, and Program Implementation - PA-DA-18-780 (R34)
- HIV/AIDS High Priority Drug Abuse Research (R01) PAS-DA-18-915
- International Research Collaboration on Drug Abuse and Addiction Research - PA-DA-18-773 (R01)

Parent Funding Announcements

- R01:
 - PA 19-055 (Clinical Trial)
 - PA 19-056 (No Clinical Trial)
- R21
 - PA 19-054 (Clinical Trial)
 - PA 19-053 (No Clinical Trial)
- R03
 - PA 19-052 (No Clinical Trial)
- NOSIs (Notices of Special Interests): NIH Guide, Institute Websites, NIH Office Websites (SGM, OBSSR, Fogarty)

Funding Announcements: Decoding the Alphabet Soup

- **PA** – Standing Program Announcement (usually a 3 year cycle with an expiration date; often renewed)
- **PAR** – Standing Program Announcement with Special Review
- **PAS** – Standing Program Announcement with Set Aside Funds
- **RFA** – Typically a One Time Announcement with Set Aside Funds and Special Review (rarely renewed)
- **RFP** – For Contracts: Typically a One Time Announcement with Set Aside Funds and Special Review

New-ish Review Considerations

- NIH Clinical Trial Definition
 - Affects funding announcements you can use (some require a trial, others do not allow trials or make them optional)
 - Adds requirements for review, registration, reporting
 - Definition components:
 - Human participants
 - Can be healthy individuals
 - Prospective assignment to an intervention
 - Comparison condition not necessary
 - Can be intervention evaluation in service of a basic research question like pharmacokinetics
 - Evaluation of an intervention on an outcome
 - Can be a behavioral or biomedical intervention
 - Behavioral or biomedical outcome

New-ish Review Considerations

- Reproducibility (Rigor & Transparency)
 - Rigor
 - Past research—is there a strong premise for the proposed research
 - Proposed research—Rigorous, unbiased use of experimental design, methodology, analysis, interpretation and reporting
 - Sex as a biological variable; selection of population (age, race/ethnicity, gender) should be adequately defended
 - Transparency
 - Rigor and adequate explication of methods
 - Authentication of key biologic and biomedical measures



**Richard A. Jenkins , PhD
Health Scientist Administrator
Prevention Research Branch
National Institute on Drug Abuse**

jenkinsri@mail.nih.gov

301-443-1923

**NIDA AIDS Research Program:
[https://www.drugabuse.gov/about-
nida/organization/offices/aids-research-program-arp](https://www.drugabuse.gov/about-nida/organization/offices/aids-research-program-arp)**