

Title II

Higher Education Act

SUBMIT REPORTS

[Contact Us](#) - [Glossary](#) - [Log out](#)

Fordham Univ. Lincoln Ctr/Rose Hill

Traditional Program

2011-12

Section VIII Report Card Certification

Information in this report has been certified as accurate and complete by:

Rita S. Brause

Associate Dean for Academic Affairs

This submission was reviewed and certified as accurate and complete by:

Carolyn Velazquez-Atis

Executive Secretary to the Dean

Comparison with Last Year

Item	Last Year	This Year	Change
<u>Total Enrollment</u>	227	331	45.81%
<u>Male Enrollment</u>	42	50	19.05%
<u>Female Enrollment</u>	185	281	51.89%
<u>Hispanic/Latino Enrollment</u>	36	21	-41.67%
<u>American Indian or Alaska Native Enrollment</u>	0	0	
<u>Asian Enrollment</u>	28	24	-14.29%
<u>Black or African American Enrollment</u>	31	28	-9.68%
<u>Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander Enrollment</u>	0	2	
<u>White Enrollment</u>	130	214	64.62%
<u>Two or more races Enrollment</u>	2	9	350.00%
<u>Average number of clock hours required prior to student teaching</u>	100	100	0.00%
<u>Average number of clock hours required for student teaching</u>	400	400	0.00%
<u>Number of full-time equivalent faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year</u>	1	2	100.00%

Section VIII Report Card Certification

<u>Number of full-time equivalent adjunct faculty in supervised clinical experience during this academic year (IHE and PreK-12 staff)</u>	161	20	-87.58%
<u>Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year</u>	401	84	-79.05%
<u>Total completers for current academic year</u>	299	162	-45.82%
<u>Total completers for prior academic year</u>	183	299	63.39%
<u>Total completers for second prior academic year</u>	128	183	42.97%

Fordham Univ. Lincoln Ctr/Rose Hill

Traditional Program

2011-12

[Contact Us](#) - [Glossary](#) - [Log out](#)

Title II, Higher Education Act

OMB Control No.: 1840-0744 (exp. 12/31/2015)

Title II Higher Education Act

SUBMIT REPORTS

[Contact Us](#) - [Glossary](#) - [Log out](#)

Fordham Univ. Lincoln Ctr/Rose Hill

Traditional Program

2011-12

Print Report Card

Institution Information

Name of Institution: Fordham Univ. Lincoln Ctr/Rose Hill

Institution/Program Type: Traditional

Academic Year: 2011-12

State: New York

Address: 113 West 60th Street

ROOM 1121

New York, NY, 10023

Contact Name: Dr. Rita Brause

Phone: 212-636-6410

Email: brause@fordham.edu

Is your institution a member of an HEA Title II Teacher Quality Partnership (TQP) grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education? (<http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oii/tqp/index.html>)

No

If yes, provide the following:

Award year:

Grantee name:

Project name:

Grant number:

List partner districts/LEAs:

List other partners:

Project Type:

Section I.a Program Information

List each teacher preparation program included in your traditional route. Indicate if your program or programs participate in a Teacher Quality Partnership Grant awarded by the U.S. Department of Education as described at <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/oii/tqp/index.html>.

Teacher Preparation Programs	Teacher Quality Partnership Grant Member?
Master of Science - General & Exceptional Adolescents w/ Subject Extension	No
Master of Science in Teaching - Early Childhood Education	No
Master of Science in Teaching - Exceptional Adolescents with Subject Area Extension	No
Master of Science in Teaching - Teaching Early Childhood Exceptional Students	No
Master of Science in Teaching Adolescence Biology 7-12	No
Master of Science in Teaching Adolescence Biology/ Conservation Life Science 7-12	No
Master of Science in Teaching Adolescence Chemistry 7-12	No
Master of Science in Teaching Adolescence Earth Science 7-12	No
Master of Science in Teaching Adolescence English 7-12	No
Master of Science in Teaching Adolescence Mathematics 7-12	No
Master of Science in Teaching Adolescence Physics 7-12	No
Master of Science in Teaching Adolescence Social Studies 7-12	No
Master of Science in Teaching Adolescence Special Education w/disabilities (generalist)	No
Master of Science in Teaching Bilingual Childhood Education	No
Master of Science in Teaching Bilingual Students K-12	No
Master of Science in Teaching Childhood Education	No
Master of Science in Teaching Childhood Special Education	No
Master of Science in Teaching Early Childhood and Childhood Education	No

Print Report Card

Master of Science in Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages PreK-12	No
Total number of teacher preparation programs: 19	

Section I.b Admissions

Indicate when students are formally admitted into your initial teacher certification program:

Postgraduate

Does your initial teacher certification program conditionally admit students?

Yes

Provide a link to your website where additional information about admissions requirements can be found:

http://www.fordham.edu/academics/colleges__graduate_s/graduate__profession/education/admissions/inde

Please provide any additional about or exceptions to the admissions information provided above:

Section I.b Undergraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. (§205(a)(1)(C)(i))

Are there initial teacher certification programs at the undergraduate level?

No

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the Undergraduate level.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript		
Fingerprint check		
Background check		
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed		
Minimum GPA		
Minimum GPA in content area coursework		
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework		
Minimum ACT score		
Minimum SAT score		
Minimum basic skills test score		
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification		
Recommendation(s)		
Essay or personal statement		

Print Report Card

Interview		
Other		

What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program?

What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2011-12

What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program?

What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2011-12

Section I.b Postgraduate Requirements

Please provide the following information about your teacher preparation program's entry and exit requirements. (§205(a)(1)(C)(i))

Are there initial teacher certification programs at the postgraduate level?

Yes

If yes, for each element listed below, indicate if it is required for admission into or exit from any of your teacher preparation program(s) at the Postgraduate level.

Element	Required for Entry	Required for Exit
Transcript	Yes	Yes
Fingerprint check	No	No
Background check	No	No
Minimum number of courses/credits/semester hours completed	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in content area coursework	Yes	Yes
Minimum GPA in professional education coursework	Yes	Yes
Minimum ACT score	No	No
Minimum SAT score	No	No
Minimum basic skills test score	No	No
Subject area/academic content test or other subject matter verification	No	No
Recommendation(s)	Yes	Yes
Essay or personal statement	Yes	Yes
Interview	No	No
Other		

What is the minimum GPA required for admission into the program?

3

What was the median GPA of individuals accepted into the program in academic year 2011-12

3.51

What is the minimum GPA required for completing the program?

3

What was the median GPA of individuals completing the program in academic year 2011-12

3.8

Section I.c Program Enrollment

Provide the number of students in the teacher preparation program in the following categories. Note that you must report on the number of students by ethnicity and race separately. Individuals who are non-Hispanic/Latino will be reported in one of the race categories. Also note that individuals can belong to one or more racial groups, so the sum of the members of each racial category may not necessarily add up to the total number of students enrolled.

Total number of students enrolled in 2011-12:	331
Unduplicated number of males enrolled in 2011-12:	50
Unduplicated number of females enrolled in 2011-12:	281

2011-12	Number enrolled
<i>Ethnicity</i>	
Hispanic/Latino of any race:	21
<i>Race</i>	
American Indian or Alaska Native:	0
Asian:	24
Black or African American:	28
Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander:	2
White:	214
Two or more races:	9

Section I.d Supervised Experience

Provide the following information about supervised clinical experience in 2011-12.

Average number of clock hours of supervised clinical experience required prior to student teaching	100
Average number of clock hours required for student teaching	400
Average number of clock hours required for mentoring/induction support	0
Number of full-time equivalent faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year	2
Number of adjunct faculty supervising clinical experience during this academic year (JHE and PreK-12 staff)	20

Number of students in supervised clinical experience during this academic year	84
--	----

Please provide any additional information about or descriptions of the supervised clinical experiences:

Full-time equivalent adjunct faculty include field specialists, cooperating teachers and supervisors. Students have school-based support in all field-based experiences.

Inclusion of PreK-12 staff in this accounting significantly increases the number of people who supervise our students' experiences.

Section I.e Teachers Prepared by Subject Area

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by subject area for academic year 2011-12. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Subject area" refers to the subject area(s) an individual has been prepared to teach. An individual can be counted in more than one subject area. If no individuals were prepared in a particular subject area, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

Subject Area	Number Prepared
Education - General	16
Teacher Education - Special Education	141
Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	98
Teacher Education - Elementary Education	134
Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	147
Teacher Education - Secondary Education	147
Teacher Education - Multiple Levels	160
Teacher Education - Agriculture	
Teacher Education - Art	
Teacher Education - Business	
Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	16
Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
Teacher Education - Health	
Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
Teacher Education - Mathematics	17
Teacher Education - Music	
Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
Teacher Education - Reading	24
Teacher Education - Science Teacher Education/General Science	
Teacher Education - Social Science	

Print Report Card

Teacher Education - Social Studies	20
Teacher Education - Technical Education	
Teacher Education - Computer Science	
Teacher Education - Biology	12
Teacher Education - Chemistry	2
Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
Teacher Education - French	
Teacher Education - German	
Teacher Education - History	
Teacher Education - Physics	3
Teacher Education - Spanish	
Teacher Education - Speech	
Teacher Education - Geography	
Teacher Education - Latin	
Teacher Education - Psychology	
Teacher Education - Earth Science	
Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	23
Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	
Education - Other Specify:	

Section I.e Teachers Prepared by Academic Major

Please provide the number of teachers prepared by academic major for academic year 2011-12. For the purposes of this section, number prepared means the number of program completers. "Academic major" refers to the actual major(s) declared by the program completer. An individual can be counted in more than one academic major. If no individuals were prepared in a particular academic major, please leave that cell blank. (§205(b)(1)(H))

Academic Major	Number Prepared
Education - General	16
Teacher Education - Special Education	141
Teacher Education - Early Childhood Education	98
Teacher Education - Elementary Education	134
Teacher Education - Junior High/Intermediate/Middle School Education	147
Teacher Education - Secondary Education	147
Teacher Education - Agriculture	

Print Report Card

Teacher Education - Art	
Teacher Education - Business	
Teacher Education - English/Language Arts	16
Teacher Education - Foreign Language	
Teacher Education - Health	
Teacher Education - Family and Consumer Sciences/Home Economics	
Teacher Education - Technology Teacher Education/Industrial Arts	
Teacher Education - Mathematics	17
Teacher Education - Music	
Teacher Education - Physical Education and Coaching	
Teacher Education - Reading	24
Teacher Education - Science	
Teacher Education - Social Science	
Teacher Education - Social Studies	20
Teacher Education - Technical Education	
Teacher Education - Computer Science	
Teacher Education - Biology	12
Teacher Education - Chemistry	2
Teacher Education - Drama and Dance	
Teacher Education - French	
Teacher Education - German	
Teacher Education - History	
Teacher Education - Physics	3
Teacher Education - Spanish	
Teacher Education - Speech	
Teacher Education - Geography	
Teacher Education - Latin	
Teacher Education - Psychology	
Teacher Education - Earth Science	
Teacher Education - English as a Second Language	23
Teacher Education - Bilingual, Multilingual, and Multicultural Education	
Education - Curriculum and Instruction	
Education - Social and Philosophical Foundations of Education	
Liberal Arts/Humanities	

Print Report Card

Psychology	
Social Sciences	
Anthropology	
Economics	
Geography and Cartography	
Political Science and Government	
Sociology	
Visual and Performing Arts	
History	
Foreign Languages	
Family and Consumer Sciences/Human Sciences	
English Language/Literature	
Philosophy and Religious Studies	
Agriculture	
Communication or Journalism	
Engineering	
Biology	
Mathematics and Statistics	
Physical Sciences	
Astronomy and Astrophysics	
Atmospheric Sciences and Meteorology	
Chemistry	
Geological and Earth Sciences/Geosciences	
Physics	
Business/Business Administration/Accounting	
Computer and Information Sciences	
Other Specify:	

Section I.f Program Completers

Provide the total number of teacher preparation program completers in each of the following academic years:

2011-12: 162

2010-11: 299

Section II. Annual Goals - Mathematics

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in mathematics in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2011-12

Did your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2011-12?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2011-12?

10

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in mathematics in 2011-12?

Yes

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2012-13

Is your program preparing teachers in mathematics in 2012-13?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in mathematics in 2012-13?

11

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2013-14

Will your program prepare teachers in mathematics in 2013-14?

Yes

Print Report Card

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in mathematics in 2013-14?

12

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Section II. Annual Goals - Science

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at

<http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in science in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2011-12

Did your program prepare teachers in science in 2011-12?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2011-12?

22

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in science in 2011-12?

Yes

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

Scholarship program (NOYCE/NSF) to recruit new science teachers.

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

NOYCE/NSF and NYSED Clinically Rich Scholarship programs

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2012-13

Is your program preparing teachers in science in 2012-13?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in science in 2012-13?

10

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Print Report Card

Academic year 2013-14

Will your program prepare teachers in science in 2013-14?

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in science in 2013-14?

20

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Section II. Annual Goals - Special Education

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in special education in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2011-12

Did your program prepare teachers in special education in 2011-12?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2011-12?

1

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in special education in 2011-12?

Yes

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

created a new program - Trans B - Adolescents for Alternative track students

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Added 86 candidates

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2012-13

Is your program preparing teachers in special education in 2012-13?

Print Report Card

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in special education in 2012-13?

45

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

increased number of full-time faculty leading the program. Created many dual programs (e.g.: Early Childhood Special Education, adolescent Generalist). Obtained TRIP residency, Race to the Top grant funded program. Teach for American partnership.

Academic year 2013-14

Will your program prepare teachers in special education in 2013-14?

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in special education in 2013-14?

70

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

creating new degree program and an advanced certificate program.

Section II. Annual Goals - LEP

Each institution of higher education (IHE) that conducts a traditional teacher preparation program (including programs that offer any ongoing professional development programs) or alternative route to state credential program, and that enrolls students receiving Federal assistance under this Act, shall set annual quantifiable goals for increasing the number of prospective teachers trained in teacher shortage areas designated by the Secretary or by the state educational agency, including mathematics, science, special education, and instruction of limited English proficient students. (§205(a)(1)(A)(ii), §206(a))

Information about teacher shortage areas can be found at <http://www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ope/pol/tsa.html>.

Please provide the information below about your program's goals to increase the number of prospective teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in each of three academic years.

Academic year 2011-12

Did your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2011-12?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2011-12?

10

Did your program meet the goal for prospective teachers set in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2011-12?

Yes

Print Report Card

Description of strategies used to achieve goal, if applicable:

created extension programs for already certified teachers

Description of steps to improve performance in meeting goal or lessons learned in meeting goal, if applicable:

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2012-13

Is your program preparing teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2012-13?

Yes

How many prospective teachers did your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2012-13?

10

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Academic year 2013-14

Will your program prepare teachers in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2013-14?

Yes

How many prospective teachers does your program plan to add in instruction of limited English proficient students in 2013-14?

10

Provide any additional comments, exceptions and explanations below:

Section II. Assurances

Please certify that your institution is in compliance with the following assurances. (§205(a)(1)(A)(iii), §206(b)) Note: Be prepared to provide documentation and evidence for your responses, when requested, to support the following assurances.

Preparation responds to the identified needs of the local educational agencies or States where the program completers are likely to teach, based on past hiring and recruitment trends.

Yes

Preparation is closely linked with the needs of schools and the instructional decisions new teachers face in the classroom.

Yes

Prospective special education teachers are prepared in core academic subjects and to instruct in core academic subjects.

Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students with disabilities.

Yes

Print Report Card

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to limited English proficient students.

Yes

Prospective general education teachers are prepared to provide instruction to students from low-income families.

Yes

Prospective teachers are prepared to effectively teach in urban and rural schools, as applicable.

Yes

Describe your institution's most successful strategies in meeting the assurances listed above:

The programs of the Division of Curriculum and Teaching educate and challenge teachers, at the initial and advanced levels, who are committed to personal and academic excellence. The programs are designed to develop teachers' knowledge, understanding and skills to enable them to be successful, reflective practitioners. All of our programs emphasize concern for students' language and culture and respect the multiple voices of the urban classroom. We encourage teachers to collaborate with other teachers, administrators, students, parents and community members in strengthening curriculum and learning environments, including the integration of technology as appropriate.

Section III. Assessment Rates

Assessment code - Assessment name Test Company Group	Number taking tests	Avg. scaled score	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
031 -BEA - FRENCH Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
006 -BIOLOGY CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2011-12	2			
006 -Biology CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2010-11	8			
006 -BIOLOGY CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	2			
007 -CHEMISTRY CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2011-12	1			
090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	43	264	42	98
	1			

Print Report Card

090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students				
090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2011-12	75	266	74	99
090 -Elementary ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2010-11	137	268	137	100
090 -ELEMENTARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	62	269	62	100
003 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	2			
003 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2011-12	2			
003 -English Language Arts CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2010-11	27	254	27	100
003 -ENGLISH LANGUAGE ARTS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	7			
022 -ESOL CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1			
022 -ESOL CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2011-12	8			
022 -Esol CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2010-11	3			
022 -ESOL CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	5			
001 -LIBERAL ARTS & SCIENCES TEST (LAST) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	120	265	117	98
001 -LIBERAL ARTS & SCIENCES TEST (LAST) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			

Print Report Card

001 -LIBERAL ARTS & SCIENCES TEST (LAST) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2011-12	102	265	102	100
001 -Liberal Arts & Sciences Test (LAST) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2010-11	203	268	203	100
001 -LIBERAL ARTS & SCIENCES TEST (LAST) Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	85	269	85	100
065 -LITERACY CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2011-12	7			
065 -Literacy CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2010-11	17	266	17	100
065 -LITERACY CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	6			
004 -MATHEMATICS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1			
004 -Mathematics CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2010-11	6			
004 -MATHEMATICS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	5			
002 -MULTI-SUBJECT CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	26	251	26	100
002 -MULTI-SUBJECT CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
002 -MULTI-SUBJECT CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2011-12	85	253	81	95
002 -Multi-Subject CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2010-11	127	256	125	98
002 -MULTI-SUBJECT CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	53	256	52	98

Print Report Card

009 -PHYSICS CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	1			
091 -SECONDARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	12	258	12	100
091 -SECONDARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2011-12	30	271	30	100
091 -Secondary ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2010-11	68	269	68	100
091 -SECONDARY ATS-W Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	27	271	27	100
005 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	2			
005 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2011-12	4			
005 -Social Studies CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2010-11	25	249	24	96
005 -SOCIAL STUDIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	11	247	10	91
060 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All enrolled students who have completed all nonclinical courses	17	243	15	88
060 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson Other enrolled students	1			
060 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2011-12	80	243	74	93
060 -Students With Disabilities CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2010-11	75	248	75	100
060 -STUDENTS WITH DISABILITIES CST Evaluation Systems group of Pearson All program completers, 2009-10	26	250	26	100

Section III. Summary Rates

Group	Number taking tests	Number passing tests	Pass rate (%)
All program completers, 2011-12	125	117	94
All program completers, 2010-11	229	226	99
All program completers, 2009-10	97	95	98

Section IV. Low-Performing

Provide the following information about the approval or accreditation of your teacher preparation program.

Is your teacher preparation program currently approved or accredited?

Yes

If yes, please specify the organization(s) that approved or accredited your program:

NCATE

Is your teacher preparation program currently under a designation as "low-performing" by the state (as per section 207(a) of the HEA of 2008)?

No

Section V. Technology

Provide the following information about the use of technology in your teacher preparation program.

Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request.

Does your program prepare teachers to:

- integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction

Yes

- use technology effectively to collect data to improve teaching and learning

Yes

- use technology effectively to manage data to improve teaching and learning

Yes

- use technology effectively to analyze data to improve teaching and learning

Yes

Provide a description of the evidence that your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, and to use technology effectively to collect, manage, and analyze data in order to improve teaching and learning for the purpose of increasing student

Print Report Card

academic achievement. Include a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares teachers to use the principles of universal design for learning, as applicable. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the four elements listed above are not currently in place.

As part of the programmatic portfolio requirement candidates provide evidence of their ability to integrate technological expertise in their teaching. Within each candidate's portfolio, for example, candidates are required to utilize the Internet in preparation of lesson plans, securing materials for lessons, making technologically enhanced presentation in classes, and utilizing the online repository (Tk20) for submitting course materials and evaluations.

Technology instruction is infused in most of the courses in the programs. Candidates become adept at utilizing/integrating a variety of technology tools for instruction and learning. Recognizing the importance of media literacy in the 21st Century, Fordham's ITE program includes core courses in media/technology (e.g., CTGE 6260-Media Technology Math/Science; CTGE 6261-Media Literacy Technology). Candidates demonstrate integration of technology in instructional planning while exploring the newer technologies as potential resources for instructor and individual student learning.

Further, the ITE program's exit project, the Professional Portfolio and Teachers' Work Samples are aligned with INTASC standards. Data pointing to candidates' integration of technology in their teaching have been aggregated under the sixth standard ("Communication"). Candidates' utilization of their knowledge of effective verbal, nonverbal, and media communication techniques to foster active inquiry, collaboration, and supportive interaction in the classroom are assessed during the portfolio review.

Section VI. Teacher Training

Provide the following information about your teacher preparation program. Please note that choosing 'yes' indicates that your teacher preparation program would be able to provide evidence upon request.

Does your program prepare general education teachers to:

- **teach students with disabilities effectively**
Yes
- **participate as a member of individualized education program teams**
Yes
- **teach students who are limited English proficient effectively**
Yes

Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares general education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

Both the Childhood and Adolescent Education programs are distinguished by exceptionally strong field experiences and a carefully coordinated sequence of courses progressing from foundations and teaching methods to the development of a professional portfolio. Candidates develop knowledge regarding effective teaching strategies and apply knowledge learned in courses during their field experiences. They are supported by expert faculty, master teachers and field advisers/mentors. The programs are recognized by related professional associations including: the Association of Childhood Education International (ACEI), National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM), National Council for the Social Studies

Print Report Card

(NCSS), National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE). Fordham Graduate School of Education is a nationally accredited teacher education institution in New York City.

There are numerous required courses and experiences in the program to prepare our general education candidates to work with students who have limited English proficiency. For example, courses such as CTGE 5247 – Teaching Linguistically and Culturally Diverse Students and CTGE 5534 – Beginning Reading and Writing in Inclusive Classrooms and CTGE 5554 – Reflective Practice and Student Teaching in an Inclusive Classroom are required experiences which enrich the knowledge base of our candidates to prepare them to address the needs of students with limited English proficiencies.

Another aspect of the general education teacher-candidates' knowledge and skill evolves from their supervised practice of working with students with disabilities in required courses such as CTGE 5161- Differentiating Instruction for Children with Diverse Needs and PSGE 5500 – Psychological Factors in Children with Disabilities. Candidates gain the knowledge and skills they need to succeed supported by expert faculty, master teachers, and field advisers/mentors.

Does your program prepare special education teachers to:

- **teach students with disabilities effectively**
Yes
- **participate as a member of individualized education program teams**
Yes
- **teach students who are limited English proficient effectively**
Yes

Provide a description of the evidence your program uses to show that it prepares special education teachers to teach students with disabilities effectively, including training related to participation as a member of individualized education program teams, as defined in section 614(d)(1)(B) of the *Individuals with Disabilities Education Act*, and to effectively teach students who are limited English proficient. Include planning activities and a timeline if any of the three elements listed above are not currently in place.

To enable children and adolescents identified with disabilities to reach their personal and academic potential caring teachers who have expertise in learning and development, curriculum content and pedagogy, and the specialized knowledge and skills to adapt learning environments and experiences are essential to meet individual learner's needs. The program in Childhood Special Education for Grades 1-6 prepares such teachers through a rigorous academic program that builds on a foundation of childhood education and psychology, and provides a strong sequence of field experiences. Candidates gain the knowledge and skills they need to succeed supported by expert faculty, master teachers, and field advisers/mentors.

The Teaching English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL) programs prepare individuals to effectively teach and assist students in developing proficiency in spoken and written English. TESOL students learn to identify and implement appropriate teaching strategies, design and evaluate curriculum, and become familiar with instructional materials to meet the needs of English language learners. The programs emphasize sound educational practices leading to cognitive and linguistic proficiency in speaking, listening, reading, and writing in English. The programs prepare individuals to work with all age levels: children, adolescents and adults from linguistically and culturally diverse backgrounds. They also prepare candidates to support immigrants succeed in public and non-public schools in the United States and abroad. Also GSE programs include instruction on promoting high achievement for all learners using diverse instructional strategies and resources.

Section VII. Contextual Information

Please use this space to provide any additional information that describes your teacher preparation program(s). You may also attach information to this report card. The U.S. Department of Education is especially interested in any evaluation plans or interim or final reports that may be available.

All of Fordham's specialization programs have achieved National Recognition from the relevant specialization organizations in the process of achieving NCATE accreditation. These include the Association of Childhood Education International (ACEI), NAACE, Council for Exceptional Children (CEC), Teachers of English to Speakers of Other Languages (TESOL), International Reading Association (IRA), National Council of Teachers of English (NCTE), National Council of the Social Studies (NCSS), National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM), and the National Science Teachers Association (NSTA). The Fordham Graduate School of Education is a nationally accredited teacher education institution in New York City. In a study conducted by Eduventures (2012) 74% of our graduates noted they felt "very well prepared" or "prepared" to integrate technology in their teaching while 68% noted confidence in using assessment strategies to evaluate student learning. Our program graduates excel on the NYSTCE teacher examinations with an annual pass rate of 94% or better.

Supporting Files

Fordham Univ. Lincoln Ctr/Rose Hill

Traditional Program

2011-12

[Contact Us](#) - [Glossary](#) - [Log out](#)

Title II, Higher Education Act

OMB Control No.: 1840-0744 (exp. 12/31/2015)